

## Monthly Air Pollution Control Program Summary Report

### Concerns Received or Investigated Between April 16, 2024 and June 15, 2024

#### Concerns Recieved During Report Period

	Total
<b>Total</b>	215
<b>Asbestos</b>	19
<b>Fugitive Particulate Matter</b>	21
<b>Hazardous Air Pollutant Release</b>	12
<b>Odor</b>	52
<b>Open Burning</b>	94
<b>Other</b>	8
<b>Particulates</b>	9

Number of Notices of Violation (NOV) Issued: 0

Number of Referral Notices of Violation (RNOV) Issued: 1

Number of Letters of Warning (LOW) Issued: 5

#### Concerns Investigated During Report Period

	Total
<b>Total</b>	207
<b>Concerns Received Before Report Period</b>	15
<b>Concerns Received During Report Period</b>	192

Note: Concern Type refers to the air category the concern falls under. There may be more than one type associated with an individual concern, therefore the totals listed here may not reflect the total number of concerns recieved during the reporting period.

Note: Concern Info refers to the information provided to the department by the concerned party. The information seeks to accurately reflect the nature of the allegations made. Those statements of allegations do not necessarily reflect the legal standard upon which inspections would be made.

#### Monthly Air Pollution Control Program Detail Report

### Concerns Received or Investigated Between April 16, 2024 and June 15, 2024

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
56906	3/11/2024	4/26/2024	Teddy Kessler	Open Burning Used Oil	Brighton /Polk	SWRO	WH	Report LOW
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		A scrap/auto salvage yard has constructed a trench on the back of the property. Materials recovered from vehicles are dumped into the trench and burned on a weekly basis.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #8639 While no open burning was observed at the time of the investigation, violations were identified.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57147	3/27/2024	4/26/2024	Tom Clemons	Odor Land Application	/McDonald	SWRO	BM	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Caller states that the property owner Tom Clemons is currently as 03/27/2024 spreading Chicken litter or hog litter that is causing lots of odor issues in the area. Caller also states that the application is right on the border of Buffalo Creek and is concerned that the litter is entering the creek. Caller states that they do not know who is conducting the spreading.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #8859 There were no observable water quality problems or violations of the Missouri Clean Water Law regarding this specific concern.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57259	4/8/2024	4/19/2024	Aarons Meadows	Hazardous Air Pollutant Release Used Oil	/Lawrence	SWRO	WH	Report  UF
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Draining antifreeze out of a vehicle straight onto the ground. Fuel tank from F250 , dumped the diesel from it onto the ground. Oil dry after it has been used, is scooped up and put into a salvage car, which rain washes it onto the ground. Freon is released directly into the air.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #8997  An inspection of the facility was conducted. See inspection report for further details.  Violations were identified during the site visit. The department wil follow-up with the facility until violations are addressed.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57298	4/11/2024	4/17/2024	Republic Foods	Odor	Lone Jack /Johnson	KCRO	MC	Other
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		From Webform - Excessive odors from cow manure and waste at the Republic Foods feed lot east of Lone Jack MO make it smell like pungent cow shit in my yard anytime the breeze blows from the north. My house is one mile SW of this facility that continues to pollute our environment. I grew up on a farm and am used to smelling some manure. This odor that is often emitted from Republic Foods is well beyond normal, and is noxious. My family can not go outside.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Odors were present along 50 Hwy, but below 2:1 dilutions. No odor violation at time of investigation.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57306	4/11/2024	4/24/2024	John Shaw	Open Burning	Alba /Jasper	SWRO	GE	Communication In Person
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Open burning all kinds of things from wire insulation to trash to demolition waste						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9050 I arrived at the site at 11:00 a.m. and spoke to John Shaw. There was no evidence of any burning other than his wood burning furnace that is inside a building. This stove was operating and was only putting out white smoke. The property does contain metal items, wooden church benches and other items. No open burning was observed. Property does contain approximaltey 30 tires and he was advised to place rock salt in the tires in order to control mosquitoes. Site was inspected on 2-27-24 in regards to concern 8278. Property has not changed since that investigation. No open burning or solid waste violations was observed.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57313	4/11/2024	4/19/2024	Advanced Metals USA	Asbestos	/Barton	SWRO	JW	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b> Anonymous								
<b>Concern Info:</b> Concerned party states a new recycling center in Lamar is grinding asbestos and the dust is blowing all over Lamar.								
<b>Conclusions:</b> SharePoint #9057								
<p>I arrived near the facility at 1:53 p.m. and I began the investigation by parking 100 yards south of the facility. Winds were out of the NE at roughly 5 mph. When I arrived, a forklift at the southeast side of the facility was transferring ASR to a conveyor. The garage door to the baghouse on the south side of the building was shut. I did not observe excessive odorous matter or fugitive particulate matter emitting from the facility. At 2:00 p.m., I drove to a parking lot northeast of the facility to observe the forklift more. The conveyor was not operating so I observed activities at the facility until the conveyor started up at or around 2:20 p.m. The material on the conveyor did not generate concerning opacity levels.</p> <p>At 2:25 p.m., I drove to the Advanced Metals facility to reach out to a responsible official and inform them of my investigation. I met with Doug King on the north side of the facility. I explained the reason for my visit and informed King that I had not observed any violations that day. I began conversing with King about the recent construction permit application the facility sent APCP. I asked King about the new equipment on site and where the facility receives its ASR materials. Because asbestos was the cause of concern for this case, I began asking King about the facility's policies regarding brake pads. King stated that he had never once seen a brake pad in an ASR pile. After I asked how King finds out which processing facilities use brake pads and how they mitigate asbestos release, King stated that he did not know the policies of other facilities. I ended the conversation with King by explaining that I will continue to investigate the facility when concerns come in until we can be assured that the facility has no issues.</p> <p>I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.</p>								

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57316	4/12/2024	4/24/2024	Carthage Crushed Limestone	Fugitive Particulate Matter	Carthage /Jasper	SWRO	BD	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Debra Probert						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		CP stated that there is thick dust blowing across I-49 north of Carthage at the Civil War Rd exit. Thick enough that it is obstructing visibility, similar to driving through smoke. Appeared to be coming from Carthage Crushed Limestone. CP called back after initial call and concern entry and stated that she talked to the facility and they are working on getting a second water truck for dust suppression out to their facility						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9062</p> <p>Prior to the investigation on 4/22/24, I contacted the concerned party (CP) based on the report to confirm that the facility had corrected the fugitive emissions that were observed by the CP. The CP confirmed that the facility had immediately sent out watering trucks after being contacted by the CP, and that the CP had not observed any fugitive emissions since the first observation was made and reported to the department. I informed the CP that I would still make a site visit to ensure the facility was maintaining the proper amount of watering that is required in their construction permit, 062020-020, which is approximately 20,000 gallons per day.</p> <p>I arrived to the facility at 11:15 a.m. and drove around the accessible perimeter to make cursory observations and check for any signs of fugitive emissions. I drove south on Civil War Rd and went past the TelSmith plant located just north of I-49, but did not observe any signs of fugitive emissions along the road. I continued south on Civil War Rd and I drove past the Fine Grind plant that is located south of I-49. I then made a u-turn to return to the TelSmith plant. I did not observe any signs of fugitive emissions during the drive by the Fine Grind plant. When I returned to the TelSmith plant, I parked on the side of Civil War Rd near the underpass of I-49 to sit and make observations near the perimeter of the property. I sat and observed the facility for approximately 10 minutes and during this time I observed visible emissions from both the TelSmith plant and Blevins Asphalt, which is located adjacent to the TelSmith plant, but the emissions never crossed the property boundary. I also observed a water truck enter onto the property and begin to wet both the TelSmith plant and Blevins Asphalt down for dust suppressant. I then entered onto the Carthage Crushed Limestone property and asked to speak with either Shad Burns or Matt Patrick who are listed as the responsible officials in our most recent inspection reports. I then met with both Patrick and Burns to explain the reason for my visit and request watering records. Burns readily produced the watering records which indicated that the facility had been watering the property with a minimum of 24,000 gallons of water a day, and on some days upwards of 120,000 gallons in order to maintain the dust on the property. Burns indicated that it had been particularly dry but they were trying to apply as much water as allowable to keep the dust down. I informed Burns that I had not seen any signs of fugitive emissions, but ensured they would remain watering since visible emissions could be seen at the plant. I thanked Burns and Patrick for their time and left the facility at 12 p.m.</p> <p>No violations of 10 CSR 10-6.170 were observed, as the visible emissions to the ambient air did not leave the premises of origin. The facility has been maintaining proper watering amounts/records per their construction permit.</p>						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57326	4/12/2024	4/19/2024	C & L Grease Wastewater	Odor General Water	Carterville /Jasper	SWRO	JW	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		From online concern form, attached: "Every time we drive by the area I have to hold my breath. Acid, Manure or Farm Animals, Rotten Eggs, Rancid or Rotten, Sewer, Trash, Dead Animal/ Bad Grease. Concern about getting into water system. Especially for those with well-water. The smell is unacceptable for neighbors. Please investigate. Thank you so much!"						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9072</p> <p>I approached the facility northwest on HH at 12:48 p.m. The winds were out of the N at 5 mph. I began using the nasal ranger on Elm Rd, south of the facility, at 1:00 p.m. and I did not observe any wastewater related odors. I did not use the nasal ranger because I could not smell any unusual odors. At 1:08 p.m., I drove over to the facility to relay the message to its employees that I had investigated their property for odor complaints. Michelle Wald was on the phone with Larry Wald in the office, so I let Michelle know about my investigation and she passed it on to Larry Wald. I ended the investigation at around 1:15 p.m.</p> <p>I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57340	4/15/2024	4/19/2024	C&L Grease	Odor	Cartersville /Jasper	SWRO	JW	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Caller said that the odor coming from C&L Grease is very bad today. The area of concern is the neighborhood along 5th St. in Cartersville, directly south of C&L Grease						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9085</p> <p>I approached the facility northwest on HH at 12:48 p.m. The winds were out of the N at 5 mph. I began using the nasal ranger on Elm Rd, south of the facility, at 1:00 p.m. and I did not observe any wastewater related odors. I did not use the nasal ranger because I could not smell any unusual odors. At 1:08 p.m., I drove over to the facility to relay the message to its employees that I had investigated their property for odor complaints. Michelle Wald was on the phone with Larry Wald in the office, so I let Michelle know about my investigation and she passed it on to Larry Wald. I ended the investigation at around 1:15 p.m.</p> <p>I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57349	4/16/2024	4/19/2024	Advanced Metals USA	Fugitive Particulate Matter	Lamar /Barton	SWRO	JW	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Take in automotive wastes (after crushing including plastic ect. and grind it up and remove metals from it, dispose of the rest. The concern is with dust and debris that is produced during this process and blowing offsite.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9116</p> <p>Same as concern 9057. Refer to #8077 for first inv. of the facility.</p> <p>I arrived near the facility at 1:53 p.m. and I began the investigation by parking 100 yards south of the facility. Winds were out of the NE at roughly 5 mph. When I arrived, a forklift at the southeast side of the facility was transferring ASR to a conveyor. The garage door to the baghouse on the south side of the building was shut. I did not observe excessive odorous matter or fugitive particulate matter emitting from the facility. At 2:00 p.m., I drove to a parking lot northeast of the facility to observe the forklift more. The conveyor was not operating so I observed activities at the facility until the conveyor started up at or around 2:20 p.m. The material on the conveyor did not generate concerning opacity levels.</p> <p>At 2:25 p.m., I drove to the Advanced Metals facility to reach out to a responsible official and inform them of my investigation. I met with Doug King on the north side of the facility. I explained the reason for my visit and informed King that I had not observed any violations that day. I began conversing with King about the recent construction permit application the facility sent ACP. I asked King about the new equipment on site and where the facility receives its ASR materials. Because asbestos was the cause of concern for this case, I began asking King about the facility's policies regarding brake pads. King stated that he had never once seen a brake pad in an ASR pile. After I asked how King finds out which processing facilities use brake pads and how they mitigate asbestos release, King stated that he did not know the policies of other facilities. I ended the conversation with King by explaining that I will continue to investigate the facility when concerns come in until we can be assured that the facility has no issues.</p> <p>I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57350	4/16/2024	4/19/2024	C & L Grease	Odor	/Jasper	SWRO	JW	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b> Melissa Thompson <b>Concern Info:</b> Disgusting odor coming from the property west of heritage acres on gum road. The odor was noticed around 3:00 pm on 4/6/2024 and was continuing at the time the concern was placed at 3:53 p.m. <b>Conclusions:</b> SharePoint #9120  I approached the facility northwest on HH at 12:48 p.m. The winds were out of the N at 5 mph. I began using the nasal ranger on Elm Rd, south of the facility, at 1:00 p.m. and I did not observe any wastewater related odors. I did not use the nasal ranger because I could not smell any unusual odors. At 1:08 p.m., I drove over to the facility to relay the message to its employees that I had investigated their property for odor complaints. Michelle Wald was on the phone with Larry Wald in the office, so I let Michelle know about my investigation and she passed it on to Larry Wald. I ended the investigation at around 1:15 p.m.  I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required								

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57357	4/16/2024	4/17/2024	Unknown	Open Burning	Independence	KCRO	MC	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b> Stacey Edwards <b>Concern Info:</b> Concerned party called to report neighbor burying yard waste and is concerned due to her husband's health issues and he gets short of breath from the smoke. <b>Conclusions:</b> Based on my conversation with the concerned party, there are no violations of Missouri's open burning regulation. Responsible party is burning vegetative waste that originated from the same site. No on site investigation was conducted.								

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57358	4/16/2024	4/17/2024	Unknown	Open Burning	Independence	KCRO	MC	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b> Stacey Edwards <b>Concern Info:</b> Concerned party called to report neighbor burying yard waste and is concerned due to her husband's health issues and he gets short of breath from the smoke. <b>Conclusions:</b> Based on my conversation with the concerned party, there are no violations of Missouri's open burning regulation. Responsible party is burning vegetative waste that originated from the same site. No on site investigation was conducted.								

## Monthly Air Pollution Control Program Summary Report

### Concerns Received or Investigated Between April 16, 2024 and June 15, 2024

#### Concerns Recieved During Report Period

	Total
<b>Total</b>	215
<b>Asbestos</b>	19
<b>Fugitive Particulate Matter</b>	21
<b>Hazardous Air Pollutant Release</b>	12
<b>Odor</b>	52
<b>Open Burning</b>	94
<b>Other</b>	8
<b>Particulates</b>	9

Number of Notices of Violation (NOV) Issued: 0

Number of Referral Notices of Violation (RNOV) Issued: 1

Number of Letters of Warning (LOW) Issued: 5

#### Concerns Investigated During Report Period

	Total
<b>Total</b>	207
<b>Concerns Received Before Report Period</b>	15
<b>Concerns Received During Report Period</b>	192

Note: Concern Type refers to the air category the concern falls under. There may be more than one type associated with an individual concern, therefore the totals listed here may not reflect the total number of concerns recieved during the reporting period.

Note: Concern Info refers to the information provided to the department by the concerned party. The information seeks to accurately reflect the nature of the allegations made. Those statements of allegations do not necessarily reflect the legal standard upon which inspections would be made.

### Monthly Air Pollution Control Program Detail Report

#### Concerns Received or Investigated Between April 16, 2024 and June 15, 2024

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
56906	3/11/2024	4/26/2024	Teddy Kessler	Open Burning Used Oil	Brighton /Polk	SWRO	WH	Report LOW
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		A scrap/auto salvage yard has constructed a trench on the back of the property. Materials recovered from vehicles are dumped into the trench and burned on a weekly basis.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #8639 While no open burning was observed at the time of the investigation, violations were identified.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57147	3/27/2024	4/26/2024	Tom Clemons	Odor Land Application	/McDonald	SWRO	BM	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Caller states that the property owner Tom Clemons is currently as 03/27/2024 spreading Chicken litter or hog litter that is causing lots of odor issues in the area. Caller also states that the application is right on the border of Buffalo Creek and is concerned that the litter is entering the creek. Caller states that they do not know who is conducting the spreading.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #8859 There were no observable water quality problems or violations of the Missouri Clean Water Law regarding this specific concern.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57259	4/8/2024	4/19/2024	Aarons Meadows	Hazardous Air Pollutant Release Used Oil	/Lawrence	SWRO	WH	Report UF
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Draining antifreeze out of a vehicle straight onto the ground. Fuel tank from F250 , dumped the diesel from it onto the ground. Oil dry after it has been used, is scooped up and put into a salvage car, which rain washes it onto the ground. Freon is released directly into the air.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #8997  An inspection of the facility was conducted. See inspection report for further details.  Violations were identified during the site visit. The department wil follow-up with the facility until violations are addressed.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57298	4/11/2024	4/17/2024	Republic Foods	Odor	Lone Jack /Johnson	KCRO	MC	Other
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		From Webform - Excessive odors from cow manure and waste at the Republic Foods feed lot east of Lone Jack MO make it smell like pungent cow shit in my yard anytime the breeze blows from the north. My house is one mile SW of this facility that continues to pollute our environment. I grew up on a farm and am used to smelling some manure. This odor that is often emitted from Republic Foods is well beyond normal, and is noxious. My family can not go outside.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Odors were present along 50 Hwy, but below 2:1 dilutions. No odor violation at time of investigation.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57306	4/11/2024	4/24/2024	John Shaw	Open Burning	Alba /Jasper	SWRO	GE	Communication In Person
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Open burning all kinds of things from wire insulation to trash to demolition waste						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9050 I arrived at the site at 11:00 a.m. and spoke to John Shaw. There was no evidence of any burning other than his wood burning furnace that is inside a building. This stove was operating and was only putting out white smoke. The property does contain metal items, wooden church benches and other items. No open burning was observed. Property does contain approximaltey 30 tires and he was advised to place rock salt in the tires in order to control mosquitoes. Site was inspected on 2-27-24 in regards to concern 8278. Property has not changed since that investigation. No open burning or solid waste violations was observed.						



Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57313	4/11/2024	4/19/2024	Advanced Metals USA	Asbestos	/Barton	SWRO	JW	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b> Anonymous								
<b>Concern Info:</b> Concerned party states a new recycling center in Lamar is grinding asbestos and the dust is blowing all over Lamar.								
<b>Conclusions:</b> SharePoint #9057								
<p>I arrived near the facility at 1:53 p.m. and I began the investigation by parking 100 yards south of the facility. Winds were out of the NE at roughly 5 mph. When I arrived, a forklift at the southeast side of the facility was transferring ASR to a conveyor. The garage door to the baghouse on the south side of the building was shut. I did not observe excessive odorous matter or fugitive particulate matter emitting from the facility. At 2:00 p.m., I drove to a parking lot northeast of the facility to observe the forklift more. The conveyor was not operating so I observed activities at the facility until the conveyor started up at or around 2:20 p.m. The material on the conveyor did not generate concerning opacity levels.</p> <p>At 2:25 p.m., I drove to the Advanced Metals facility to reach out to a responsible official and inform them of my investigation. I met with Doug King on the north side of the facility. I explained the reason for my visit and informed King that I had not observed any violations that day. I began conversing with King about the recent construction permit application the facility sent APCP. I asked King about the new equipment on site and where the facility receives its ASR materials. Because asbestos was the cause of concern for this case, I began asking King about the facility's policies regarding brake pads. King stated that he had never once seen a brake pad in an ASR pile. After I asked how King finds out which processing facilities use brake pads and how they mitigate asbestos release, King stated that he did not know the policies of other facilities. I ended the conversation with King by explaining that I will continue to investigate the facility when concerns come in until we can be assured that the facility has no issues.</p> <p>I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.</p>								

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57316	4/12/2024	4/24/2024	Carthage Crushed Limestone	Fugitive Particulate Matter	Carthage /Jasper	SWRO	BD	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Debra Probert						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		CP stated that there is thick dust blowing across I-49 north of Carthage at the Civil War Rd exit. Thick enough that it is obstructing visibility, similar to driving through smoke. Appeared to be coming from Carthage Crushed Limestone. CP called back after initial call and concern entry and stated that she talked to the facility and they are working on getting a second water truck for dust suppression out to their facility						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9062</p> <p>Prior to the investigation on 4/22/24, I contacted the concerned party (CP) based on the report to confirm that the facility had corrected the fugitive emissions that were observed by the CP. The CP confirmed that the facility had immediately sent out watering trucks after being contacted by the CP, and that the CP had not observed any fugitive emissions since the first observation was made and reported to the department. I informed the CP that I would still make a site visit to ensure the facility was maintaining the proper amount of watering that is required in their construction permit, 062020-020, which is approximately 20,000 gallons per day.</p> <p>I arrived to the facility at 11:15 a.m. and drove around the accessible perimeter to make cursory observations and check for any signs of fugitive emissions. I drove south on Civil War Rd and went past the Telsmith plant located just north of I-49, but did not observe any signs of fugitive emissions along the road. I continued south on Civil War Rd and I drove past the Fine Grind plant that is located south of I-49. I then made a u-turn to return to the Telsmith plant. I did not observe any signs of fugitive emissions during the drive by the Fine Grind plant. When I returned to the Telsmith plant, I parked on the side of Civil War Rd near the underpass of I-49 to sit and make observations near the perimeter of the property. I sat and observed the facility for approximately 10 minutes and during this time I observed visible emissions from both the Telsmith plant and Blevins Asphalt, which is located adjacent to the Telsmith plant, but the emissions never crossed the property boundary. I also observed a water truck enter onto the property and begin to wet both the Telsmith plant and Blevins Asphalt down for dust suppressant. I then entered onto the Carthage Crushed Limestone property and asked to speak with either Shad Burns or Matt Patrick who are listed as the responsible officials in our most recent inspection reports. I then met with both Patrick and Burns to explain the reason for my visit and request watering records. Burns readily produced the watering records which indicated that the facility had been watering the property with a minimum of 24,000 gallons of water a day, and on some days upwards of 120,000 gallons in order to maintain the dust on the property. Burns indicated that it had been particularly dry but they were trying to apply as much water as allowable to keep the dust down. I informed Burns that I had not seen any signs of fugitive emissions, but ensured they would remain watering since visible emissions could be seen at the plant. I thanked Burns and Patrick for their time and left the facility at 12 p.m.</p> <p>No violations of 10 CSR 10-6.170 were observed, as the visible emissions to the ambient air did not leave the premises of origin. The facility has been maintaining proper watering amounts/records per their construction permit.</p>						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57326	4/12/2024	4/19/2024	C & L Grease Wastewater	Odor General Water	Carterville /Jasper	SWRO	JW	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		From online concern form, attached: "Every time we drive by the area I have to hold my breath. Acid, Manure or Farm Animals, Rotten Eggs, Rancid or Rotten, Sewer, Trash, Dead Animal/ Bad Grease. Concern about getting into water system. Especially for those with well-water. The smell is unacceptable for neighbors. Please investigate. Thank you so much!"						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9072</p> <p>I approached the facility northwest on HH at 12:48 p.m. The winds were out of the N at 5 mph. I began using the nasal ranger on Elm Rd, south of the facility, at 1:00 p.m. and I did not observe any wastewater related odors. I did not use the nasal ranger because I could not smell any unusual odors. At 1:08 p.m., I drove over to the facility to relay the message to its employees that I had investigated their property for odor complaints. Michelle Wald was on the phone with Larry Wald in the office, so I let Michelle know about my investigation and she passed it on to Larry Wald. I ended the investigation at around 1:15 p.m.</p> <p>I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57340	4/15/2024	4/19/2024	C&L Grease	Odor	Cartersville /Jasper	SWRO	JW	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Caller said that the odor coming from C&L Grease is very bad today. The area of concern is the neighborhood along 5th St. in Cartersville, directly south of C&L Grease						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9085</p> <p>I approached the facility northwest on HH at 12:48 p.m. The winds were out of the N at 5 mph. I began using the nasal ranger on Elm Rd, south of the facility, at 1:00 p.m. and I did not observe any wastewater related odors. I did not use the nasal ranger because I could not smell any unusual odors. At 1:08 p.m., I drove over to the facility to relay the message to its employees that I had investigated their property for odor complaints. Michelle Wald was on the phone with Larry Wald in the office, so I let Michelle know about my investigation and she passed it on to Larry Wald. I ended the investigation at around 1:15 p.m.</p> <p>I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57349	4/16/2024	4/19/2024	Advanced Metals USA	Fugitive Particulate Matter	Lamar /Barton	SWRO	JW	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Take in automotive wastes (after crushing including plastic ect. and grind it up and remove metals from it, dispose of the rest. The concern is with dust and debris that is produced during this process and blowing offsite.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9116</p> <p>Same as concern 9057. Refer to #8077 for first inv. of the facility.</p> <p>I arrived near the facility at 1:53 p.m. and I began the investigation by parking 100 yards south of the facility. Winds were out of the NE at roughly 5 mph. When I arrived, a forklift at the southeast side of the facility was transferring ASR to a conveyor. The garage door to the baghouse on the south side of the building was shut. I did not observe excessive odorous matter or fugitive particulate matter emitting from the facility. At 2:00 p.m., I drove to a parking lot northeast of the facility to observe the forklift more. The conveyor was not operating so I observed activities at the facility until the conveyor started up at or around 2:20 p.m. The material on the conveyor did not generate concerning opacity levels.</p> <p>At 2:25 p.m., I drove to the Advanced Metals facility to reach out to a responsible official and inform them of my investigation. I met with Doug King on the north side of the facility. I explained the reason for my visit and informed King that I had not observed any violations that day. I began conversing with King about the recent construction permit application the facility sent ACP. I asked King about the new equipment on site and where the facility receives its ASR materials. Because asbestos was the cause of concern for this case, I began asking King about the facility's policies regarding brake pads. King stated that he had never once seen a brake pad in an ASR pile. After I asked how King finds out which processing facilities use brake pads and how they mitigate asbestos release, King stated that he did not know the policies of other facilities. I ended the conversation with King by explaining that I will continue to investigate the facility when concerns come in until we can be assured that the facility has no issues.</p> <p>I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57350	4/16/2024	4/19/2024	C & L Grease	Odor	/Jasper	SWRO	JW	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b> Melissa Thompson <b>Concern Info:</b> Disgusting odor coming from the property west of heritage acres on gum road. The odor was noticed around 3:00 pm on 4/6/2024 and was continuing at the time the concern was placed at 3:53 p.m. <b>Conclusions:</b> SharePoint #9120  I approached the facility northwest on HH at 12:48 p.m. The winds were out of the N at 5 mph. I began using the nasal ranger on Elm Rd, south of the facility, at 1:00 p.m. and I did not observe any wastewater related odors. I did not use the nasal ranger because I could not smell any unusual odors. At 1:08 p.m., I drove over to the facility to relay the message to its employees that I had investigated their property for odor complaints. Michelle Wald was on the phone with Larry Wald in the office, so I let Michelle know about my investigation and she passed it on to Larry Wald. I ended the investigation at around 1:15 p.m.  I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required								

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57357	4/16/2024	4/17/2024	Unknown	Open Burning	Independence	KCRO	MC	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b> Stacey Edwards <b>Concern Info:</b> Concerned party called to report neighbor burying yard waste and is concerned due to her husband's health issues and he gets short of breath form the smoke. <b>Conclusions:</b> Based on my conversation with the concerned party, there are no violations of Missouri's open burning regulation. Responsible party is burning vegetative waste that originated from the same site. No on site investigation was conducted.								

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57358	4/16/2024	4/17/2024	Unknown	Open Burning	Independence	KCRO	MC	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b> Stacey Edwards <b>Concern Info:</b> Concerned party called to report neighbor burying yard waste and is concerned due to her husband's health issues and he gets short of breath form the smoke. <b>Conclusions:</b> Based on my conversation with the concerned party, there are no violations of Missouri's open burning regulation. Responsible party is burning vegetative waste that originated from the same site. No on site investigation was conducted.								

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57365	4/15/2024	4/17/2024	Dustin Rinehart	Used Oil Open Burning General Water Quality	Chillicothe /Livingston	NERO	BH	Letter AC
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		On April 15, 2024, the Northeast Regional Office received a concern stating that Ryan Hart has approximately 15-25 junk cars on his property at the corner of Montgomery Street and St. Louis Street in Chillicothe. The caller states that gas, used oil, and other vehicle fluids are leaking from the vehicles and pooling on the property and running down the road. Caller states that he is worried about vehicle fluids running off into a stream. The caller states that Ryan Hart has pushed some of the vehicles on their side to drain the fluids. The caller states that Ryan Hurt will periodically push dirt on the property into piles and burn it. Caller also states that the floor drain in the shop drains into the back yard and they pour fluids into the drain. Caller also states that Ryan Hart burns used oil and gasoline in wood stove. The caller also stated that Ryan Hart demolished a deck and burned it in the backyard. The caller states that junk cars are hauled by the trailer load to a salvage yard.						
		*Note: Parcel view shows the property is owned by Dustin Rhinehart						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		No violations determined, as the waste observed was the result of an ongoing renovation project and arrangements had been made for proper disposal of the waste. An informational letter discussing the Missouri Solid Waste Management Law and Regulations will be sent to Dustin and Chelsea Rinehart.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57368	4/17/2024	4/24/2024		Asbestos	Mountain Grove /Wright	SWRO	JW	Phone Conversation LOW
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		CP states that the city is demolishing a house with asbestos siding. The caller says that kids are always playing outside in that neighborhood and he knows that the siding is asbestos and thinks the insulation is as well.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9137 I arrived to Mountain Grove at 12:40 p.m. and drove to 224 Elm St to begin the investigation. The structure in question had been fully demolished and hauled off site. I did not observe any burned materials. No more than two cubic yards of concrete and untreated wood demolition waste remained on site. At 12:48 p.m., I drove to the Mountain Grove city hall to attempt to establish contact with the city inspector. The city clerk directed me to the Mountain Grove fire department so that I could meet with fire chief Clinton Gibbons, who also happened to be the city inspector. On my way to the fire department, around 1:00 p.m., I noticed a dump truck and 20 yard rolloff dumpster located at 221 ½ W 1st St as I drove west on W 1st St. The dumpster was full of demolition waste, including but not limited to treated lumber and roofing materials. Upon checking Google Maps, I realized that the concrete pad between 211 W 1st St and 225 W 1st St was formerly a commercial structure. No one was on site during my initial visit to this address.</p> <p>At 1:05 p.m., I arrived at the Mountain Grove fire department and met with chief Gibbons. I introduced myself along with my credentials and explained the reason for my visit. Gibbons stated that he thought that the demolition contractors were responsible for asbestos inspections and department notifications. Gibbons stated that the previous fire chief had arranged demolition contracts with the city building commission for the demolished structures in question. Dan Pridemore of Pridemore Excavating was the contractor that won the bids for both of these demolition projects. I asked Gibbons for clarification on the demolition projects at 224 Elm St and 221 ½ W 1st St. I elaborated on the requirements for asbestos compliance, specifically whether the city or property owners were responsible for asbestos violations. Regarding 224 Elm St, because the city of Mountain Grove facilitated the demolition, Gibbons or another responsible official was expected to hire an asbestos inspector and notify the department. The city was responsible for the 221 ½ W 1st St project since it had arranged the bids for the demolition. Pridemore would not have arranged the inspections nor the notifications, but should have been informed of the asbestos regulations. Gibbons provided me contact information for the city administrator so I could obtain more information about past and future demolitions. I thanked Gibbons for his time and I left the fire department at 1:33 p.m.</p> <p>At 1:36 p.m., prior to ending the investigation, I drove back to 221 ½ W 1st St to take pictures of the regulated demolition project. Dan Pridemore was on site so I introduced myself and explained the reason for my visit. I explained the asbestos regulations to Pridemore and asked questions about the materials demolished at both addresses that were demolished. Unlike Gibbons, Pridemore thought that the city and/or property owner was responsible for asbestos inspections and notifying the department. I received contact information from Pridemore so I could provide him follow-up details about asbestos regulations. I left the site at 2:11 p.m.</p> <p>On April 25, 2024 I spoke with Becky Davis, city administrator, of the city of Mountain Grove. I explained the asbestos regulations to Davis after she confirmed that the city was planning more demolitions. We also discussed the possibility of a courtesy notification that could encapsulate multiple planned demolitions for the 2024 calendar year. On April 26, 2024 I sent Davis, Gibbons, and Pridemore an email clarifying how the revenue stream dictates responsibility for contractors and project operators. I also provided all the information the city would need to avoid future compliance issues regarding regulated demolition projects.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57365	4/15/2024	4/17/2024	Dustin Rinehart	Used Oil Open Burning General Water Quality	Chillicothe /Livingston	NERO	BH	Letter AC
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		On April 15, 2024, the Northeast Regional Office received a concern stating that Ryan Hart has approximately 15-25 junk cars on his property at the corner of Montgomery Street and St. Louis Street in Chillicothe. The caller states that gas, used oil, and other vehicle fluids are leaking from the vehicles and pooling on the property and running down the road. Caller states that he is worried about vehicle fluids running off into a stream. The caller states that Ryan Hart has pushed some of the vehicles on their side to drain the fluids. The caller states that Ryan Hurt will periodically push dirt on the property into piles and burn it. Caller also states that the floor drain in the shop drains into the back yard and they pour fluids into the drain. Caller also states that Ryan Hart burns used oil and gasoline in wood stove. The caller also stated that Ryan Hart demolished a deck and burned it in the backyard. The caller states that junk cars are hauled by the trailer load to a salvage yard.						
		*Note: Parcel view shows the property is owned by Dustin Rhinehart						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		No violations determined, as the waste observed was the result of an ongoing renovation project and arrangements had been made for proper disposal of the waste. An informational letter discussing the Missouri Solid Waste Management Law and Regulations will be sent to Dustin and Chelsea Rinehart.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57368	4/17/2024	4/24/2024		Asbestos	Mountain Grove /Wright	SWRO	JW	Phone Conversation LOW
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		CP states that the city is demolishing a house with asbestos siding. The caller says that kids are always playing outside in that neighborhood and he knows that the siding is asbestos and thinks the insulation is as well.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9137 I arrived to Mountain Grove at 12:40 p.m. and drove to 224 Elm St to begin the investigation. The structure in question had been fully demolished and hauled off site. I did not observe any burned materials. No more than two cubic yards of concrete and untreated wood demolition waste remained on site. At 12:48 p.m., I drove to the Mountain Grove city hall to attempt to establish contact with the city inspector. The city clerk directed me to the Mountain Grove fire department so that I could meet with fire chief Clinton Gibbons, who also happened to be the city inspector. On my way to the fire department, around 1:00 p.m., I noticed a dump truck and 20 yard rolloff dumpster located at 221 ½ W 1st St as I drove west on W 1st St. The dumpster was full of demolition waste, including but not limited to treated lumber and roofing materials. Upon checking Google Maps, I realized that the concrete pad between 211 W 1st St and 225 W 1st St was formerly a commercial structure. No one was on site during my initial visit to this address.</p> <p>At 1:05 p.m., I arrived at the Mountain Grove fire department and met with chief Gibbons. I introduced myself along with my credentials and explained the reason for my visit. Gibbons stated that he thought that the demolition contractors were responsible for asbestos inspections and department notifications. Gibbons stated that the previous fire chief had arranged demolition contracts with the city building commission for the demolished structures in question. Dan Pridemore of Pridemore Excavating was the contractor that won the bids for both of these demolition projects. I asked Gibbons for clarification on the demolition projects at 224 Elm St and 221 ½ W 1st St. I elaborated on the requirements for asbestos compliance, specifically whether the city or property owners were responsible for asbestos violations. Regarding 224 Elm St, because the city of Mountain Grove facilitated the demolition, Gibbons or another responsible official was expected to hire an asbestos inspector and notify the department. The city was responsible for the 221 ½ W 1st St project since it had arranged the bids for the demolition. Pridemore would not have arranged the inspections nor the notifications, but should have been informed of the asbestos regulations. Gibbons provided me contact information for the city administrator so I could obtain more information about past and future demolitions. I thanked Gibbons for his time and I left the fire department at 1:33 p.m.</p> <p>At 1:36 p.m., prior to ending the investigation, I drove back to 221 ½ W 1st St to take pictures of the regulated demolition project. Dan Pridemore was on site so I introduced myself and explained the reason for my visit. I explained the asbestos regulations to Pridemore and asked questions about the materials demolished at both addresses that were demolished. Unlike Gibbons, Pridemore thought that the city and/or property owner was responsible for asbestos inspections and notifying the department. I received contact information from Pridemore so I could provide him follow-up details about asbestos regulations. I left the site at 2:11 p.m.</p> <p>On April 25, 2024 I spoke with Becky Davis, city administrator, of the city of Mountain Grove. I explained the asbestos regulations to Davis after she confirmed that the city was planning more demolitions. We also discussed the possibility of a courtesy notification that could encapsulate multiple planned demolitions for the 2024 calendar year. On April 26, 2024 I sent Davis, Gibbons, and Pridemore an email clarifying how the revenue stream dictates responsibility for contractors and project operators. I also provided all the information the city would need to avoid future compliance issues regarding regulated demolition projects.</p>						



Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57368	4/17/2024	4/24/2024		Asbestos	Mountain Grove /Wright	SWRO	JW	Phone Conversation RTC
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		CP states that the city is demolishing a house with asbestos siding. The caller says that kids are always playing outside in that neighborhood and he knows that the siding is asbestos and thinks the insulation is as well.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9137 I arrived to Mountain Grove at 12:40 p.m. and drove to 224 Elm St to begin the investigation. The structure in question had been fully demolished and hauled off site. I did not observe any burned materials. No more than two cubic yards of concrete and untreated wood demolition waste remained on site. At 12:48 p.m., I drove to the Mountain Grove city hall to attempt to establish contact with the city inspector. The city clerk directed me to the Mountain Grove fire department so that I could meet with fire chief Clinton Gibbons, who also happened to be the city inspector. On my way to the fire department, around 1:00 p.m., I noticed a dump truck and 20 yard rolloff dumpster located at 221 ½ W 1st St as I drove west on W 1st St. The dumpster was full of demolition waste, including but not limited to treated lumber and roofing materials. Upon checking Google Maps, I realized that the concrete pad between 211 W 1st St and 225 W 1st St was formerly a commercial structure. No one was on site during my initial visit to this address.</p> <p>At 1:05 p.m., I arrived at the Mountain Grove fire department and met with chief Gibbons. I introduced myself along with my credentials and explained the reason for my visit. Gibbons stated that he thought that the demolition contractors were responsible for asbestos inspections and department notifications. Gibbons stated that the previous fire chief had arranged demolition contracts with the city building commission for the demolished structures in question. Dan Pridemore of Pridemore Excavating was the contractor that won the bids for both of these demolition projects. I asked Gibbons for clarification on the demolition projects at 224 Elm St and 221 ½ W 1st St. I elaborated on the requirements for asbestos compliance, specifically whether the city or property owners were responsible for asbestos violations. Regarding 224 Elm St, because the city of Mountain Grove facilitated the demolition, Gibbons or another responsible official was expected to hire an asbestos inspector and notify the department. The city was responsible for the 221 ½ W 1st St project since it had arranged the bids for the demolition. Pridemore would not have arranged the inspections nor the notifications, but should have been informed of the asbestos regulations. Gibbons provided me contact information for the city administrator so I could obtain more information about past and future demolitions. I thanked Gibbons for his time and I left the fire department at 1:33 p.m.</p> <p>At 1:36 p.m., prior to ending the investigation, I drove back to 221 ½ W 1st St to take pictures of the regulated demolition project. Dan Pridemore was on site so I introduced myself and explained the reason for my visit. I explained the asbestos regulations to Pridemore and asked questions about the materials demolished at both addresses that were demolished. Unlike Gibbons, Pridemore thought that the city and/or property owner was responsible for asbestos inspections and notifying the department. I received contact information from Pridemore so I could provide him follow-up details about asbestos regulations. I left the site at 2:11 p.m.</p> <p>On April 25, 2024 I spoke with Becky Davis, city administrator, of the city of Mountain Grove. I explained the asbestos regulations to Davis after she confirmed that the city was planning more demolitions. We also discussed the possibility of a courtesy notification that could encapsulate multiple planned demolitions for the 2024 calendar year. On April 26, 2024 I sent Davis, Gibbons, and Pridemore an email clarifying how the revenue stream dictates responsibility for contractors and project operators. I also provided all the information the city would need to avoid future compliance issues regarding regulated demolition projects.</p>						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57382	4/18/2024	4/24/2024	AA Quarry	Fugitive Particulate Matter	Kingsville /Johnson	KCRO	DS	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Cheryl Sipim						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Concerned party called about the heavy dust that is so bad it is coming into the home and adheres to everything. It is hard to get off, like cement. Equipment is running 24/7 and there is a bad sulfur smell -like sewer in the air.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		At the time of investigation, no violations were observed. Roads were being watered and opacity appeared to be below limits. No emissions were observed leaving the property. Some sulfur odor was detected near the underground mine ventilation shaft, but nowhere else on site. AA Quarry is planning to move the fan underground, which will help with the noise. AA Quarry is also exploring the use of a dust suppressing system for the fine powder from the crushed rock.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57388	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	Ridenour-Baker Grocery Company Building	Asbestos	Kansas City /Jackson	KCRO	MC	Referred to Other Agency
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Via Webform - Submitted at 4:52 pm Thursday, April 18, 2024 - Date of Incident or First Noticed - 03/25/2024: I believe the building Former Weld Wheel Building has not had proper asbestos abatement and they plan to implode the build in a month. The project manager has not proven that they are taking all the necessary steps to protect the community, not pollute the Missouri river near by, or complete this demolition according to any set of environmental standards. Have they been properly inspected and given approval for this demolition? The first few days they were dismantling the building they didn't have any water being sprayed on it and when asked about the abatement they stated they think they got most of it. Its just a safe environment and I think they might not be following all standards.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		This concern is located within the city limits of Kansas City, MO; therefore, the concern was referred to the delegated local agency, which is the City of Kansas City Health Department's Air Quality Program.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57397	4/15/2024	4/17/2024	Jessica and Robert Caddell	Open Burning	Mokane /Callaway	NERO	BH	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Terry Nichols						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		From MEERTS: The caller reports the neighbor has been burning all his trash and other materials on his property. The caller has contacted the local police and fire department about the issue and was told he lives out in the country and there is nothing they can do about it. The caller stated that the property owner doesn't have a trash pick up service so he pretty much burns everything he wants to dispose of at his house. The caller would like to be notified by the regional office if they are planning on responding. I called the caller back on 4/16 and requested additional information. He told me the burning included all kinds of material, including tires before, and recently something exploded in the fire. Burning is about weekly. Stated the owner's wife's friend bought the house to the north and burned it in four piles recently.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		This is an ACE concern that is already being worked. RP is working on cleaning up burn debris. See ACE # 57278						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57406	4/15/2024	4/22/2024	O'Neal (maybe)	Open Burning	Bosworth /Carroll	NERO	DB	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Caller states he thinks railroad ties are being burned in an empty lot nearby on Jefferson St.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Unable to identify any violation of the open burning rule during the investigation.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57408	4/22/2024	4/24/2024	Unknown	Open Burning Hazardous Air	/Clinton	KCRO	CK	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Lori Bolin						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Concerned party called and left a message at 8:59 p.m. Friday 4/19/2024 with a concern about clearing waste, burning, and creating a health hazard. Location is on 100th Street in Cameron. I called back on Monday 4/22/2024 and received no answer at 8:30 a.m.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		While this concern originally state the source was in Clinton County, further investigation determined it to be in Daviess County. Information was forwarded to the Northeast Regional Office for investigation.						



Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57409	4/22/2024	4/22/2024	Unknown	Asbestos	Kansas City /Jackson	KCRO	MC	Other
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Possible asbestos wrapped pipe being cut and dumped into a dumpster. No one is wearing the proper protective equipment.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Kansas City Health Department, Air Quality Program. This concern is located within the city limits of Kansas City, MO; therefore, the concern was referred to the delegated local agency, which is the City of Kansas City Health Department's Air Quality Program.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57411	4/22/2024	4/23/2024	Steve Mattox	Scrap Tire Dump Open Burning	Harrisonville /Cass	KCRO	KB	Other CNC
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		CP states: Steve takes tires in for a fee and dumps them on his land. Twice in the last 7 years he lights them on fire. I think the neighbors called the sheriff out the last time it happened. I called the sheriff when the dumping started again but he said to report it to the EPA.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		UF for improper storage of tires.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57411	4/22/2024	4/23/2024	Steve Mattox	Scrap Tire Dump Open Burning	Harrisonville /Cass	KCRO	KB	Other UF
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		CP states: Steve takes tires in for a fee and dumps them on his land. Twice in the last 7 years he lights them on fire. I think the neighbors called the sheriff out the last time it happened. I called the sheriff when the dumping started again but he said to report it to the EPA.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		UF for improper storage of tires.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57412	4/22/2024	4/26/2024	City Union Mission Opportunity Farm	Open Burning Asbestos	Warsaw /Benton	KCRO	CK	Report
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		I received a call from the CP who reported that City Mission Opportunity Farm had burned down a building on the North side of 7 Highway that used to be a day care. The burning happened approximately 1 week ago. They said that the building was located across the road from Pro Tech Heat-Cool business which is the same side as the City Union Mission Tot Lot Camp. Said that there is a remaining chimney and portion of the foundation. Also stated that it seems like some of the debris was put over on the south side of the road.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		City Union Mission will be issued a Letter of Warning for failure to inspect for asbestos prior to demolition, failure to notify for the demolition, and for open burning of construction and demolition waste.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57414	4/22/2024	4/23/2024	City of Columbia	Major Wastewater Facility Property Odor	Columbia /Boone	NERO	JH	Referred to Other Agency
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Michele Simpson						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Caller stated that the sewer is backing up into the basement and contains sewage and stormwater. They stated that they have had a plumber clear the service line and the backups are still occurring. The city has cleaned the sewer line before and temporarily alleviates the issue. The caller stated the city has acknowledged the issue and was planning construction work years ago but has not completed the project. The caller stated that multiple neighbors have the same issue and there are also odors being caused by the issue.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		The concern was referred to the city to investigate. The city conducted their investigation and did not find any issue with the city's sewer main or the service line. No further actions required.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57418	4/16/2024	4/18/2024	Chris Johnson	Open Burning Other	Jackson /Cape Girardeau	SERO	TR	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		ebbbut673@stayhome						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		To Debbie re: Carpet Burning This message is for Debbie at 573-751-4817. The carpet burning took place in Cape Girardeau County. The burning was on Melissas Drive in Jackson, MO within the Forest Meadows Subdivision. Several rolled up carpets were burned on a big pile of hay rolls. What is left of the burned material was still present this morning. I have also contacted the Nuisance Abatement Officer of the Jackson Police Department via email but have not heard back from him yet.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		At the time of this investigation, no open burning was taking place. No hay bales were noted. The materials that had been open burned and that were to be burned, according to Chris Johnson, included tree limbs, a tree stump, leaves, and hay bales. These materials and others were being disposed of as part of a spring clean up. Chris Johnson stated that he had some carpet that was placed in a dumpster for disposal and he had additional materials on his truck to be hauled off. Only the yard wastes were being disposed of by open burning. I gave Chris Johnson my business card, the Missouri Air Conservation Commission Regulation 10 Code of State Regulations 10-6.045 Open Burning Requirements, the fact sheet Facts on Open Burning Under Missouri Regulations, and the fact sheet Managing Construction and Demolition Waste.						
		No violation of Missouri Air Conservation Commission Regulation 10 Code of State Regulations 10-6.045 Open Burning Requirements, was observed.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57420	4/16/2024	4/23/2024	City of Greentop WWTF	Odor	Greentop /Adair	NERO	BH	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Randy Sapp						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Caller states: Greentop Lagoon smells extremely bad. Doesn't know why but almost makes you sick.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		No odor violations						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57422	4/16/2024	4/23/2024	Wayne Wyatt	Open Burning	Gilman City /Harrison	NERO	BH	Report RTC
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Darrel and Dennis McQueary						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		<p>Darrel and Dennis McQueary (spelling not acquired for last name) called the R7 Action Line to report open burning by one of their neighbors. On the night of Sunday, April 14th, their neighbor burned tires, old building shingles, tress/wood, and oil in his basement. The fire burned for over four hours and produced a thick black smoke that went into neighboring houses. Mr. McQueary reported the smoke affected at least five families, including those with small children and older adults aged 92 on oxygen. Darrel was outside 500 feet away when the fire started. He lives in a house at 101 F Street and his brother lives on a camper on the same property. They both called at separate times to report and offered to show any inspectors exactly where the fire occurred. Mr. McQueary told me that if inspectors are sent that they would speak to them and show them around. The neighbor lives off of Hoffman Street. The caller said the site of the fire could be found by the last road on the left-hand side of Gilman City. He provided further directions that when coming into town to come to the gas station, go down the 3rd block after the gas station to two two-story houses on each corner back on the lefthand side. He did not have specific address for the alleged fire but offered to show where it was.</p> <p>McQueary called both the Harrison County Fire Department and Missouri State Highway Patrol location in St. Joseph and reported that neither responded. The was described as 16 feet high and blowing sparks with smoke affecting neighbors all Sunday night, and he could see tires and shingles included in the burn.</p>						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Violation of Missouri Open Burning Laws						

---

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57422	4/16/2024	4/23/2024	Wayne Wyatt	Open Burning	Gilman City /Harrison	NERO	BH	Report UF
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Darrel and Dennis McQueary						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		<p>Darrel and Dennis McQueary (spelling not acquired for last name) called the R7 Action Line to report open burning by one of their neighbors. On the night of Sunday, April 14th, their neighbor burned tires, old building shingles, tress/wood, and oil in his basement. The fire burned for over four hours and produced a thick black smoke that went into neighboring houses. Mr. McQueary reported the smoke affected at least five families, including those with small children and older adults aged 92 on oxygen. Darrel was outside 500 feet away when the fire started. He lives in a house at 101 F Street and his brother lives on a camper on the same property. They both called at separate times to report and offered to show any inspectors exactly where the fire occurred. Mr. McQueary told me that if inspectors are sent that they would speak to them and show them around. The neighbor lives off of Hoffman Street. The caller said the site of the fire could be found by the last road on the left-hand side of Gilman City. He provided further directions that when coming into town to come to the gas station, go down the 3rd block after the gas station to two two-story houses on each corner back on the lefthand side. He did not have specific address for the alleged fire but offered to show where it was.</p> <p>McQueary called both the Harrison County Fire Department and Missouri State Highway Patrol location in St. Joseph and reported that neither responded. The was described as 16 feet high and blowing sparks with smoke affecting neighbors all Sunday night, and he could see tires and shingles included in the burn.</p>						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Violation of Missouri Open Burning Laws						

---

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57437	4/25/2024	4/26/2024	Sterling Apple Market	Asbestos	Independence /Jackson	KCRO	MC	Email
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		<p>From Webform:I'm concerned about possible asbestos at the Apple Market on the corner of 24 hwy and Sterling. I've noticed that there is crumbling paint and stone inside the store below the front windows. I'm concerned that it might contain asbestos with the age of the store. The area that I noticed is just through the sliding door closest to family dollar near the shopping carts. Coolers and other large items were recently moved from that area exposing it to the public.</p>						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>Since this does not appear to be related to a renovation or demolition activity, no onsite investigation was conducted. Contact information for the store was found online and information regarding required and recommended handling procedures was provided.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57443	4/19/2024	5/3/2024	Rodney and Mike Davis	Open Dumps Open Burning	/Stone	SWRO	CR	Letter LOW
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		<p>Caller states that the individuals at 964 and 1001 Haugwood Ranch Road have accumulated a large amount of trash, vehicles, mobile homes, RVs, and campers. Caller states multiple burn pits exist across the property and numerous vehicles and mobile homes as well. Caller believes the responsible parties to be Rodney and Mike Davis. Caller states they are not friendly individuals and assumes they have firearms. Caller states that these individuals have been using the property south of them (which they dont own) to store additonal vehicles and potentially other burn piles.</p>						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9175</p> <p>I conducted the investigation during normal business hours. Prior notification of the investigation was not provided. I arrived at the site at 1:45 p.m. with Bailey Dietz and drove around the accessible perimeter to do a cursory observation of the property. I then entered the property and was met by William Brown. I confirmed that this was the property of Rodney Davis and asked if he could meet with me. Brown informed me that Davis was not there at the moment but his spouse, Karen Davis, was present. K. Davis was uncomfortable granting access to the property for an investigation because she is not the owner of the property but provided me with a phone number for R. Davis. She advised me that he is unlikely to answer his phone. I exchanged my information with her and asked that she have him call me, which she agreed to. Dietz and I left the property at 2:00 p.m. I returned to the site with Matthew Knight on May 7, 2024 at 10:25 a.m. Upon arrival we observed two burn piles in front of the house listed as 1001 Haugwood Ranch Road. The piles included various regulated items including plastic storage containers, tire wire, and what appeared to be construction or demolition waste. I also observed what appeared to be clothing, parts of furniture, and a rug. I photographed these burn piles from the road before we exited the vehicle and were greeted again by William Brown. We informed Brown that we were following up in hopes to gain access to the property and had observed these burn piles from the road. Knight spoke with Brown about the status of the waste on their property which is in process of being removed. I informed Brown of our open burning regulations and provided him with a copy of the open burning fact sheet. I informed him that I would be sending a letter addressed to the property owner detailing our visit and requiring a response. We thanked Brown for his time and left the property at 10:45 a.m.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57444	4/18/2024		Unknown, possibly Ethan Brookshire	Open Burning	Mindenmines /Barton	SWRO	GE	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		<p>Anonymous caller states that two individuals at or near 104 3rd St in Mindenmines are burning tires, car parts, and a mobile home. Caller states that the burning has been intermittent and that "the black smoke is covering the entire town." The majority of the illegal burning is said to occur in the backyard of the address listed.</p>						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9159						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57447	4/23/2024	5/1/2024	Charles Smith	Open Dumps: Construction and Demolition Asbestos	Galena  /Stone	SWRO	JW	Communication In Person
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Anonymous caller states that a mobile home park is demolishing trailers with possible ACM and pushing the contents into a nearby hollow. 5-6 trailers are getting pushed into the ditch with a skidsteer.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>Sharepoint #9208</p> <p>I arrived to the property in question at 1:02 p.m. I observed eight trailers, most of which were abandoned, situated along state hwy 176. Two trailers were grouped together by a storage unit on the east side of the property whereas the rest of the trailers were on the west of the property. Between these two trailers, there was a waste pile from a burned down trailer. There was one tenant living in one of the trailers on the west end and I established contact with them to ask for information about the property owner. The tenant provided me the phone number for Charla Smith, the daughter of the property owner. I called Charla Smith at 1:25 p.m. and asked that she arrive on site to accompany me for the investigation. While I was waiting for their arrival and parked on the side of the road, I observed a large front loader by one of the trailers. I did not observe demolition waste, and the front loader had cleared a path towards the north end of the property in which there was seemingly a private land clearing project.</p> <p>At 1:25 p.m., Charles arrived with his wife and Charla. I introduced myself along with my credentials and explained the reason for my visit. I asked the Smiths questions about the trailers, including whether there were any demolitions. Charles Smith stated that there had not been any demolitions and that if there were going to be any that he would follow all of the relevant regulations. Smith clarified that the burned down trailer had occurred years ago and was an accidental event. Smith did not state how many trailers he was planning on demolishing but I explained the residential exemption and requirements for notification. I asked whether there was asbestos in the trailers and Smith denied the possibility of such. I also asked about the front loader on site, and Smith affirmed that it had only been used to clear some land behind the trailers. Smith denied that he had buried or pushed demolition waste into the valley, despite the allegations of the anonymous concern. I thanked the Smiths for their time and ended the investigation at 1:52 p.m.</p> <p>I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57450	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	DSC trading	Open Burning	Branson /Taney	SWRO	JW	Communication In Person
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Adam Lowry						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Adam Lowry called to report a concern that DSC Trading, an aircraft part sales company, is burning shingles southwest of their property at 209 Rosewood Dr near Branson. Lowry stated that the burning started in the morning and the burn pile consisted of roughly "100 tons" of shingles.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9158. I arrived near the property at 12:00 p.m. as I approached south from SE Outer Rd. As I turned onto Rinehart Rd, I observed open burning activity in a field next to the intersection of Rinehart Rd and Claremont. I approached two DSC Trading employees sitting on a truckbed next to the burn pile. I met with employees of Leo Coury, the Vice President of Operations &amp; Quality for DSC Trading. I introduced myself along with my credentials, explained the reason for my visit, and requested to speak with Coury. The employees directed me to Coury who happened to be walking around the fenced off parking lot south of the DSC trading building. I approached Coury and, because we were separated by a fence, I explained that I would take pictures of the burning activity prior to providing regulatory information to him. Coury requested that I meet him at the publicly accessible portion of the building on the east side. Before I met with Coury again, I inspected the materials composing the burn pile. There was roughly 20 cubic yards of materials remaining. These materials were roughly 30 yards away from the DSC Trading parking lot fence. These materials appeared to have consisted solely of untreated wood, but it was hard to discern because the heat wafting from the burn pile prevented me from inspecting materials more closely. The wood was arranged in parallel lines, as if it had been from shipping crates or pallets. I asked the two employees nearby whether there were any materials burned besides untreated wood. The employees stated that they had removed the shipping foam from the crates prior to arranging them into the burn pile a week beforehand.</p> <p>At roughly 12:20 p.m., I headed over to the east side of the DSC Trading building to speak with Coury. I handed a copy of PUB2047 "Facts on Open Burning" to Coury and asked that he sign the Acknowledgment Letter. Coury explained that he had spoken with the fire department at 8:45 a.m. that morning to gain permission to conduct the burn. Coury stated that he and his employees had transferred the durable goods (shipping foam, hinges, etc.) inside the crates to a dumpster. Coury walked me to the dumpsters to which he was referring, and they were empty since the separation activities occurred two weeks before my visit. Coury had already been aware of the open burning regulations and was concerned about the potential setback requirements of the burn pile, which is partially why the open burning activities occurred in the DSC Trading-owned field southwest of the facility. Coury stated that he might call the DNR for permission to burn crates again in the future since he wants to remain in compliance. I thanked Coury for his time and I ended the investigation at 12:28 p.m.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57451	4/20/2024	4/23/2024	IsoNova Technologies	Odor	/Lawrence	SWRO	JW	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Anonymous caller states IsoNova was "on the naughty list" for odors from 4:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. on 4/20/2024.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9206. I arrived to the IsoNova Technologies region from Hwy 39 at 12:58 p.m. The SW winds were about 6 mph. I drove by IsoNova Technologies on P Highway to observe whether there were vapor plumes being emitted from the stacks. Once I confirmed IsoNova Technologies was operating during my visit, I drove north on county road 1155 until I was downwind of the emissions plume from the facility. I detected odors related to the processes at the facility at 1:11 p.m., but they did not constitute a violation of the 7:1 legal threshold. I connected onto Hwy 39 again and drove north so that I could clear the latent odors in the car. Once I was confident I had refreshed the air, I accessed county road 1155 to drive downwind of the facility while using my nasal ranger at 1:20 p.m. I did not detect excessive odor during this second attempt. I ended the investigation at 1:24 p.m.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57452	4/18/2024	4/19/2024	Larry Wald	Odor	/Jasper	SWRO	JW	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Bill Rowland						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Caller is concerned about the smell from C&L Grease land applying. Caller will call everyday and also will contact the state representative every day that he smells it.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9157. I approached the facility northwest on HH at 12:48 p.m. The winds were out of the N at 5 mph. I began using the nasal ranger on Elm Rd, south of the facility, at 1:00 p.m. and I did not observe any wastewater related odors. I did not use the nasal ranger because I could not smell any unusual odors. At 1:08 p.m., I drove over to the facility to relay the message to its employees that I had investigated their property for odor complaints. Michelle Wald was on the phone with Larry Wald in the office, so I let Michelle know about my investigation and she passed it on to Larry Wald. I ended the investigation at around 1:15 p.m. I called Bill Rowland on 4/18/2024 to inform him of the findings of my investigation. Rowland has lived in Carterville since 1972 and said that he had never smelled odors as bad as they have been for the past two months. I informed Rowland that I did not find any violations but that we may keep investigating the facility as necessary. The call occurred from 3:15 to 3:25 p.m.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57453	4/26/2024	5/3/2024	Blankenship	Open Burning	Lebanon /Laclede	SWRO	BD	Letter LOW
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Caller states that neighboring house was knocked down and set on fire today (4/26). CP also states that nothing was removed from the home before it was set on fire. Responsible party/parties are watching the fire, but CP is concerned about an accident from negligence and air pollution. They claim that there are gas cans concerningly near to the fire.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9246</p> <p>I arrived to the property at 9:55 a.m. and drove around the accessible perimeter to make initial observations. The house that was previously located on the property was no longer intact, and the remnants were still smoldering during the time of the investigation. The house in its entirety and all the contents inside the house had been burned. I took pictures of the remnants and left the property at 10:05 a.m.</p> <p>Once I returned to the office, I contacted the property owner identified by the Laclede County Assessor, Angela Young (Blankenship). We discussed the reason for why the house was burned prior to disposal. Young informed me that the house had previously been vandalized several times and that the house was not salvageable, so she decided to demolish and burn the contents of the house. Young also informed me that she had contacted the Laclede County Fire Department and Sheriffs Department several times requesting assistance in conducting a controlled burn on the property. Young was then told that the fire department would not respond, but that she had permission to burn the house and they would write it up as a controlled burn. Young mentioned being nervous about the idea of the burn being uncontrolled. I asked Young who she had contacted with the fire department, but Young was unsure due to speaking with different individuals on multiple occasions. Young did provide the phone number that she used to contact the fire department, and a CAV will be conducted with the Laclede County Fire Department in the future. I informed Young that I would still have to issue a violation and require that the material be disposed of properly. Young informed me that they were planning on having a dumpster out as soon as possible to dispose of the regulated waste in order to put a new house in the same location. I asked Young to send me any receipt or photograph proof of proper disposal and provided my contact information to keep in touch. I thanked Young for her time that afternoon and ended the phone call.</p> <p>A letter of warning will be issued to the homeowner for violation of 10 CSR 10-6.045 Open Burning Regulations and 260.210 RSMo for failure to properly dispose of solid wastes.</p>						



Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57456	4/19/2024	4/24/2024	Al Hudson	Open Dumps Open Burning	Ava /Ozark	SWRO	MK	Site Visit UF
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		CP reports that an individual has dug a "pit" and is dumping garbage into said pit. CP reports they are also burning and burying this waste and has observed them hauling in trash from other sites. Caller states there are individuals living in a camper on site. Caller does not know who the individuals are and could not provide an exact location, intersection, or nearby road.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9174</p> <p>I investigated the above concern at the address of 19477 W. Hwy 76, Ava, Missouri. Upon arrival, I spoke to Al Hudson, the property owner. I advised Hudson of the concern and briefly shared with him how the Missouri Solid Waste Laws and Regulations would apply to the situation. While on site I found a pile of burnt waste. The pile is approximately 20 feet by 20 feet and contains 99% household waste and the remaining waste is mattress springs and furniture structures. The trash came from the home located on the property. I told Hudson he could have his household trash dump but was not allowed to burn things like mattresses, furniture, plastic toys, tires, etc. Hudson will have his nephew pull out the furniture and mattress springs. A. Hudson was advised that an Unsatisfactory Findings letter would be issued. I gave A. Hudson my business card and left.</p> <p>An unsatisfactory findings letter will be issued.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57468	4/18/2024	4/22/2024	Southern Store - Neely's Landing	Fugitive Particulate Matter	Jackson  /Cape Girardeau	SERO	DB	Communication In Person
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Southern Stone (Neely's Landing) is dumping material from the quarry, trash, iron, wire, oil cans, rope into the Mississippi River. CP also wants to report dust from the quarry being thick at times.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>I arrived at South Stone Quarry at approximately 2:55 PM on April 22, 2024. Driving up to the office, I did not notice any dust whatsoever. I met with J.R. Minchew, Plant Manager and explained the concern that we had received. I asked J.R. if he could take me around the quarry so that I could take a look at things. J.R. agreed to show me around so we got in his truck and toured the facility.</p> <p>While we were driving around, the water truck at the quarry was driving around applying water to the haul roads. There are two plants at this quarry. The upper plant was not in operation while I was there, only the lower plant was in operation. The lower plant had some dust coming off of it, but it was not stretching over property boundaries. J.R. explained that there are water lines on the radial stackers on the lower plant which made sense because the only dust coming from the plant was around the crushers and screen. J.R. explained that there is supposed to be a mixture of wet material and dry material being loaded into the primary crusher, but due to employee and ownership changes, there is a language barrier.</p> <p>I encouraged J.R. to find a way to bypass any language barriers and have some wet material going into the primary crusher to further mitigate dust emissions. J.R. took me down in the pit and around the back of the pit to show where a usual concerned citizen resides and where their property lines are. Again, near the property lines there was no trace of dust while I was there. I encouraged J.R. to continue running the water truck and to document how much water is being applied for concern situations such as this one. I also reiterated adding wet material to the primary crusher and to consider adding more water lines on the plant to keep dust down.</p> <p>I gave J.R. my business card along with PUB1291 - Dust Suppression on Unpaved Roads and PUB2200 - Odor, Dust, Asbestos, Open Burning, and Freon Emissions. I encouraged J.R. to contact me anytime with questions or concerns regarding the quarry. I then left the facility.</p>						



Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57476	4/29/2024	5/1/2024	Corbion	Odor Particulates Hazardous Air Pollutant Release	Grandview /Jackson	KCRO	DS	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Molly Kopczynski						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Concern received from EPA where CP states: This is a consistent blow out of chemicals that makes it impossible to walk into the neighboring buildings without choking, holding your breath, or covering your nose and mouth. I can feel and taste the chemicals in my mouth around the building. Most recent experience was around 1:15 pm on 4/26/24. It is literally impossible to breathe when next door outside. The smell comes into the building about 20 ft inside the shut door.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		No violations were observed at the time of investigation. No odor detected. Facility agreed to increase observations to attempt to find a cause for the concern.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57477	4/29/2024	5/1/2024	Jeremy Reed	Open Burning	Calhoun /Henry	KCRO	DS	Report RTC
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Betty Hibbs						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		<p>Concern party stated that Jeremy Reed has been tearing down a house and burning it in the old foundation since April 19, 2024. They stated that they had contacted the Henry County Sheriffs Office and the volunteer fire department, but nothing had been done. The concern party also stated that the City of Calhoun may be giving Jeremy Reed a citation for the burning, but they advised the concern party to call us as well.</p> <p>The concern party also stated that Reed may be violent as well.</p> <p>The concern party called last night on 4/29/2024 stating that the Jeremy Reed was burning what was left of the house all at once. The fire department was notified but they said it was a controlled burn and did not put it out.</p> <p>The concern party called on 4/30/2024 at 9:19am stating that the pile was still smoldering, and the fire department was not putting it out. The CP also stated that Jeremy Reed owns multiple pieces of property in town that have dilapidated houses on them, and they were worried that he was going to burn them as well.</p> <p>I then called Mark Hardin who is the Fire Chief for Calhoun (660-264-0008) at 9:32am and asked him about the situation. Hardin stated that they had just left the location right before I called him, and they had dumped 3000 gallons of water on the pile, but it was still smoldering a little bit. He also said that they would be coming be it periodically to keep dumping water on it in hopes to get it completely put out. But since its still a large pile it is making it difficult to get water into the pile without a backhoe or something to help turn the pile over. I also asked him about the other property the CP spoke of. Hardin told me that those properties were not owned by Reed but a local Judge. Harden also told me that Reed is not an aggressive man, he's just a goat farmer.</p> <p>When I asked Hardin about them approving the controlled burn, he stated that what they had approved was a brush pile that Reed was wanting to burn. They had left the location after the brush pile was lite to help the local funeral home and when they got back the house was on fire and Reed had left the location. When asked what happened Reed stated that he thought he had put out the fire with his garden hose, but it may have relit. Hardin stated that Reed had told him he had been planning on putting the debris from the house in the dumpsters during their city wide clean up which was taking place this weekend before the house had burned.</p>						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Jeremy Reed was found to be out of compliance with Missouri's Open Burning Regulations. Untreated wood waste from a house demolition was burned less than 200 yards from the nearest neighbor. Furthermore, this burning got out of control, resulting in the burning of additional demolition waste. A report with Unsatisfactory Findings will be issued.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57477	4/29/2024	5/1/2024	Jeremy Reed	Open Burning	Calhoun /Henry	KCRO	DS	Report UF
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Betty Hibbs						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		<p>Concern party stated that Jeremy Reed has been tearing down a house and burning it in the old foundation since April 19, 2024. They stated that they had contacted the Henry County Sheriffs Office and the volunteer fire department, but nothing had been done. The concern party also stated that the City of Calhoun may be giving Jeremy Reed a citation for the burning, but they advised the concern party to call us as well.</p> <p>The concern party also stated that Reed may be violent as well.</p> <p>The concern party called last night on 4/29/2024 stating that the Jeremy Reed was burning what was left of the house all at once. The fire department was notified but they said it was a controlled burn and did not put it out.</p> <p>The concern party called on 4/30/2024 at 9:19am stating that the pile was still smoldering, and the fire department was not putting it out. The CP also stated that Jeremy Reed owns multiple pieces of property in town that have dilapidated houses on them, and they were worried that he was going to burn them as well.</p> <p>I then called Mark Hardin who is the Fire Chief for Calhoun (660-264-0008) at 9:32am and asked him about the situation. Hardin stated that they had just left the location right before I called him, and they had dumped 3000 gallons of water on the pile, but it was still smoldering a little bit. He also said that they would be coming be it periodically to keep dumping water on it in hopes to get it completely put out. But since its still a large pile it is making it difficult to get water into the pile without a backhoe or something to help turn the pile over. I also asked him about the other property the CP spoke of. Hardin told me that those properties were not owned by Reed but a local Judge. Harden also told me that Reed is not an aggressive man, he's just a goat farmer.</p> <p>When I asked Hardin about them approving the controlled burn, he stated that what they had approved was a brush pile that Reed was wanting to burn. They had left the location after the brush pile was lite to help the local funeral home and when they got back the house was on fire and Reed had left the location. When asked what happened Reed stated that he thought he had put out the fire with his garden hose, but it may have relit. Hardin stated that Reed had told him he had been planning on putting the debris from the house in the dumpsters during their city wide clean up which was taking place this weekend before the house had burned.</p>						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Jeremy Reed was found to be out of compliance with Missouri's Open Burning Regulations. Untreated wood waste from a house demolition was burned less than 200 yards from the nearest neighbor. Furthermore, this burning got out of control, resulting in the burning of additional demolition waste. A report with Unsatisfactory Findings will be issued.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57479	4/30/2024	5/2/2024	Biosolids land applied by creek	Bacteria in Water Sludge Odor	/Clay	KCRO	NT	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Gary Doss						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		CP stated farm nearby brought in a load of fertilizer was concerned because they were told fertilizer is human waste. CP wanted to know if this is legal. Odor is really strong. Farm brought in loads of fertilizer last year and let sit for 6 months before spreading this spring. A new load of fertilizer was brought in this morning. Pile is near a stream and with the heavy rains we have been receiving is concerned fertilizer will be washed into stream. Concerned that fertilizer is being left to sit for long periods where it can wash out instead of being spread sooner. Pasture in CRP land not being actively used for crop. Piles are on a hill and below hill is a stream. CP stated last fall was first year this material was brought in to be used for fertilizer.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		No water quality violations were observed, and during the site visit the setback distances were being followed.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57480	4/30/2024	5/2/2024	North Liberty Commerce Center	Odor Land Application	Liberty /Clay	KCRO	MC	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Jason Goodwin						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		The CP says from Edgar Petty road you can see a blue sprayer that has been land applying "feces and urine", and it appears that the field is caked with it. The CP stated that a hallmark facility may be being built there, so that would not make sense why fertilizer would be needed. The CP stated that there is a strong odor that is noticeable. The CP says that there is a facebook page that people have been commenting on regarding the smell. The field drains to a ditch and the ditch runs under the interstate (I35).						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		The area of concern is owned by North Liberty Commerce Center, which appears to be related to NorthPoint Logistics. I did not observe any activity at the site during the investigation. The area still appears to be agricultural in nature; however, I did not hear back from NorthPoint to confirm the current use of the property. No odors were observed during the investigation. If the odors are from land application of biolsolids as a fertilizer for crops, then the odor is exempt from regulation.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57482	4/30/2024	5/2/2024	North Liberty Commerce Center	Land Application Odor	Liberty /Clay	KCRO	MC	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Mercedes Gartin						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		The CP says from Edgar Petty road you can see a blue sprayer that has been land applying "feces and urine", and it appears that the field is caked with it. The CP stated that a hallmark facility may be being built there, so that would not make sense why fertilizer would be needed. The CP stated that there is a strong odor that is noticeable. The CP says that there is a facebook page that people have been commenting on regarding the smell. The field drains to a ditch and the ditch runs under the interstate (I35).						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		The area of concern is owned by North Liberty Commerce Center, which appears to be related to NorthPoint Logistics. I did not observe any activity at the site during the investigation. The area still appears to be agricultural in nature; however, I did not hear back from NorthPoint to confirm the current use of the property. No odors were observed during the investigation. If the odors are from land application of biolsolids as a fertilizer for crops, then the odor is exempt from regulation.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57488	4/29/2024	4/30/2024	Robert Eugene Wooden	Open Burning	Eagleville /Harrison	NERO	BH	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Kimberly McNeal						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Phone call "Neighbor is burning tires, oil, rubber it is creating toxic fumes/smells. There was lots of black smoke". Burning takes place in a can next to the shed.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		No violation of Missouri Open Burning Rules. RP is burning cardboard and untreated lumber. Provided PUB 2047 Missouri Open Burning Rules.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57491	4/29/2024	4/30/2024	unknown	Open Burning Open Dumps	Spickard /Grundy	NERO	BH	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Email states, "307 N 3rd St, Spickard, MO 64679 is falling down sever Dane to inside crumbling potential fire inside. Concerned the building will fall."						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		No violations found at the site						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57494	4/29/2024	5/1/2024	Milton Voepel	Open Burning	Philadelphia /Marion	NERO	BH	Report RTC
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Hannibal Rural Fire Department						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Report from the Hannibal Rural FD states, The trailer at the location was set on fire by Rebecca Kelso to dispose of it. The fire department requested assistance in determining if it was legal to burn the trailer. Duty Officer (DO) Garner contact Rebecca Kelso and discussed open burn regulations and requested that she not relight the fire after the fire department extinguished it.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Onsite investigation conducted. Mobile home burned for disposal. Violation of Missouri Burning Laws. Issued Unsatisfactory Findings Letter. Require disposal of burn debris at a landfill and a response letter within 30 days.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57494	4/29/2024	5/1/2024	Milton Voepel	Open Burning	Philadelphia /Marion	NERO	BH	Report UF
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Hannibal Rural Fire Department						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Report from the Hannibal Rural FD states, The trailer at the location was set on fire by Rebecca Kelso to dispose of it. The fire department requested assistance in determining if it was legal to burn the trailer. Duty Officer (DO) Garner contact Rebecca Kelso and discussed open burn regulations and requested that she not relight the fire after the fire department extinguished it.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Onsite investigation conducted. Mobile home burned for disposal. Violation of Missouri Burning Laws. Issued Unsatisfactory Findings Letter. Require disposal of burn debris at a landfill and a response letter within 30 days.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57516	5/2/2024	5/8/2024	Water Treatment Plant in Ozark/ Nixa	Major Wastewater Facility Odor	/Christian	SWRO	JG	Email
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Brent and Jennifer Smallwood						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		My name is Brent Smallwood and I am writing to express concern for our neighborhood and was referred to your department by the Christian Health Department as an issue to bring to your attention. Our neighborhood is located in physical proximity to a water treatment facility of some kind in Ozark/Nixa, Missouri at 2324 Baird Rd, Nixa Mo 65714 and the presence of periodic nauseating odor has been increasing over the last several months to levels that are not acceptable for the neighborhood. We are trying to understand what can and should be done to either relocate the facility or neutralize the odors. Please advise who we should contact to get something done. Email is attached						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9305 I responded to the concerned party and explained that odors are not regulated, and that there are odors associated with this type of treatment.  Coordinating with ESP to inspect soon following a sampling event.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57524	4/30/2024	5/9/2024	Neighbor	Open Burning	/Webster	SWRO	BD	Other
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Lisa Leffert						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		(E-mail) Hi ! My neighbor builds up this mountain of trash bags and then lights in on fire . I usually smell it and have gotten headaches and nausea from it but, today the wind was my way and I had yard work to do. I got extremely nauseous, so dizzy, bad headache and my feet and hands had numbness. I was fine until the trash incident. So I know the burning trash made me sick. I couldn't even hide in my house from it because the wind was blowing right at it. Or any ideas of what I can do. Is there anything that can be done about them burning?						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9330 I attempted to call the concerned party on May 9, 2024 to obtain more information regarding this concern but my call was never returned. The address of the concerned party is in a rural area and not enough information was provided to determine who is conducting the burning. The concern reports that household trash is being burned which is not a violation of 10 CSR 10-6.045, Open Burning Requirements. A field investigation was not conducted due to not enough information being provided. No call was received back as of May 21, 2024.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57527	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	Government, Military, Private Sector (Gates)	Hazardous Air Pollutant Release Other		NERO	JH	Other
					/Ralls			
Concerned Party:		Anonymous						
Concern Info:		As a lifelong resident of Missouri, I'm concerned about the amount of high altitude chemical spraying and cloud seeding our government, military and private sector (Harvard/Bill Gates) is doing over our state. These chemicals are hazardous and harmful to humans and our environment. This is geo engineering all being done in the name of climate change. Our state has endured another year of drought. States that employ a majority of farmers or ranchers are experiencing this heavy chemical spraying of their skies. It's no coincidence that when our weather forecast shows rain that the chemtrails completely cover our skies. We didn't see this in the 70's or 80's but since the 90's, our skies continually see a crisscrossing pattern. These harmful chemicals eventually make it down to us and into the air we all breathe, on the food we eat, into the water we drink and into our soil. Everyone and everything is being poisoned. It is an obvious crime against humanity to spray the skies with known carcinogens and respiratory/soil/water contaminants like aluminum oxide, barium, strontium, lead, etc., even once, much less on an almost daily basis. States like Tennessee and Texas are taking action. The DNR needs to step up and protect the citizens of Missouri, because there is an assault on us! All you have to do is look up and see what is happening. There are many articles on this subject that is available to the public.						
Conclusions:		No violations of the Missouri Air Conservation Law are alleged in this concern. No further action required to address this concern.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57536	5/1/2024	5/2/2024	Bussen Quarries	Fugitive Particulate Matter		SLRO	RA	
					/St. Louis			
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Melina Vranjkovina						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		A caller that lives in the Magossin Trails Court subdivision wanted to voice their concern about the neighboring Bussen Quarries (along Bussen Quarry Rd. and right next to the Mississippi River). She has lived next to the quarry for 13 years, and the company has had to install equipment to control concrete dust but it seems, recently, they have not been effectively utilizing this equipment. Her neighbors' cars, decks, and exteriors are always covered in dust and her kids can't go outside to play but it also stays in the air for long periods of time as well. She is concerned about the long term effects on her and her family's health. She notices it is strongest in the morning when her kids go to school and in the evening when she goes to work.						
		She has not reached out to St. Louis County or any other agencies regarding this issue.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		This concern is located inside St. Louis County. I referred the concern to Aaron Cadman with St. Louis County Department of Health						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57537	5/3/2024	5/3/2024	Marketing.com	Hazardous Air Pollutant Release	Eureka	SLRO	RA	
					/St. Louis			
Concerned Party:		Jacob Wagoner						
Concern Info:		Received an environmental concern from the EPA. The CP states smoke and debris being exhausted from roof of building and blowing into adjacent elementary school area, including the playground onto all the children. Concern this is toxic printing exhaust such as BPA or BPS and children are being harmed.						
Conclusions:		This concern resides inside St. Louis County. Referred to St. Louis County Department of Public Health.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57551	5/6/2024	5/6/2024	Unknown	Odor	Windsor /Henry	KCRO	MC	Referred to Other Agency
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		--Submitted at 7:06 am Sunday, May 5, 2024. --Experienced Odor Date and Time: May 4, 2024 11:00 am --Experienced Odor Duration: Currently experiencing the odor --Experienced Odor Description: Smells like marijuana --Experienced Odor Intensity: Strong or Offensive --Experienced Odor Address and/or Location Description: 110 s main st Windsor Missouri 65360 --Potential Source: Smells like a grow house in basement						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Based on limited information provided by an anonymous party, this does not appear to be regulated by the department. Information was provided to the Department of Health and Senior Services Division of Cannabis Regulation, Henry County Sheriff's Department, and the City of Windsor.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57585	5/10/2024	5/22/2024	Westside Stone quarry	Fugitive Particulate Matter	Springfield /Greene	SWRO	JW	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		(Web Contact) First Noticed 07/01/2022. Springfield Underground owns a quarry called West side stone it is located, 1001 N Haseltine Rd Springfield, MO 65802. The facility produces an incredible amount of dust causing a haze for miles around including the airport. I rarely see a water truck wetting the road but never see any dust control on the stock piles						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9334  I began the investigation at 10:54 a.m. by approaching the quarry northbound from N Haseltine Rd. I noticed a large cloud of particulate matter (~15% opacity) lingering above the facility. There were several large vehicles conducting quarry activities on the facility during this observation. I circumnavigated the facility by driving from N Haseltine Rd to W Division St to N Farm Rd 107 then back towards N Haseltine Rd from MO-266. The ambient particulate matter had dissipated by this point. I had not yet observed particulate matter wafting over the property boundaries.  At 11:04 a.m., I entered the facility from the east entrance. I parked near the operations center and I met with David Skinner, the production manager for the quarry. I introduced myself along with my credentials and explained the reason for my visit. I also summarized the permit conditions I would check during my inspection. Skinner directed me to an employee's computer on which we observed watering records per the BMPs outlined in the construction permit 2022-04-009. The watering records from the concern, dated May 10, 2024, reported that the facility had conducted two rounds of watering. Each round, according to Skinner, entailed watering of the entirety of the haul roads. Skinner stated that the facility waters depending on the severity of dust kicked up by vehicular activities.  The facility also uses permitted equipment that contains spray nozzles to mitigate opacity issues during operation. I checked this equipment as part of a formal inspection of the facility's portable and stationary construction permits. John Boatwright, the general manager of the quarry, escorted me to the permitted equipment during the inspection. The haul roads and storage piles did not release fugitive particulate matter during the on-site inspection. After checking the parameters of the permits and answering a question about requirements for portable permit renewal, I thanked Boatwright for his time and I ended the investigation at 11:54 a.m.  I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.						



Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57587	4/8/2024	5/23/2024	Larry Wald	Odor	Cartersville /Jasper	SWRO	JW	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Christine Shaw						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		My neighbor was on the City Council and obtained special zoning and permissions to open and operate an industrial size compost to our south and a cattle ranch with a grease rendering business to our north. The stench is so horrible we can't go outside for any activities. I know this has caused our property values to plummet. The city says there is nothing that can be done except try to sue. We can't afford that. How on earth is he allowed to operate a business that offends the entire surrounding area? Can the stench be stopped?						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9356 I arrived near the facility at 9:07 a.m. as I travelled west from State Hwy HH. I connected to 5th St to travel north of the facility. The winds were approximately 2mph northbound. Humidity was at 85% and there was 5% cloud cover during 70 F temperature conditions. I did not notice excessive odorous matter while I drove downwind of the facility. I drove downwind of the facility again at 9:20 a.m. and I still did not observe excessive odor. The odors were minimal such that I did not use my nasal ranger. Later that day I called Sandy Wald to inform her of my investigation of the facility.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57607	5/3/2024	5/7/2024	Advanced Metals	Fugitive Particulate Matter Hazardous	Lamar /Barton	SWRO	JW	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		The neighboring lot to my shop property located at 1201 E 12th street has been shaking up piles of dirt to sift out precious metals. There is a dust from their pile today that smells exactly like asbestos, and is being ground up and releasing dust all over my building/property as well as into the air.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9335 I investigated the facility from 12:06 p.m. to 12:20 p.m. and I did not observe evidence of illegal fugitive particulate matter or excessive odors. I conducted a more comprehensive investigation of this facility on April 19, 2024 in response to concern #9057. Asbestos is an odorless mineral so it is unclear what the concerned party was reporting. We will continue to investigate the facility for dust complaints as we receive them.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57610	4/16/2024	5/6/2024	Larry Wald	Odor	Cartersville /Jasper	SWRO	JW	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Christian						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		We have land near to this issue. It is a horrible odor that drifts everywhere in the area. It makes it difficult to do things outside.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9355 - See concern 9072  I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57612	4/19/2024	5/6/2024	Horton Smith Golf Course	Particulates Other	Springfield /Greene	SWRO	MK	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Kirby Johnson						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		(Web Form) I was working on the south creek Greenway trail behind carver middle school and several sections of the river are heavily polluted with golf balls I assume came from the nearby Smith golf course.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9346 No violation.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57654	4/17/2024	4/17/2024	Gardiner's Truck and Auto	Hazardous Air Pollutant Release		SLRO	RA	
					/Jefferson			
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Dan Kraus						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Received a concern from the National Response Center, the concern stated CALLER STATES THEY ARE RELEASING REFRIGERANT INTO THE AIR AND ALSO DUMPING ANTIFREEZE DOWN THE DRAIN. THIS HAS BEEN OCCURRING FOR YEARS						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		4/17/24- I spoke to Myron about the concern and we dont have the ability to investigate refridgerant concerns as the EPA does that.  4/18/24 - Darren Bernat spoke to the CP and told him that antifreeze was not hazardous waste and that the EPA investigates those types of concerns. The CP said he was going to call the EPA with his concern.  4/19/24- I emailed Margaret Mnich with the EPA to pass along the concern.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57655	4/23/2024	4/24/2024	US Post Office	Asbestos		SLRO	HH	
					/Franklin			
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Recieved an anonymous concern from the DNR website. The CP states "U. S. Post office on Vine Street in Sullivan Missouri has 2 men working on the front of the building. They have a tape around the railings that says asbestos removal. They don't appear to have ANY of the correct clothes on and they are working in the open without enclosing their work area. "						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		4/24/24-RLA- The CP did not give an exact address and I looked up the address to be 33 West Vine. I looked up active abatement projects and i did not find one in Sullivan.  On 4/24/2024 I visited the above site, at arrival I saw three asbestos workers which I verified their Missouri Department of Natural Asbestos Certificates, The scope of work is removing remnants of caulking on the widows arches', It's very small amount of non-friable caulking material that is on the silicon caulking, I met with Mr. Van Hoy and he informed me that an asbestos survey report had been done prior to removal, Air Monitoring has been running in three locations and all caulking material is collected in tied plastic bags and will be disposed properly.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57662	4/22/2024	4/23/2024	M Benz Properties LLC	Opacity		SLRO	SL	
					/St. Louis City			
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		CP called to state he saw orange/yellow smoke coming back a stack that he believed violated opacity and emission levels. He mentions he got a video of it and would be willing to send it over.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		4/23/24-RLA- This property is owned by M Benz Properties LLC. They do not have an air permit and we have not had a concern at this property before.  4/24/24 SEL- no close air facilities that could have caused emissions. The building does not appear to have any stacks where emissions could be coming from.  5/1/24 SEL- I arrived at 5320 N Broadway, STL around 12:30pm. When I first arrived, I did not see any visible emissions at the facility. The building appears to be a warehouse, and had no facility information displayed. I drove around the building several times, but did not observe any visible emissions. I drove around the surrounding area, and did not observe any visible emissions from surrounding buildings. Most of the surrounding buildings are abandoned or do not appear to be operating facilities. Elantas PDG is roughly 0.5 miles away from 5320 N Broadway, STL. I drove by the facility three times, but did not observe any visible emissions coming from Elantas. During my investigation, no visible emissions were observed and no violations were noted.						



Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57663	4/23/2024	4/23/2024	SA Recycling	Opacity	/St. Louis City	SLRO	SL	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Douglas Eller						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		<p>Recieved via email from Douglas Eller:  "I was able to spend time recently in the Carribean for a few days. My breathing was so improved!</p> <p>Anyway, SA continues to use what seems to be a cutting torch that causes heavy particulated emissions to especially be smelled and inhaled by travelers on the McKinley Bridge as well as polluting the air in general. These photos were taken this morning.</p> <p>Please let me know actions are taken. Thank you."</p>						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>I conducted a site visit and investigation of the facility today around 12:30pm. While I was on site, the facility was torch cutting near McKinley Bridge. I did witness some visible emissions from the torch cutting, but it was not a violation under 10 CSR 10-6.220. In St. Louis, facilities are limited to a six-minute average opacity of 20% with an exception of a 40% six-minute average opacity in any 60-min period. Due to the nature of the torch cutting, I was not able to perform an entire Method 9 reading. I spoke with management at the facility, and we are discussing recommendations that the facility can implement to reduce visible emissions from torch cutting. The facility does operate water hoses at the area, and uses them frequently to help control emissions. At the time of the investigation, I did not notice any violations of 10 CSR 10-6.045 or of 10 CSR 10-6.220.</p>						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57702	4/9/2024	4/16/2024	Ryan Dowell	Open Burning	New London /Ralls	NERO	BH	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		New London Fire Department						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Assistant Fire Chief called on CP burning down a house. Fire department responded to a house fire on 4/8/24. When the Assistant Fire Chief called the CP on 4/9/24 CP admitted to burning down the house for disposal.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		In violation of the open burning rule. Require clean-up and air pollution response letter.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57704	5/6/2024	5/6/2024	4 State Moto Complex/Mother Road Mayhem	Hazardous Air Pollutant Release Fugitive	Neosho /Newton	SWRO	JW	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Lane Osborn						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		<p>From EPA Email: Neighboring business is having a burnout contest, which is causing a constant drift of heavy smoke, that smells of chemicals and burnt rubber. Preventing me from getting to the mailbox to get mail and enjoy the nature outside. This has been happening since Friday May 3rd. This is also occurred the same time last year. They have announced on the loudspeaker that they will be back next year as well. The cameras installed on my house picked everything up. I have attached photos of what I could get before I had to go back inside due to the smoke making me cough and not wanting to smell it for very long. As I type this it still occurring and will likely continue all day. (There was no pictures attached to the email recieved. see attached)</p>						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>See Sharepoint #9403</p> <p>Bailey Dietz has recently visited this site and did not observe fugitive dust during the investigation. This May 6, 2024 concern is related to emissions from vehicular activities and is therefore not regulated by the department. Furthermore, the concern was related to a specific event that the facility only hosts once per year. I called the concerned party and informed them that I would consider visiting the facility during the 2025 event. I also left a voicemail for the facility to inform them of the concern. Bo Johnson, owner of 4 State Moto Complex, called me back on 6/13/2024 and we discussed the concern allegations. Johnson stated that he recognized that the burnout event led to some public concerns and he will not host the event again. Johnson and I also discussed other previous interactions with the DNR and EPA. Johnson asked a question about well permitting and I informed him I'd pass on the message to a coworker.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57708	5/6/2024		Kendra Pucket	Open Burning Open Dumps:	Blue Eye /Stone			
<b>Concerned Party:</b>	Craig Walker Sr.							
<b>Concern Info:</b>	On March 23, 2024, 203 Moore Lane Blue Eye, MO 65611 caught on fire and 75 percent of the trailer was burned down and since then the entire burned structure is still not cleaned up. It was a drug house for over 5 years the smell is still there after almost 6 weeks. Also the twin island HOA has done very little the alleviate this issue.							
	CONCERNED PARTY							
<b>Conclusions:</b>								
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57731	5/6/2024	5/7/2024	Shane McDaniel	Open Burning	Jefferson City /Cole	NERO	BH	Communication In Person
<b>Concerned Party:</b>	Richard Allen							
<b>Concern Info:</b>	Caller reports that a house was demolished and burned at the south end of Herron Drive in Jefferson City. On Saturday there were loud noises and lights and Sunday he saw a pile of concrete with smoke coming from the center, couldn't see what was burned.							
<b>Conclusions:</b>	When I arrived at the site Shane (Shane is the general contractor for the site) was burning untreated lumber and vegetation from the site of a demolished house. Shane provided receipts for construction demo that could not be burned. I provided PUB 2045 (construction and demolition waste) and PUB 2047 (MO Opening burning rules) to Shane and discussed both informational packets with him.							
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57732	5/13/2024	5/21/2024	PS Smoke Shop LLC	Asbestos	Warrensburg /Johnson	KCRO	CK	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>	smokinjo4330@gmail.com							
<b>Concern Info:</b>	Via Webform - Submitted at 12:55 pm Monday, May 13, 2024 - Date of Incident or First Noticed - 05/10/2024: Remodel without Asbestos test. Possible mastic floor and ceiling made friable.							
<b>Conclusions:</b>	The investigation confirmed remodel work was being done on a commercial building. An inspection was conducted to determine compliance with asbestos air pollution regulations. The inspection resulted in Unsatisfactory Findings for not inspecting the affected area for the presence of asbestos. The owner hired a certified inspector. The resulting inspection did not find any asbestos.							
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57737	4/24/2024	4/26/2024	Unknown	Open Burning	/Washington	SERO	HC	Memo
<b>Concerned Party:</b>	Anonymous							
<b>Concern Info:</b>	copied from email to Waste Management Program (mailto:wmp@dnr.mo.gov): I would like to report a solid waist dump and open burning in my area. The site is approximately 3 miles west of Bismarck MO 63624 in Washington County. Take highway 32 west from Bismarck to Bismarck Ridge Road on the right approximately 1/3 mile to dump site on the left can't miss it. This is not only a eye sore but poses a health hazard. Please help with this matter.							
<b>Conclusions:</b>	Because this was a duplicated concern in the Air and Solid Waste Unit, I provided Crosley Welch and John Case with "Facts on Open Burning under Missouri Regulations - PUB 2047" and "Missouri Secretary of State Code of State Regulations Open Burning." These fact sheets were provided to the Responsible Party. For specific details refer to concern form #9209.							

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57741	5/14/2024	5/14/2024	Howard Friez	Open Burning	Taneyville /Taney	SWRO	JW	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Concerned Party (CP) states that neighbor is burning roofing and construction trade waste on his property.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		This case is currently in enforcement as I already observed group I violations during my March 7 and March 22 visits earlier this year. Recent reports allege that Frieze has been conducting illegal open burning activities even after receipt of the RNOV.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57743	5/6/2024	5/9/2024	Nustar Pipeline	Fugitive Particulate Matter Other	Out of State /Phelps	SERO	HC	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Pipeline workers are sandblasting coated pipe with no containment of media or waste.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>I arrived on site around 11:00 AM on May 9, 2024. I stopped on Grub Rd. Off Hwy. 68 north of Salem. There was a sign on Grub Rd. 4 H&amp;S oil field access. I spoke with the safety coordinator of the project and provided a business card. I explained to him the purpose of the visit and that we had received an environmental concern regarding sandblasting on the pipeline. The safety coordinator who did not state his name did answer all of my questions. The safety coordinator stated that the pipes were not asbestos containing and were not painted so there is no chance of lead paint contamination of the blast media. The safety coordinator stated that there is no real containment of the blast media while blasting is taking place, but the crew does clean up as much media as possible by scooping and sweeping the area. The safety coordinator offered to pass my business card onto the project Superintendent, and I requested the Superintendent call me later.</p> <p>Later in the day on May 9, 2024, Kenny Hensley the Site Superintendent called and we discussed the concern. He stated that if any of the crew notice anything out of the ordinary such as asbestos piping or painted pipe, they are to notify the safety coordinator who then notifies the Superintendent. Kenny Hensley stated the crew has been sand blasting around four times a day, this is done to prep for tie in welds because the piping is carbon steel. Kenny Hensley stated the crew has been using Blastox for blast media and after reviewing the materials Safety Data Sheets the material appears to be nonhazardous and being handled accordingly by the crew. The Superintendent echoed what the safety coordinator said and did state they are currently working on someone's property who is not happy that they are there. The Superintendent said he has provided safety data sheets to the landowner and stated that the blast media is environmentally friendly.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57749	5/13/2024	5/14/2024	Stella lagoon	Odor		SWRO	JW	Phone Conversation
					/McDonald			
Concerned Party:		Janice McCully						
Concern Info:		Janice McCully called at 6:11 a.m. on Monday morning to report foul odors wafting from the Gideon lagoon near stella. McCully also reported odors from Tuesday of the previous week. Denali recently notified the DNR that it mixed the lagoon early Monday morning, before McCully's call, prior to hauling off material during the day. McCully lives one mile west of the lagoon and has noticed odors for the past several months.						
Conclusions:		SharePoint #9457  I arrived to the Stella lagoon region at 9:29 a.m. from the eastbound direction near Beaver Rd. As I drove east past the lagoon, I noticed a contractor sitting in a tractor near the lagoon. Sludge odors were present. I observed a 7:1 detection at UTM coordinates 390247 easting, 4067408 northing which was not off property. This observation therefore did not qualify as one of two detections required for a violation. The detection was downwind of the lagoon during roughly 3mph WNW winds and 80% cloud cover. The local weather was reported at 62 degrees F and 97% humidity. I travelled upwind of the lagoon to reset my olfactory receptors for 15 minutes. I drove downwind of the lagoon and observed another 7:1 detection at the same coordinates listed above. I then attempted to observe detections off property, namely southeast of the lagoon on Shetland Rd, until 10:19 a.m. I had attempted to establish contact with the property owner, Keith Lagoon, via telephone but he did not answer so I left a voicemail. I also left a voicemail for Gabe Timby of Denali Water Solutions roughly half an hour after my investigation to inform him of my visit. As for the concerned party, I contacted Janice McCully right before the investigation to gather more details about her concerns. I requested that McCully call me the next time she observes foul odors from her residence west of the lagoon.  I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57750	5/13/2024	5/14/2024	IsoNova Technologies	Odor	Verona /Lawrence	SWRO	JW	Site Visit
Concerned Party:		Anonymous						
Concern Info:		Anonymous caller states that IsoNova is smelling bad during the afternoon of 5/13/2024.						
Conclusions:		SharePoint #9458 I arrived to the facility at approximately 8:30 a.m. to begin the investigation. I drove from P highway to county road 2170 to access the downwind odors deriving from IsoNova Technologies. The wind direction was low so it was difficult to sense facility-related odors off its property boundaries. I did not observe any exceedances of the 7:1 odor setting with my nasal ranger. I left the IsoNova Technologies region at approximately 8:45 a.m.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57752	5/13/2024	5/13/2024	Jeremy Reed	Open Burning	Calhoun /Henry	KCRO	DS	Phone Conversation
Concerned Party:		Betty Hibbs						
Concern Info:		CP stated that Jeremy Reed had not cleaned up the house debris like he said he would and he was currently burning it and that he had put up tarps to hide it from view.						
Conclusions:		No violations were observed during the investigation. Current burning at the location appears to be vegetative waste that has originated on the property.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57753	5/13/2024	5/16/2024	Corbion	Particulates	Grandview /Jackson	KCRO	DS	Site Visit
Concerned Party:		Molly Kopczynski						
Concern Info:		CP stated that currently at Corbion there is smoke and white material coming from stacks on the roof. The CP has provided photos of the stacks.						
Conclusions:		No violations were observed during the investigation. Emissions appear to be water vapor from scrubber exhaust. Additionally, the facility is planning to update the process that results in occasional particulate emissions on the roof.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57754	5/14/2024	5/21/2024	Unknown	Open Burning	Warsaw /Benton	KCRO	CK	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Ginger Fink						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Copy from the online form: "Smells like burning used motor oil. Apparently north of the given address. Second occurrence".						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Concerned party was unable to provide information as to who was the responsible party. I provided the concerned party with information to make note of if they smelled the burning again. Surveillance of the area did not reveal any cause of the concern.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57755	5/14/2024	5/21/2024	Houston Excavating and Demolition	Fugitive Particulate Matter	Independence /Jackson	KCRO	JS	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Robert E. Willis						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		CP reports that the property that was initially suppose to be a strip mall, is now being used as a lot for Academy Training for 18 Wheelers. The north side of the lot is where trucks from all over are going in and out and creating dust when bring in fill to fill in the hillside with concrete. CP states that it is very dusty all the time from 8 am till 8 pm. CP reported that they had contacted the City of Independence and city did not do anything about it. Reports that the Academy school has been on the south side of site for approximately 6-7 months. CP reports that you can see the dust any time of day except when it rains because there are many trucks coming and going. CP says that he was referred to DNR by Josh Hawley's office.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Some dust was observed during the investigation, but none was observed leaving the property. Track-out was observed. I reviewed dust control with the facility; the facility states a water truck and sweeping are used to control dust and track-out. No violations were observed during the investigation.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57758	4/22/2024	4/24/2024	Adam and Shelby Mares	Open Burning Scrap Tire	Moberly /Randolph	NERO	JH	Other
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Burning tires at night. His dad owns tire shop in town. He is burning at night. they live on a dead end gravel road.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		No violations of the Missouri Open Burning Regulations were observed at the time of the investigation. No further action required to address this concern.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57760	5/6/2024	5/7/2024		Asbestos Particulates	/Gasconade	SLRO	HH	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Katherine O'Neal						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Received an concern about the demolition of a farm house. The CP stated :  I am interested in knowing what the requirements are for an old farm house to be torn down. This house was built in the 1920's. There is no doubt there is lead and asbestos in the materials. The owners of this house are not taking any precautions to prevent contamination to the area surrounding this house. This house is located in gasconade county. What can be done to inform these land owners of their harmful practices and the correct/ proper way to remove this house. I'm concerned for the dust, groundwater and potential health risks to the neighbors.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		5/7/24-RLA- I replied to the email to get more infomation. The original email did not give a town or address of the farmhouse. Katherine emailed back around 5:30 and gave the address : 1566 Hwy 19, South Hermann, 65041.  On 5/13/2024 about 11:30 AM I went to 1566 Highway 19 South, Herman MO to investigate your concern, I took photos to old barn, old house, and shed next to it, As I was leaving the property Mr. Micheal Witthous (Proprty Owner) showed up, he was wondering about my visit, We talked for about ten minutes, Your father told me that he will be removing what's inside the barn and a professional company will demolish the building and haul and dispose all materials into a landfill.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57761	5/10/2024	5/10/2024		Open Burning	/Jefferson	SLRO	TK	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		RP burning mattresses while they are fixing up a home. CP could smell fires with nasty fumes. Fire has been left without supervision. CP has stated they cover fire with brush and burn plastic bins as well.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>-RLA-5/10/24- I searched the address and we have not had a concern at this address before, however we have had a concern about 2049 County Club Estates drive share point #782. From satellite images, the properties around that area are heavily wooded.</p> <p>-TKK-5/13/24- I went to the address of the concern and noted that it was under construction. The owner allowed me to take photos of the burn piles. Only wood from the property, trees had been cut down, were being burned. No illegal burning items were visible in the burn piles. There was a large construction dumpster out front that the owner stated he put all trade waste in. Fact sheets were given and the owner stated he would not burn any trade waste or furniture that the regulation states is not allowed.</p>						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57764	5/6/2024	5/7/2024	Sam Kremer	Open Burning	Bonnots Mill /Osage	NERO	BH	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		From email, " 1603 Hwy A Bonnots Mill Mo 65016. We have seen him many time burning illegal things in his outdoor furnace behind his house we do have photos and we can send them in if he is not shown them because we do not want him to see the angle the photo came from because he would know it's from my family."						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		No violation at the time of the investigation.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57767	4/16/2024	4/16/2024	Cody Wolford	Other Open Burning	Salem /Dent	SERO	JE	Report
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Jack Vicker						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Chief Ficker with the Jadwin Volunteer Fire Department called the spill line to report a tire fire at the RP's address. Chief Ficker reports that this is an ongoing and has been for years. Chief Ficker reported that Cody Wofford gets tires from Swartz Salvage to dispose of, stockpiles them on his property then has a brush fire that gets out of control roughly each year to burn the tires. Chief Ficker reports that they have records at the fire station of the fires. Chief Ficker sent pictures of the site location to the spill line and indicated that there are still a number of tires at the site.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>Upon receiving the concern, I reached out to Chief Ficker to obtain more information. Based on the historical nature of tire burns provided from the fire chief and this current concern, we met with Chief Ficker to further discuss the situation. I then contacted Cody Wofford, responsible party, and discussed the concern. Mr. Wofford approved to let us observe the property to observe the burned area including the forest burn and the tire burn areas.</p> <p>It was decided through the severity of the burn and the past occurrences, that this should be a referral to the Air Pollution Control Program for further determination. For full details and photos, please refer to Referral Notice of Violation #SE240023.</p>						



Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57769	4/16/2024	4/16/2024	Cody Wofford	Open Burning	Salem /Dent	SERO	HC	Report
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Jack Vicker						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Chief Ficker with the Jadwin Volunteer Fire Department called the spill line to report a tire fire at the RP's address. Chief Ficker reports that this is an ongoing and has been for years. Chief Ficker reported that Cody Wofford gets tires from Swartz Salvage to dispose of stockpiles them on his property then has a brush fire that gets out of control roughly each year to burn the tires. Chief Ficker reports that they have records at the fire station of the fires. Chief Ficker sent pictures of the site location to the spill line and indicated that there are still a number of tires at the site.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Upon receiving the concern, I reached out to Chief Ficker to obtain more information. Based on the historical nature of and number of scrap tires burned provided from the fire chief and this current concern, we met with Chief Ficker to futher discuss the situation. I then contacted Cody Wofford, responsible party, and discussed the concern. Mr. Wofford approved to let us observe the property to observe the burned area including the forest fire and the scrap tire open burn areas.  It was decided through the severity and amount or scope of the burn and the past occurrences, that this should be a referral to the Air Pollution Control Program for futher determination. For full details and photos, please refer to Referral Notice of Violation #SE240023.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57772	5/15/2024	5/23/2024	Larry Wald	Odor		SWRO	JW	Phone Conversation
					/Jasper			
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Char Hinman						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Experienced Odor Date and Time: Mar 15, 2024 4:30 pm Experienced Odor Duration: Currently experiencing the odor Experienced Odor Description: Earthy or Musty, Manure or Farm Animals, Sewer Experienced Odor Intensity: Strong or Offensive The odor is very strong around 1140 E. 1st St., Carterville (C&L Grease & Wastewater						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9472 I arrived near the facility at 9:07 a.m. as I travelled west from State Hwy HH. I connected to 5th St to travel north of the facility. The winds were approximately 2mph northbound. Humidity was at 85% and there was 5% cloud cover during 70 F temperature conditions. I did not notice excessive odorous matter while I drove downwind of the facility. I drove downwind of the facility again at 9:20 a.m. and I still did not observe excessive odor. The odors were minimal such that I did not use my nasal ranger. I ended the investigation around 9:30 a.m. Later that day I called Sandy Wald to inform her of my investigation of the facility.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57773	5/15/2024	5/17/2024	70 Ozark Loop Conway	Hazardous Air Pollutant Release Open Burning		SWRO	JW	Site Visit
					/Webster			
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Burning trash, plastic trash bags						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9471. I arrived to the address at 9:36 a.m. to attempt to establish contact with the property owner. I knocked on the front door of the residence and no one answered the door. As I walked back to the vehicle I observed my surroundings to check for burn piles. At 9:42 a.m. I left the residence, but I saw a burn pile near the side of the turnoff from State Rd W to Ozark Loop. The roughly one cubic yard burn pile mostly consisted of plastic remains and ashen vegetative waste. As reported by the concerned party and confirmed upon my visit, the plastic appeared to have been from what used to be grocery bags. Grocery bags do not meet the definition of durable goods and are therefore not regulated by the department. I attempted to establish contact again on May 21, 2024 to no avail. I left a copy of the open burning fact sheet (PUB2047) near the residence and I ended the investigation since I did not find any signs of illegal open burning.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57775	5/16/2024	5/23/2024	Larry Wald	Odor	Carterville /Jasper	SWRO	JW	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Smelling odors near Carterville Missouri. Complainant resides Heritage Acres Subdivision off of Fir Road/HH highway. This subdivision is 1 mile east of Carterville. The smell has a wastewater smell. The smell was noticed around 2 pm on May 16, 2024.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9492 I arrived near the facility at 9:07 a.m. as I travelled west from State Hwy HH. I connected to 5th St to travel north of the facility. The winds were approximately 2mph northbound. Humidity was at 85% and there was 5% cloud cover during 70 F temperature conditions. I did not notice excessive odorous matter while I drove downwind of the facility. I drove downwind of the facility again at 9:20 a.m. and I still did not observe excessive odor. The odors were minimal such that I did not use my nasal ranger. I ended the investigation around 9:30 a.m. Later that day I called Sandy Wald to inform her of my investigation of the facility.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57777	4/30/2024	4/30/2024		Open Burning		SLRO	RA	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		St. Louis County department of Health forwarded SLRO and air concern voicemail. The CP stats her neighbor is burning trash, plastic, wires. She said has been going on for a couple years and he sometimes burns all night. She did not give an address of the burn location other than Arnold.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		4/30/24-RLA- I called the CP back and talked about open burning regulations and that we could perform an investigation at the property, but we needed an address and/or directions to the property. The informed me that they wanted to be anonymous and they would call me back with an address.  5/15/24- RLA - The CP did not call back with an address/driving directions.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57778	4/18/2024	4/18/2024	Unknown	Odor		SLRO	KH	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		We love on Piepers Corner Rd. The property across from us was purchased by a man who owns a septic & porta potty company. They are dumping the waste from the trucks on the property. It constantly smells like we are in a porta potty it's awful. It smells like human waste.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		4/19/24-RLA- I looked at satellite images and found the area the CP is referencing. The site could be two different properties. These are 359 Pieper Corner Rd or Parcel 162010000000001002 (no address), which is east of 359 Pieper Corner Rd. This is the first concern we have had on Piepers Corner Rd.  05/15/2024 - KMH- I performed a site visit in the general area of concern. The area is surrounded by agriculture and cattle farms. There was no sign of dumping or odors other than cattle waste and fertilizer smell coming from the area which was minimal.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57779	5/13/2024	5/15/2024		Open Burning		SLRO	RA	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Jessica Struckhoff						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Recieved an open burning concern from the DNR website. The CP states "Is it legal for my neighbor to burn their house garbage? I do not like being exposed to their carcinogens. We are in Lincoln county, not sure if we are in the city limits or out of Old Monroe."						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		5/15/24-RLA- I called Jessica and spoke to her about open burning regulations and the burning of household waste. She didnt think her neighbor was burning anything prohibited. I listed some items that were illegal to burn and told her if she sees them burning those items to call the fire department and then give us a call back.						



Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57783	5/16/2024	5/17/2024	Unknown	Land Application Odor	/Clay	KCRO	NT	Other
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Bad odor that smells like human sewage. Odor coming from the east side of Highway 35. Odor comes in the am, pm and on rainy days. Caller says other neighbors have called. Does not know the source just that they are able to smell the odor inside if windows are open. The Prairie Fields HOA sent an email explaining the possible source of the odor according to the caller.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		NT- 05/17/2024 the odor could be coming from the shaded and sunken corner of the field, since it appeared that is where the cattle spend large amounts of time concentrated in that area, the manure and natural pooling of water in the corner lead to an odor. No water quality violations were observed.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57786	5/16/2024	5/22/2024	Dusty Jones	Open Burning	Cameron /DeKalb	KCRO	DS	Phone Conversation RTC
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Debbie Hackett						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Neighbor is burying items that are not house hold waste several times a week and the caller is concerned about exposure to the toxic fumes. Caller observed a kid's plastic chair and several plastic totes of plastic items they are putting into the fire. They do it off and on and caller is not sure where the items came from.						
		Caller can't work in their yard and the smell is making them feel unwell.						
		Inspector can call with directions and questions. This is not a one time occurrence.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Non-household waste, including waste brought in from off site, was disposed of by open burning. An acknowledgement form was signed, and the property owner agreed to return to compliance. A Letter of Unsatisfactory Findings is being issued.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57786	5/16/2024	5/22/2024	Dusty Jones	Open Burning	Cameron /DeKalb	KCRO	DS	Phone Conversation UF
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Debbie Hackett						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Neighbor is burying items that are not house hold waste several times a week and the caller is concerned about exposure to the toxic fumes. Caller observed a kid's plastic chair and several plastic totes of plastic items they are putting into the fire. They do it off and on and caller is not sure where the items came from.						
		Caller can't work in their yard and the smell is making them feel unwell.						
		Inspector can call with directions and questions. This is not a one time occurrence.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Non-household waste, including waste brought in from off site, was disposed of by open burning. An acknowledgement form was signed, and the property owner agreed to return to compliance. A Letter of Unsatisfactory Findings is being issued.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57789	5/17/2024	5/22/2024	Republic Foods	Odor	Lone Jack /Johnson	KCRO	MC	Other
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Karen Lux						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		The odors on NW 800 Road were so offensive yesterday (5/11/24) that it seemed like a person would have passed out if they stayed in it too long. The horrible odor then followed on to our residences down NW 1901 Road.  And again, today on May 12th the odors at our residence are very obnoxious and we're outside trying to enjoy the beautiful day which we are not able to do.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		No strong odors observed during the investigation.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57791	5/12/2024	5/22/2024	Republic Foods	Odor	Lone Jack /Johnson	KCRO	MC	Other
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Tasha Stephens						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		We live at 874 NW 1971, approximately 1.5 miles north and a little west of the Republic Foods facility. The odor in our front yard is overwhelming today.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		No strong odors detected during the investigation.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57794	5/17/2024	5/20/2024	Water in house- on city water	Odor Water	Buffalo /Dallas	SWRO	JO	Other
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Same as property owner						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		SharePoint #9498 CP states that there is a tinge of color in her water and a strong sulfur odor. Worried that her water has bacteria in it.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		See SharePoint #9498 Sample was returned as total coliform absent or "safe". Total chlorine residual was 1.31 mg/L, which is above the required minimum. No odor detected. No issues found. I had a telephone conversation with the concerned party. She is satisfied with the result of investigation.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57796	5/7/2024	5/7/2024	Lori Kirtlink	Open Burning	Mokane /Callaway	NERO	BH	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Chad Booher, mayor of Mokane, reports a piano was burned on this property a few weeks ago, and he received two calls this morning alleging that Lori Kirtlink burned an unknown plastic material late last night. The smoke from the fire smelled like plastic and stunk up the entire neighborhood.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		5/7/2024 I arrived at the site at 2:00 PM. I knocked on the front door but no one answered. I noticed from the road the remains of a small brush fire on the southwest corner of the property. I left my business card and PUB 2047 on the front door  5/13/2024  Lori called to discuss the enviornmental concern. I asked if she had been burning anything in the backyard. She said no just some brush and trees she had been cleaning up on the property. I told her I also did not see anything but the small brush pile that had been burned. I went over the Missouri Open Burning Regulations with her and asked if she had any questions, she said no.  No violations at this time. On-site visit conducted						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57797	5/6/2024	5/7/2024	Jeannie Eisele	Open Burning	Auxvasse /Callaway	NERO	BH	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Kevin Phares						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		From the email, "The property is located at 206 North Mary Street, Auxvasse, Mo. this house is a public nuisance, there is a person living in the house without running water. the house has dirt floors, and wiring ripped out of walls. The women dumps/buries feces and urine in the back yard. also burns trash in yard. Our city government apparently can't do anything about condemning the property."						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>5/7/2024 10AM</p> <p>I arrived at the scene and observed from the road a small burn pile in front of the house. The burn pile had aluminum cans, tin cans, paper, and cardboard (household trash). I knocked on the front door but no one answered. I left my business card and PUB 2047 Facts on Missouri Burning Regulations.</p> <p>No violation at this time</p>						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57799	5/9/2024	5/14/2024	Aaron Prather	Open Burning	Cairo /Randolph	NERO	BH	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Jacob Stuckert						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Caller reports that his neighbor Aaron Prather is burning large piles of Construction waste including drywall, plywood, and other items less than 20 yds from his house in the back yard of a partially built duplex, causing safety issue. City doesn't have enforcement. Burning paused for a few months after the previous concern ACE 55953						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>on -site visit conducted</p> <p>no violation at the time of the investigation.</p>						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57810	5/14/2024	5/15/2024	Robert Renegar	Open Burning Asbestos	Mountain View /Howell	SERO	HC	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Mayor Charry McCann						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		The Mayor from Mountain View Charry McCann and Howell County Fire Chief Jason Taber called regarding someone that tore a house down within city limits and they have discovered it has asbestos and shingles in it.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>Arrived at 601 E 3rd St. in Mountain View around 1:45 PM on May 15, 2024. When I arrived there was no personnel on site but there were two pieces of equipment one was a Case 580SK and the other what is a Case SV250. I spoke with the neighbors who stated that the building was unsafe to inhabit and had been harboring snakes and rats. The neighbors stated that they believe Robert Renegar has plans to level the property and sell it as an empty lot. There was a fair amount of clean fill at the property which was currently being used to fill holes on the property there was one large pile of construction debris was burning and other areas where the debris had not been piled or burned yet. The neighbors also stated that there was a barn that was also demolished, this barn was an older construction and was mainly constructed of untreated wood. Since there were no personnel on site, I left a copy of "Illegal Disposal of Construction and Demolition Wastes - PUB-2251", "Managing Construction and Demolition Waste -PUB2045", "Missouri Secretary of State Code of State Regulations Open Burning" and "Facts on Open Burning under Missouri Regulations - PUB 2047." As well as a business card in the cab of one piece of equipment. Based on observations made at the time of investigation the activities that have occurred after the demolition process would be considered a violation of Missouri Open Burning regulation 10 CSR 10-6.045 based on observations made at the time of investigation.</p> <p>On May 20, 2024, I spoke with a Clerk at the Mountain View City Hall who was able to provide contact information. On May 20, 2024, I spoke with Robert Renegar via telephone, I informed Robert Renegar of the findings of the investigation. I explained to him that because this project involved a single-family home that it was exempt from Missouri's asbestos regulation, but the burning of the demolition waste is considered a violation of 10 CSR 10-6.045 Open Burning Requirements. Robert Renegar did acknowledge during the telephone call that he did receive the factsheets I left on the initial visit. I recommended that all actions at this site cease until further instruction is provided though the letter addressed to the mailing address which Robert Renegar confirmed was correct.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57815	5/15/2024	5/20/2024	Specialty Granules, LLC - Annapolis	Fugitive Particulate Matter Opacity	Annapolis /Iron	SERO	HC	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		This facility makes continuous dust which blows over the town of Annapolis. It's in swimming pools, gutters, and covers vehicles. They have dust collection systems in place but don't work when they are in poor condition from sub par maintenance. Silicosis is serious. You can't tell me that the town isn't at risk. The dust seen during the day from town is nothing compared to what can be seen at night. Yes there is steam coming from the coloring plant only but everything else is dust. Between the main plant and the plant they have operating at the back of the property. The dust is ridiculous.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Arrived at Annapolis around 10:30 a.m. on May 20, 2024. I observed the site from Annapolis Cemetery (37.36307, -90.69582). This vantage point provides an elevated observation point. I made observations from 10:30 a.m. to 10:55 a.m. During this time, I did not see any particulate matter obscure my view of the nearest building on site, which would indicate to me that the particulate matter was not leaving the property boundary at the time of investigation. Particulate matter was observed at the primary crusher, which is located near the center of the property, there were no visual emissions from areas controlled by baghouses/dust collection systems. I called the facilities environmental contact, Carson Goodman to inquire about dust management. Carson Goodman explained he does daily observations around the site for dust leaving the property boundary, the dust collection systems are observed daily and are on a preventative maintenance schedule. I did note the concern did state the facility appears dustier at night and suggested to Carson Goodman that an observation made after dark may give some insight to what the residents see. Carson Goodman noted that an observation after dark could help helpful and stated he will consider making those observations.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57821	5/21/2024	5/24/2024	Owens Corning	Hazardous Air Pollutant Release Opacity		SWRO	BD	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		wilknew22@proton.me						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Infor from DNR WEB Contact Email: Smoke Stack Emissions from Owens Corning at Stateline Road - Joplin MO. This is the facility right outside of Galena KS. The first photo is from April 8th, the second photo is from this morning at 7am. This is a frequent occurrence from this facility. It does not appear to be a healthy situation for people breathing the air in this area. I hope you will check on this. I want to remain anonymous in this report.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9544. I arrived to the site around 10:15 and conducted emissions observations for approximately five minutes prior to making contact with the facility. During this observational period, the only visible emissions observed were originating from the cupola. Opacity from the cupola never exceeded 10% opacity. Owens Corning is considered a new emission unit located in the out-state area and opacity is regulated under 10 CSR 10-6.220 Restriction of Emission of Visible Air Contaminants. This rule allows up to 20% opacity, with up to six minutes of 60% opacity within a 60 minute interval. Owens Corning is a Part 70 major source and is currently regulated under Construction Permit 052024-001. I had just recently conducted a Clean Air Act inspection on May 9, 2024, so no other permit conditions were evaluated during the investigation. I entered the facility and requested to meet with Alex Jurek to inform him that we had received an environmental concern, but no violations were observed during cursory observations. I requested a quick tour of the facility for informational purposes for our air intern, Sarah Guymon, so Jurek led us around the facility explaining the production process. Once the tour was finished, I thanked Jurek for his time and Guymon and I left the facility at 12:00 p.m.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57826	5/21/2024	5/28/2024	Barry County Road Department	Open Burning Stormwater	/Barry	SWRO	BD	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Anonymous concerned party reported the county road department is using buckets of used motor oil to start a brush pile fire. The piles are burned every week or two. The piles are typically 10' x 100', and various items such as old fence, steel posts, and plastic are burned in the road department's brush piles. The piles are pushed up to the creek, and the caller is concerned about the motor oil and burned waste getting in the creek (Brantley Branch) and contaminating his cattle. The concerned party spoke with the dump truck driver, who in turn gave the caller the phone number for the director of the road department "Bill" 417-236-2123. The pile was reportedly going to be burned tomorrow.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9547</p> <p>I arrived to the general area where the brush pile was reported at and found a brush pile that was approximately 10' wide, 20' long, and roughly 10' tall. The majority of the contents within the pile was vegetative waste that appeared to be debris from recent storms that had been collected and piled. Within the pile I did observe some metal siding and what appeared to be internet or telephone cable. This material did not appear to be demolition waste, but fragments of material that had been knocked loose with the recent storms. None of the material had been burned, and I did not observe any evidence of used motor oil anywhere near the pile nor in the creek. I observed several small fish, frogs, and other wildlife in the creek. Once I returned to the office, I contacted the number provided for "Bill", but did not receive an answer. The following day, on 5/29, my call was returned by Bill and we discussed some of the potential compliance issues I observed the day prior. Bill said that they would remove the regulated items prior to burning the pile. Bill confirmed that the debris came from the recent storms and that they typically only clear the road area once or twice a year. I thanked Bill for understanding and returning my call to discuss these issues with me. I asked if Bill had any other questions or concerns and then concluded the phone call.</p> <p>No violations of 10 CSR 10-6.045 Open Burning Requirements were observed during the investigation. Compliance with this regulation was discussed with the responsibly party and the requirements will be met.</p>						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57828	5/21/2024		Republic Foods	Odor	Lone Jack /Jackson	KCRO	MC	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		From Webform: The facility operating under Republic Foods. ZMDR LLC is causing air pollution with the stench from its company. Last summer we get the smell when the wind are out of the South or South East. Last night it was especially strong. Not healthy to breath the chemicals.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		No strong odors observed during the investigation.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57829	5/7/2024	5/14/2024	City of Blackwater	Open Burning	Blackwater /Cooper	NERO	DB	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Alleges the City of Blackwater is burning railroad ties on Trigg Ave						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Found two railroad ties dumped at city brush site, did not observe char on RR ties. Spoke with Richard Wilson, Alderman for city. Provided information, he said he would bring it up at the council meeting that evening. No burning violation documented during investigation.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57830	5/9/2024	5/14/2024	Brian Campbell	Open Burning	Bosworth /Carroll	NERO	DB	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Webform states: Brian Campbell is running his farm operations from a shop in the town of Bosworth MO. He burns the waste in a barrel on the east side of the building and smokes up the whole neighborhood where kids play. He also has a huge pile of manure piled up all of this is happening in the city limits of bosworth. The county fire department won't do anything about the burning and the mayor of bosworth doesn't care. The man who is doing this is named Brian Campbell and the property is located next to 305 west Missouri ave but I can't find the address for the shop. The property is owned by a Tracy Minnis						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		At site, did not identify a burn site visible from the road. Attempted to find a working number but was unable. Truck on site has Campbell Farms printed on the cab, no phone number. Sending letter to address on file with assessor's office.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57831	5/20/2024	5/22/2024	Dustin Hall	Open Burning	Cameron /Daviness	NERO	DB	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Lori Bolin						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		she said the property had been let go and lots of trash and debris on property and new people had come in and were cleaning everything up. They had burned a lot of things that should not have been burned, but it seems that it was done now and mainly wanted them to understand that they can't be burning everything and appropriate items must go to the landfill.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		At site observed no evidence of burning violations. Left note and fact sheet on door with card. Received call back 5/23, he said they had burned trees and household waste, were hauling off the stuff that shouldn't be burned. Not expecting to burn any more for now.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57833	5/22/2024	5/24/2024	Construction Dust	Particulates Other	Springfield /Greene	SWRO	CR	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		National Response Center						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		<p>The National Response Center report states: "THE CALLER STATED THAT A WHILE WORKING ON A DAIRY QUEEN MATERIAL. THAT HAS BEEN PLACED ON THE BUILDING IS BEING SANDED. BECAUSE OF THIS THE DUST FROM THE SANDING OPERATION IS RELEASING INTO THE ATMOSPHERE, AND ONTO VEHICLES AND BUILDINGS AT THE LOCATION."</p> <p>Yvonne Smith with United States Environmental Protection (USEPA) sent an email to the duty officer stating, "PDO Y. Smith made contact with Manager on site of the Dairy Queen. Manager stated that the restaurant is undergoing remodeling inside only. There are no repairs going on the roof. Manager is available for a site visit as needed."</p>						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9564</p> <p>I arrived at the facility at 2:38 p.m. and drove around the parking lot surrounding the building. I examined the cars in the Dairy Queen parking lot as well as the neighboring parking lot for AT&amp;T. I did not observe any dust on vehicles or pavement in any of the surrounding parking lots. I entered the facility and spoke with the manager on site, Jignesh Patel. I informed her that we had received a concern about fugitive dust from a sanding operation that occurred earlier this week. Patel stated that they were sanding outside early in the morning but that she did not observe any dust leaving the property. All sanding operations are completed at this time so there should be no further opportunities for concern. I left the facility at 2:48 p.m.</p> <p>No violation observed.</p>						



Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57834	5/21/2024		Larry Wald	Odor	Carterville /Jasper	SWRO	JW	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Holly Brotton						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		It completely stinks outside from where he is dumping. I feel like a prisoner in my own home we just bought a brand new home in September and haven't been able to even have a cookout or anything bc of the nasty smells we smell it almost every day						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9559						
		I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57837	5/22/2024	5/23/2024	Larry Wald	Odor	Carterville /Jasper	SWRO	JW	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Direct quote from the webform: "The smell in Johnstown and carterville is very bad makes you puke when you go how side and comes in the vents and heating and air unit when heat is air is on"						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9574						
		I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57844	5/21/2024	5/23/2024	Roger Meyer	Open Burning	Williamsburg /Callaway	NERO	BH	Communication In Person
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Webform states: From Williamsburg travel west on Old Hwy 40 towards Highway D. Turn left on to highway D at the water tower. Travel Highway D for approximately 5 miles. Go past county road 134 for approximately one quarter of a mile. The resident is the second/third mobile home on the right. Note: This appears to be at or near 5523 State Rd D in Nine Mile Prairie Township.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Spoke with Roger Meyer regarding burning concerns. Roger explained he only burns vegetative waste. I asked him if he burns household trash, and he said no I have trash service and showed me his garbage can. I asked Roger if he had noticed anyone in the area burning anything and he said just the neighbor burning some vegetation. I left PUB 2047 and my business card with him.						
		no violation at this time.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57846	5/20/2024	5/23/2024	Sandra Farley	Open Burning	Mokane /Callaway	NERO	BH	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Caller reports someone used equipment push down an old mobile home and burned it on W River St in Mokane.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		on-site visit conducted No violations at this time. Receipts provided of proper disposal.						



Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57850	5/28/2024	5/28/2024	ZMDR, dba Republic Foods	Odor	Lone Jack /Jackson	KCRO	MC	Other
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Via Webform - Submitted at 8:19 pm Saturday, May 25, 2024 - Every evening this week KMDR Republic Foods has been emitting a very horrific stench.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		I investigated an odor concern the previous week at this facility. No strong odors were detected at that time. Since the concern was recently investigated, no site visit was conducted. Another site visit will be conducted as additional concerns are received.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57857	5/28/2024	5/30/2024	Sean Dunivent	Open Burning Open Dumps	Holt /Clay	KCRO	DS	Communication In Person RNOV
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Edward L. Barger						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		<p>Fire Department reported Sean Dunivent who appeared to be intoxicated while drinking a Bud Light Orange, stated to command that he started the fire to get rid of the large pile of what he claimed was wood, after investigating, it was determined that the actively burning materials consisted of many different types of building / construction materials such as, roofing materials, shingles, tar paper, large rolls of black plastic, containers of industrial chemicals, vinyl siding materials, plastic fuel containers &amp; tires.</p> <p>The homeowner was advised that we were going to put the fire out due to the contents he was burning are prohibited items &amp; not to be burned due to EPA &amp; DNR regulations. Fire crews were ordered to go on breathing air due to the toxic intensity of the smoke burning our eyes &amp; making it difficult to breathe. it is also noted as part of this report that while fire crews were attempting to extinguish the fire the property owner was continuing to through materials on the fire, there were explosions happening under the fire from some type of unknown pressurized container &amp; is unknown what chemical was in the container. There were different types of metal burning in the fire as well that were indicated by the blue &amp; green color flames.</p> <p>Chief Ferguson &amp; Chief Barger were contacted via cell phone &amp; Chief Barger advised command he would respond to the scene, he arrived on scene at 22:57:29, he made contact with command and the owner &amp; requested Clay County deputy to respond, T-51 was added to the call for water supply, there was a high voltage power line directly above the fire that appeared to have been damaged by the fire the electric company was contacted, the electric company arrived on scene and advised that the power line was damaged in the fire and will be need to be replaced.</p> <p>Fire crews placed over 3,000 gallons of water on the fire with significant knock down, Holt 500 remained on scene awaiting the power line crew, E-52,T-51 &amp; B-52 released by command &amp; returned to the station.</p>						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		A referral notice of violation will be issued. Evidence from the fire department and evidence observed during the investigation indicate that Sean Dunivent disposed of construction and demolition waste by open burning. Also, comingled solid waste, including shingles, tires, construction and demolition waste, and other miscellaneous items, was dumped and buried on the property.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57858	5/24/2024	5/29/2024	Trudy Boday	Open Burning Open Dumps	Joplin /Newton	SWRO	CR	Letter RTC
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Cathy Davis						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		<p>At the residence of Trudy Boday 5866 Old Hwy 71, Joplin MO 65681  Someone living in her back yard scraps and hauls in trailers of trash. The concern in he burns plastic, whole cars, boats, hot tubs....anything he can't make money recycling. Today the smoke is so bad when I step outside it burns my eyes. This is a regular occurrence and I have docmunted many of the fires. I have asked them to stop. Nothing happens. Other neighbors have called the fire station in the past and they say there's nothing they can do. Please help.</p> <p>WebForm question:  Do you wish to be notified of the investigation outcome?  YES</p>						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9602  I visited the property on May 29, 2024, and found that no one was home, so I left an informational open burning fact sheet and my contact information.On June 4, 2024, I returned to the property to follow up. I arrived at the site at 2:41 p.m. and was greeted by property owner Trudy Body at the door. I informed her that I was there investigating a concern about the open burning of regulated materials. Body stated that she is the property owner, but her son, Philip Body, lives on the property behind the house. She also stated that she passed the informational packet along to P. Body and that he does burn durable goods in the backyard because he is a scrapper. T. Body is frustrated with his activities and has asked that he stop, but the activity has continued. There has been civil action taken between the two individuals to remove P. Body from the property by June 22, 2024. After speaking with T. Body, I entered the yard behind the house and spoke with P. Body. I observed a microwave and plastic buckets on the burn pile that had not yet been burned and requested that they be removed. I also saw metal and plastic fragments that indicate a history of burning durable goods. P. Body stated that any burned materials aren't his and that this is T. Body's property, so it is her responsibility. P. Body stated that he did receive the open burning fact sheet that I left at the property on May 29, but repeatedly walked away from our conversation while I tried to engage about who can be considered a responsible party. I returned to the house and spoke again with T. Body who agreed to sign the Air Pollution Violation Acknowledgement Form. I thanked T. Body for her time before leaving the property at 3:10 p.m.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57858	5/24/2024	5/29/2024	Trudy Boday	Open Burning Open Dumps	Joplin /Newton	SWRO	CR	Letter UF
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Cathy Davis						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		<p>At the residence of Trudy Boday 5866 Old Hwy 71, Joplin MO 65681  Someone living in her back yard scraps and hauls in trailers of trash. The concern in he burns plastic, whole cars, boats, hot tubs....anything he can't make money recycling. Today the smoke is so bad when I step outside it burns my eyes. This is a regular occurrence and I have docmunted many of the fires. I have asked them to stop. Nothing happens. Other neighbors have called the fire station in the past and they say there's nothing they can do. Please help.</p> <p>WebForm question:  Do you wish to be notified of the investigation outcome?  YES</p>						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9602  I visited the property on May 29, 2024, and found that no one was home, so I left an informational open burning fact sheet and my contact information.On June 4, 2024, I returned to the property to follow up. I arrived at the site at 2:41 p.m. and was greeted by property owner Trudy Body at the door. I informed her that I was there investigating a concern about the open burning of regulated materials. Body stated that she is the property owner, but her son, Philip Body, lives on the property behind the house. She also stated that she passed the informational packet along to P. Body and that he does burn durable goods in the backyard because he is a scrapper. T. Body is frustrated with his activities and has asked that he stop, but the activity has continued. There has been civil action taken between the two individuals to remove P. Body from the property by June 22, 2024. After speaking with T. Body, I entered the yard behind the house and spoke with P. Body. I observed a microwave and plastic buckets on the burn pile that had not yet been burned and requested that they be removed. I also saw metal and plastic fragments that indicate a history of burning durable goods. P. Body stated that any burned materials aren't his and that this is T. Body's property, so it is her responsibility. P. Body stated that he did receive the open burning fact sheet that I left at the property on May 29, but repeatedly walked away from our conversation while I tried to engage about who can be considered a responsible party. I returned to the house and spoke again with T. Body who agreed to sign the Air Pollution Violation Acknowledgement Form. I thanked T. Body for her time before leaving the property at 3:10 p.m.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57860	5/24/2024	5/29/2024	Larry Wald	Open Dumps Land Application Odor	Cartersville /Jasper	SWRO	JW	Communication In Person
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Gary Anthony Lehman						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Burying of factory trash, i.e. dog food bags, and spraying of waste water that has the smell of death.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9605</p> <p>I began the investigation near the State Hwy HH &amp; AA intersection at 10:12 a.m. I drove west from the intersection and connected onto 5th St to approach the downwind area relative to the facility. I turned south onto Arch St and drove to the end of the street to use the nasal ranger while I was west of the facility. Weather conditions consisted of 76 degrees F, 61% humidity, 35% cloud cover, and E winds at 5 mph. I did not detect excessive odorous matter with the nasal ranger. However, I did smell noticeable sewage odors without the nasal ranger. I drove back onto 5th St and headed west until the road became Eagle St. As of 10:19 a.m., I had still not detected excessive odorous matter. I parked near the railroad tracks on Eagle St and stepped out of the car to observe what I thought could have been over-application of fertilizer east of Eagle St. I took pictures of a discharge spot to later present to water pollution coworkers. I remained west of the C&amp;L land application area for about 20 minutes to await potential odors as wind conditions shifted and increased. At 10:51 a.m., I drove into the C&amp;L facility and I met with Larry and Michelle Wald. I had already met the Walds before, but I explained the reason for my visit nonetheless. L. Wald offered to drive me around the Cartersville area to show me what he thinks some odor issues are related to. I concurred, and I accompanied L. and M. Wald around the Cartersville area. L. Wald first drove us to 1st St near the Cartersville City and Municipal Court. L. Wald stated that the city had installed a rubber plug under one of the residential culverts which then led to stagnant, odorous sewage water. Next, we drove west on U.S. Rte 66 and crossed the railroad tracks by Skyler Dr. L. Wald explained that several companies in the area transport odorous bonemeal and fishmeal. M. Wald suspects the majority of the odor concerns are related to the fishmeal transportation rather than land application of fertilizer. We began heading back to the C&amp;L facility via Business Hwy 49, during which L. Wald pointed out several land application sites from other facilities such as Hillbilly Pumping and Webb City. Once we arrived back at the facility, I summarized my findings and I thanked L. and M. Wald for their hospitality. I ended the investigation at 11:35 a.m. I did not find excessive odors from any other potential sources or facilities during my investigation.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57861	5/24/2024	5/29/2024	Unknown	Odor		SWRO	JW	Communication In Person
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		<p>Strong sewer smell all over town. Strongest during the evening time. Has been going on all year. Unsure if it is the septic haulers, treatment facility, or something else. Odor is strongest East of 660 E. Daugherty Street along Old Route 66. Also near Hwy 171 (McArther Drive) around the bridges.</p>						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9595</p> <p>I began the investigation near the State Hwy HH &amp; AA intersection at 10:12 a.m. I drove west from the intersection and connected onto 5th St to approach the downwind area relative to the facility. I turned south onto Arch St and drove to the end of the street to use the nasal ranger while I was west of the facility. Weather conditions consisted of 76 degrees F, 61% humidity, 35% cloud cover, and E winds at 5 mph. I did not detect excessive odorous matter with the nasal ranger. However, I did smell noticeable sewage odors without the nasal ranger. I drove back onto 5th St and headed west until the road became Eagle St. As of 10:19 a.m., I had still not detected excessive odorous matter. I parked near the railroad tracks on Eagle St and stepped out of the car to observe what I thought could have been over-application of fertilizer east of Eagle St. I took pictures of a discharge spot to later present to water pollution coworkers. I remained west of the C&amp;L land application area for about 20 minutes to await potential odors as wind conditions shifted and increased. At 10:51 a.m., I drove into the C&amp;L facility and I met with Larry and Michelle Wald. I had already met the Walds before, but I explained the reason for my visit nonetheless. L. Wald offered to drive me around the Cartersville area to contextualize what he thinks some odor issues are related to. I concurred, and I accompanied L. and M. Wald around the Cartersville area. L. Wald first drove us to 1st St near the Cartersville City and Municipal Court. L. Wald stated that the city had installed a rubber plug under one of the residential culverts which then led to stagnant, odorous sewage water. Next, we drove west on U.S. Rte 66 and crossed the railroad tracks by Skyler Dr. L. Wald explained that several companies in the area transport odorous bonemeal and fishmeal. M. Wald suspects the majority of the odor concerns are related to the fishmeal transportation rather than land application of fertilizer. We began heading back to the C&amp;L facility via Business Hwy 49, during which L. Wald pointed out several land application sites from other facilities such as Hillbilly Pumping and Webb City. Once we arrived back at the facility, I summarized my findings and I thanked L. and M. Wald for their hospitality. I ended the investigation at 11:35 a.m. I did not find excessive odors from any other potential sources or facilities during my investigation.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57862	5/23/2024	5/24/2024	Verona Odors	Odor	Verona /Lawrence	SWRO	JW	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Anonymous caller states IsoNova and Kemin are "smelling up" the town of Verona in the afternoon.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9594</p> <p>I began the investigation at 7:35 a.m. as I approached the IsoNova Technologies facility from the westbound direction on county road 2170 then P highway. The visible emission plumes from the facility were wafting NNW at roughly 5 mph. Weather conditions were reported at 71 degrees F, 98% humidity, and SSE winds at 6 mph. There was approximately 10% cloud cover. I drove downwind of the plume direction once I was west of the triangular intersection between P highway and county road 1150. I noticed the IsoNova odors and I began using my nasal ranger after calibrating at the blank setting. I attempted nasal ranger detections in similar downwind locations at 7:40 a.m., 7:50 a.m., and 8:05 a.m., none of which resulted in odor violations. I concluded the IsoNova investigation at 8:10 a.m. then I drove to Verona proper to investigate Kemin Industries. I drove downwind of Kemin near the intersection between P highway and county road 2210 at 8:20 a.m. and 8:25 a.m. Neither of these trials resulted in odor violations. I ended the investigation at 8:30 a.m. Later in the office, I contacted Erick Hall with Kemin Industries to inform him of my findings. I also asked Hall about the progress on the scrubber modifications that are set for completion by August 1, 2024 (see concern 8712).</p>						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57865	5/28/2024	5/29/2024	Larry Wald	Odor	Carterville /Jasper	SWRO	JW	Communication In Person
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Holly Brotton						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		From online submission: "Trying to have a nice cookout and hang out with our family and friend and c and I grease dumps at 650pm and we ended up having to go inside and then we could smell it inside."						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9614</p> <p>I began the investigation near the State Hwy HH &amp; AA intersection at 10:12 a.m. I drove west from the intersection and connected onto 5th St to approach the downwind area relative to the facility. I turned south onto Arch St and drove to the end of the street to use the nasal ranger while I was west of the facility. Weather conditions consisted of 76 degrees F, 61% humidity, 35% cloud cover, and E winds at 5 mph. I did not detect excessive odorous matter with the nasal ranger. However, I did smell noticeable sewage odors without the nasal ranger. I drove back onto 5th St and headed west until the road became Eagle St. As of 10:19 a.m., I had still not detected excessive odorous matter. I parked near the railroad tracks on Eagle St and stepped out of the car to observe what I thought could have been over-application of fertilizer east of Eagle St. I took pictures of a discharge spot to later present to water pollution coworkers. I remained west of the C&amp;L land application area for about 20 minutes to await potential odors as wind conditions shifted and increased. At 10:51 a.m., I drove into the C&amp;L facility and I met with Larry and Michelle Wald. I had already met the Walds before, but I explained the reason for my visit nonetheless. L. Wald offered to drive me around the Carterville area to contextualize what he thinks some odor issues are related to. I concurred, and I accompanied L. and M. Wald around the Carterville area. L. Wald first drove us to 1st St near the Carterville City and Municipal Court. L. Wald stated that the city had installed a rubber plug under one of the residential culverts which then led to stagnant, odorous sewage water. Next, we drove west on U.S. Rte 66 and crossed the railroad tracks by Skyler Dr. L. Wald explained that several companies in the area transport odorous bonemeal and fishmeal. M. Wald suspects the majority of the odor concerns are related to the fishmeal transportation rather than land application of fertilizer. We began heading back to the C&amp;L facility via Business Hwy 49, during which L. Wald pointed out several land application sites from other facilities such as Hillbilly Pumping and Webb City. Once we arrived back at the facility, I summarized my findings and I thanked L. and M. Wald for their hospitality. I ended the investigation at 11:35 a.m. I did not find excessive odors from any other potential sources or facilities during my investigation.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57869	5/28/2024	5/29/2024	Larry Wald	Odor	Carterville /Jasper	SWRO	JW	Communication In Person
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Rhonda Rundle						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Caller states that often on her drive home she smells an odor that is extremely nauseating for her and her husband. CP was unable to describe the odor but says that it is strongest in dry weather and she notices it at the intersection of East Road and US Rt 66.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9628</p> <p>I began the investigation near the State Hwy HH &amp; AA intersection at 10:12 a.m. I drove west from the intersection and connected onto 5th St to approach the downwind area relative to the facility. I turned south onto Arch St and drove to the end of the street to use the nasal ranger while I was west of the facility. Weather conditions consisted of 76 degrees F, 61% humidity, 35% cloud cover, and E winds at 5 mph. I did not detect excessive odorous matter with the nasal ranger. However, I did smell noticeable sewage odors without the nasal ranger. I drove back onto 5th St and headed west until the road became Eagle St. As of 10:19 a.m., I had still not detected excessive odorous matter. I parked near the railroad tracks on Eagle St and stepped out of the car to observe what I thought could have been over-application of fertilizer east of Eagle St. I took pictures of a discharge spot to later present to water pollution coworkers. I remained west of the C&amp;L land application area for about 20 minutes to await potential odors as wind conditions shifted and increased. At 10:51 a.m., I drove into the C&amp;L facility and I met with Larry and Michelle Wald. I had already met the Walds before, but I explained the reason for my visit nonetheless. L. Wald offered to drive me around the Carterville area to show me what he thinks some odor issues are related to. I concurred, and I accompanied L. and M. Wald around the Carterville area. L. Wald first drove us to 1st St near the Carterville City and Municipal Court. L. Wald stated that the city had installed a rubber plug under one of the residential culverts which then led to stagnant, odorous sewage water. Next, we drove west on U.S. Rte 66 and crossed the railroad tracks by Skyler Dr. L. Wald explained that several companies in the area transport odorous bonemeal and fishmeal. M. Wald suspects the majority of the odor concerns are related to the fishmeal transportation rather than land application of fertilizer. We began heading back to the C&amp;L facility via Business Hwy 49, during which L. Wald pointed out several land application sites from other facilities such as Hillbilly Pumping and Webb City. Once we arrived back at the facility, I summarized my findings and I thanked L. and M. Wald for their hospitality. I ended the investigation at 11:35 a.m. I did not find excessive odors from any other potential sources or facilities during my investigation.</p>						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57879	5/29/2024	5/29/2024	Larry Wald	Odor	Carterville /Jasper	SWRO	JW	Communication In Person
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Holly Brotton						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		From webform (can be found in attachments file): "C and I grease dumped again and the smell is coming in my house... disgusting						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9658</p> <p>I began the investigation near the State Hwy HH &amp; AA intersection at 10:12 a.m. I drove west from the intersection and connected onto 5th St to approach the downwind area relative to the facility. I turned south onto Arch St and drove to the end of the street to use the nasal ranger while I was west of the facility. Weather conditions consisted of 76 degrees F, 61% humidity, 35% cloud cover, and E winds at 5 mph. I did not detect excessive odorous matter with the nasal ranger. However, I did smell noticeable sewage odors without the nasal ranger. I drove back onto 5th St and headed west until the road became Eagle St. As of 10:19 a.m., I had still not detected excessive odorous matter. I parked near the railroad tracks on Eagle St and stepped out of the car to observe what I thought could have been over-application of fertilizer east of Eagle St. I took pictures of a discharge spot to later present to water pollution coworkers. I remained west of the C&amp;L land application area for about 20 minutes to await potential odors as wind conditions shifted and increased. At 10:51 a.m., I drove into the C&amp;L facility and I met with Larry and Michelle Wald. I had already met the Walds before, but I explained the reason for my visit nonetheless. L. Wald offered to drive me around the Carterville area to show me what he thinks some odor issues are related to. I concurred, and I accompanied L. and M. Wald around the Carterville area. L. Wald first drove us to 1st St near the Carterville City and Municipal Court. L. Wald stated that the city had installed a rubber plug under one of the residential culverts which then led to stagnant, odorous sewage water. Next, we drove west on U.S. Rte 66 and crossed the railroad tracks by Skyler Dr. L. Wald explained that several companies in the area transport odorous bonemeal and fishmeal. M. Wald suspects the majority of the odor concerns are related to the fishmeal transportation rather than land application of fertilizer. We began heading back to the C&amp;L facility via Business Hwy 49, during which L. Wald pointed out several land application sites from other facilities such as Hillbilly Pumping and Webb City. Once we arrived back at the facility, I summarized my findings and I thanked L. and M. Wald for their hospitality. I ended the investigation at 11:35 a.m. I did not find excessive odors from any other potential sources or facilities during my investigation.</p>						



Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57903	5/29/2024	6/4/2024	Trash B Gone/Russell Wishon	Hazardous Waste Containers Household Open Burning	Pineville /McDonald	SWRO	CR	Communication In Person RTC
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Steven Chapman						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		From EPA hotline: "The owner at trash b gone trash hauling service of Pineville Kevin Carter is giving all the buckets of paints solvents and other hazardous chemicals he can't take to the dump to the old man living at 100 Hudson Rd Pineville Missouri. I don't have his name but I also live on Hudson Rd. I have witnessed Kevin Carter dropping stuff off at his house. The old man then Burns what he can and dumps the rest I suppose. Hopefully something can be done. I don't love the fact of that stuff getting washed down the valley behind my house. I do know it's a small business so they're not dumping tons probably 10 or 20 gallons on occasion but it shouldn't be happening at all."						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9659 I arrived at 58 Hudson Rd at 1:35 p.m. and was greeted by tenant Russell Wishon. I informed Wishon that I was there investigating a concern about the burning of paints received from Trash B Gone. Wishon volunteered to walk me around the property for observation. While walking the property, I observed one burn pile with old, untreated pallets. I observed an additional burn pile in which Wishon had burned a mattress and what appears to be an appliance previously. He stated that he knew that burning tires and paints was not allowed and that he has never burned paints on his property. He has used the Trash B Gone service before to dispose of waste, but never to receive waste. I provided Wishon with a copy of the open burning fact sheet outlining Missouri's regulations. He agreed to sign the air pollution violation acknowledgement form because of the burning of durable goods. I then thanked Wishon for his time and left the property at 1:55 p.m.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57903	5/29/2024	6/4/2024	Trash B Gone/Russell Wishon	Hazardous Waste Containers Household Open Burning	Pineville /McDonald	SWRO	CR	Communication In Person UF
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Steven Chapman						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		From EPA hotline: "The owner at trash b gone trash hauling service of Pineville Kevin Carter is giving all the buckets of paints solvents and other hazardous chemicals he can't take to the dump to the old man living at 100 Hudson Rd Pineville Missouri. I don't have his name but I also live on Hudson Rd. I have witnessed Kevin Carter dropping stuff off at his house. The old man then Burns what he can and dumps the rest I suppose. Hopefully something can be done. I don't love the fact of that stuff getting washed down the valley behind my house. I do know it's a small business so they're not dumping tons probably 10 or 20 gallons on occasion but it shouldn't be happening at all."						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9659 I arrived at 58 Hudson Rd at 1:35 p.m. and was greeted by tenant Russell Wishon. I informed Wishon that I was there investigating a concern about the burning of paints received from Trash B Gone. Wishon volunteered to walk me around the property for observation. While walking the property, I observed one burn pile with old, untreated pallets. I observed an additional burn pile in which Wishon had burned a mattress and what appears to be an appliance previously. He stated that he knew that burning tires and paints was not allowed and that he has never burned paints on his property. He has used the Trash B Gone service before to dispose of waste, but never to receive waste. I provided Wishon with a copy of the open burning fact sheet outlining Missouri's regulations. He agreed to sign the air pollution violation acknowledgement form because of the burning of durable goods. I then thanked Wishon for his time and left the property at 1:55 p.m.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57907	4/19/2024	4/23/2024	unknown	Open Burning Open Dumps	Clarence /Shelby	NERO	JH	Letter AC
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Caller reports that someone is burning junk out of a run down house at the south side of the east end of Willow Street in Clarence, right in front of the nursing home. Stated the city police had issued a ticket to them for burning there 2 or 3 days ago.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Violations observed at the property but have been unable to contact the property owner.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57920	5/31/2024	5/31/2024	Construction and Abatement Services	Asbestos	Buckner /Jackson	KCRO	JS	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Joe Bobadilla, City of Buckner						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Caller on COD-City Administrator Joe Bobadilla of Buckner had two folks from the General Laborers Union come to city hall to report that asbestos flooring was being removed from Buckner Elementary School on 13 S. Sibley Street without warning to the city and without containment. One of the workers stated that CAS Environmental is on site for the flooring removal and they mentioned a Don Pruitt in charge of that operation.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		No violations were observed during the investigation. Abatement project consists of removing nonfriable floor tile and mastic by hand methods. Work appeared to be done in compliance with state and federal asbestos air pollution regulations.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57921	4/24/2024	5/1/2024	unknown	Odor	Columbia /Boone	NERO	JH	Other
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Email states a strong offensive odor of rotting onions was detected for less than 5 minutes at the intersection of Garth Ave. and Worley St. in Columbia.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		No violations observed at the time of the investigation.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57922	4/22/2024		James Nelson	Open Burning	Hallsville /Boone	NERO	JH	Report
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Boone County Fire Department						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Via Email from Boone County Fire Department: "As mentioned on the phone we were dispatched to a fire call at 10930 Route B in Hallsville. Upon our arrival, we found a mobile home that had been demolished and set on fire. Pictures are attached. Someone at DNR had told the homeowner James Nelson,573-881-1567 if he demolished the trailer, he would be free to burn it. Unknown who or when he talked to someone. We extinguished the fire which had only consumed a small portion of the solid waste. Let me know if you need anything else. "						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Violations of the Missouri Open Burning Regulations were observed at the time of the investigation.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57933	4/26/2024	5/2/2024	unknown	Open Burning Open Dumps	Fulton /Callaway	NERO	JH	Letter AC
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Lt. Holly Spencer						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Caller reports that someone was burning scrap materials today at this location. The fire department responded and has responded multiple times to the location. The fire is always untended and nobody will claim responsibility. Several parties live there. Recommended to take a 4 wheel drive and to go accompanied by law enforcement. Waste being burned is salvage material from trailers and other materials for salvage.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Unable to access the property or make contact with the owner.						



Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57936	5/31/2024	6/3/2024	American Tripoli	Fugitive Particulate Matter	Seneca /Newton	SWRO	BD	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Jerrod Eidson						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Caller states that American Tripoli is running and is fogging out the town with air emissions from the plant.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9688 I arrived to the site at 1:45 p.m. and drove around the accessible perimeter to make cursory observation of the facility. The facility was in active production and I observed visible emissions, but an opacity reading was difficult to obtain due to the heavy rains during the time of the investigation. Emissions were being produced out of the south side of the building, but the rain greatly reduced the amount of visible emissions once the particulate matter began to travel away from the building. I observed the facility from both the north and south ends, but did not see any visible emissions leave the property boundary during these times.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57940	6/1/2024	6/14/2024	Larry Wald	Odor	/Jasper	SWRO	JW	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Holly Brotton						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Per Web Form: C and I grease dumped at 920pm on June 1st and we were outside and trying to enjoy the weather and it stunk to high heaven.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9700  I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57945	5/31/2024	6/3/2024	Jarrel Hagedorn	Open Burning	Kaiser /Miller	SWRO	CR	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Anonymous caller states that a farmer is trespassing on her lakefront properties to burn Styrofoam. The CP is from California and does not know the exact location of the burning. The burn pile is likely on the boat ramp next to the lake (the burn pile is possibly eroding into the lake). The CP has asked this farmer to refrain from illegal burning for the past year. The burn pile is said to be roughly 4x8 feet. The most recent burn occurred on 5/26/2024 at 8:15 p.m. The farmer supposedly drives a tractor around the area, so any residence with a tractor might be the responsible party.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9694 I arrived at the site of the burn pile, a boat ramp on Benton Road, at 12:04 p.m. I found the burn pile at the edge of the water. The pile contained primarily vegetative waste along with some rotting lumber and pieces of styrofoam. The materials were mostly unburned. I called the alleged responsible party and left a voicemail explaining our open burning regulations. Because of the inability to definitively identify a responsible party and the mildness of the violation, no further action is required at this time. Instead, information was provided surrounding Missouri regulations to prevent any additional occurrences.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57948	6/3/2024	6/7/2024	Highlandville PWS	Particulates	Highlandville /Christian	SWRO	WS	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Carolyn Rea						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		trilakesenvironmental@outlook.com						
		My water had air and black soot in it when I turned it on May 30, 2024 at 12:00 midnight. The next day It still had air in the system and black soot coming out of the faucets. I called the Highlandville office and asked them if there was a boil order out and they said no. I told them that the neighbor at the end of the road had big trucks and digging large trenches from their home. The water company told me I could boil the water if I wanted to. They never put out a warning about bad water in the pipeline going through the neighborhood.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9721						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57952	6/3/2024	6/7/2024	Unknown	Open Burning	Kansas City /Clay	KCRO	MC	Referred to Other Agency
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		From Webform - The address of the home that is burning is 7924 NE San Rafael Kansas City MO 64119 it is a tan color with a lot of junk outside						
		My neighbor was burning during unsafe conditions (high winds and dry air) which then caused their shed to catch fire which also caught neighboring trees on fire. The fire department had to come and extinguish the fire. After that, they continue to burn plastic and hazardous waste daily. The best time to pay a visit is the end of the week like maybe a Friday afternoon. You'll know it's the right house because he just built a new shed (because he burnt down his old one). I have children that can't play outside because of the fumes and this is every week. I really appreciate any help or concern.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		This concern is located within the city limits of Kansas City, MO; therefore, the concern was referred to the delegated local agency, which is the City of Kansas City Health Department's Air Quality Program.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57956	5/24/2024	5/24/2024	Unknown	Open Burning	/Clark	NERO	BH	Email
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Via email from EPA Region 7. "Thick white smoke covered our town this morning and the smell of burning oil. It was extremely hard to breathe outside and you couldn't see across the street the smoke was so thick.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		The CP was emailed asking for more details of the location of this concern. The CP never responded to the email. At this time no violation						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57957	6/5/2024	6/6/2024	Gideon Lagoon	Odor	/McDonald	SWRO	JW	Communication In Person
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Janice McCully						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Janice McCully called at 6:17 a.m. to report foul odors wafting out of the Gideon lagoon on 6/5/2024. McCully stated that she had also smelled odors from one of the tanker trucks on the highway. McCully reported that the lagoon odors persisted for over an hour.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9755 I visited the Stella lagoon at 6:05 a.m. to investigate the odors. During the visit, weather conditions consisted of <2 mph W winds, 59 degree F temperature, 0% cloud cover, and 90% humidity. I attempted to use the nasal ranger east of the lagoon at 6:06 a.m. on N Shetland Rd but I did not detect excessive odor. I then approached west toward the lagoon around 6:10 a.m. I noticed three septic trailers near the lagoon awaiting sludge extraction. I promptly met with Robert Shelton, an employee with Denali Water Solutions. I introduced myself along with my credentials and explained the reason for my visit. Shelton handed me his business card and he explained his job position with Denali. Shelton is typically the operator of the mixing tractor sitting near the lagoon. Shelton and I discussed various goings-on related to the Stella lagoo including the recent denial of access by the property owner, the progress on emptying the lagoon, and recent observations that citizens had been flying drones over the lagoon. I asked when Shelton suspected the lagoon would become 50% empty, but he was unsure. Shelton stated that Denali typically does not pump on Fridays. I ended my conversation with Shelton around 6:30 a.m. after explaining that I had not observed odor violations. I left the lagoon region at 6:36 a.m.I called Janice McCully on 6/13/2024 at 10:40 a.m. to inform her of my findings. She also asked some questions about the future of the Gideon lagoon as it pertains to sludge storage. I told McCully that I am not knowledgeable about the future plans for the Gideon lagoon.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57958	6/5/2024		Verona Odors	Odor	/Lawrence			
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Rebecca Deruyte						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Rebecca Deruyte left a voicemail at 9:47 a.m. on 6/5/2024 stating that the Verona odors are horrendous. I called her back to obtain more information, and she named Kemin and IsoNova as the culprits.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9756						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57964	6/4/2024	6/7/2024	Andrew County Highway Department	Fugitive Particulate Matter Particulates	St. Joseph /Andrew	KCRO	MC	Referred to Other Agency
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Robert Carl						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		County Road 374 needs dust control, the CP states that the road was just redone and none of the dust was controlled. The CP would like the dust to be controlled.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Fugitive particulate matter from unpaved public roads is not regulated by the department. Information was provided to Andrew County Highway Department for follow up.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57966	6/3/2024	6/4/2024	Sandstone Country Club	Asbestos	Warrensburg /Johnson	KCRO	JS	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Jeff						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Jeff was temporary in the Sandstone Country Club located at 77 NW Division Dr., Warrensburg, MO. The owners are renovating a building. They are removing drywall, ceiling material, and the carpets. There was some molding the building from a leak. The size of the room was about 25 feet by 35 feet. Jeff asked if there was an asbestos inspection performed and they indicated there had not been. There are SERVPRO air cleaning units there. The renovation has been going on for about 2 weeks. Jeff did not observe any wet methods being used. Jeff would like to be contacted with any information about this issue.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Minor remodeling work (removing drop ceiling and carpet) was reportedly done by previous owner, and only wood paneling was removed by current owner. Owner was informed an asbestos inspection would have to be done prior to further remodeling work and he was given a copy of the department's fact sheet on Asbestos Requirements for Demolition and Renovation Projects.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57969	5/9/2024	5/14/2024	Daniel Griggs	Open Burning Other	Charleston /Mississippi	SERO	DB	Other
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Alexandra Morris						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Received by webform: I live beside 15 E 332nd Rd, Charleston, MO 63834. It is a blue house that is in terrible shape and they collect junk and old vehicles that do not run. They also operate a boom truck and cut down trees and limbs. I understand this is probably their occupation. However, they collect limbs until there is a huge heap and then set it on fire, sometimes burning tree lines and objects in their yard as well. Several months ago they brought in an old shed and they have since been slowly burning shingles off of the roof of that shed inside of old washers/dryers. They typically only burn in the washers/dryers at night time. There is a thick, black smoke each time they do this. Our security cameras go off each time. I am also seven months pregnant with my first child and we just built our house. Sometimes the smoke is coming directly into our house and I am concerned as to what I am breathing in. I have reported this property and concern numerous times to the Mississippi County Code Enforcement Officer and I know they are working on getting the property owner to clean up, but they are doing so by trying to burn shingles at night time. I also work for the City of Charleston, so the code enforcement officer advised me to contact DNR.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Medium-size pile of tree limbs and a small destroyed shed were visible in the back yard of the residence from Highway 105. No washers/dryers or shingles observed. No evidence of open burning was observed. I tried knocking several times, but got no answer so I left a door bag with fact sheets, regulations, and a business card in it. No contact was received after several weeks. No violations of Missouri Air Conservation 10 Code of State Regulations 10-6.045 Open Burning Requirements were observed.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57971	5/28/2024	5/28/2024	Samuel James Ryals	Open Burning	/Gasconade	SLRO	TK	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		<p>5/28/24 CP called in to report a structure at 202 liberty lane, Rosebud MO that allegedly had been lit on fire on Saturday 5/25 and was still smoldering on 5/28. The structure used to be a mobile home, but was demolished in the last week, and the debris and materials were allegedly being disposed of by open burning. The CP stated that the continuous burning and smell was causing headaches and that the CP had to leave the area multiple times to avoid the smoke and smell. The CP stated they had contacted the non-emergency dispatch on Saturday , but it appears no actions have been taken. The CP stated that no one has been present on the property since lighting the materials on fire on Saturday.</p> <p>I contacted the non-emergency dispatch and asked if a fire department could be sent to the residence to assess the situation and put out any fires. A police deputy called me about 20 min later and stated that they did not notice any smoke and did not investigate further.</p> <p>MO parcel viewer lists Samuel James Ryals as the owner</p>						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>5/28/2024 - TKK - Miranda and I went to the concern site at 11:53 am and found a large burn pile that was still smoking on the northern most side. Based on aerial imagery from 2024 and street view the 205 residence that had been at this location had been burnt. Within the burn pile there was cinder blocks, treated wood, wires and metal. No one was on site. The county assessors office states that David and Kimbra Heidbrink own the property (home address: 408 S. Reserve, Rosebud MO. 63091) The 202 plot is directly south of 205 and does not contain a residence or structure. Both 202 and 205 are owned by the Heidbrinks.</p>						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57973	4/16/2024	4/16/2024	Windsor school district	Particulates Asbestos	/Jefferson	SLRO	HH	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		The CP stated that dust from a demolition contractors track hoe is blowing accross the street and onto neighboring properties. The CP inidcated that this is the second dust concern from this site.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>4-16-24-RLA- This is the third concern at this site. More information can be found at Sharepoints 8754 and 9013.</p> <p>On 04/16/2024 @ 12:40 PM I investigated the above concern, No dust were generated from the front loader no backhoe, minimal dust was generated from tucks leaving at the project entrance, I asked the front loader operator to call Luke (supervisor) to send water tanker to spray the project entrance.</p>						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57974	4/15/2024	5/15/2024	23 Faircreek Drive, Winfield	Open Burning	/Lincoln	SLRO	KH	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Devin Goodman						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		<p>Recieved a concern on the DNR webpage. The CP states: This individual brings home entire chipper truck loads of tree mulch and dumps them in his yard and burns them.not sure if he owns the company or works for them. They are not dried wood so it just smolders and creates the thickest smoke that covers miles of area for days He leaves it unattended day and night. My understanding is you can't leave it unattended, burn that much without an incenerator and only daylight hours. This has been going on for months 3-4 times weekly From what I understand your not supposed to burn that much at once.</p>						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>05/15/2024 - Kathleen Hertling, Gina Harris, and Tate Kitchell performed a site visit at the concern location and found that there was timber burning that was cut up similar in nature to large firewood. There was no illegal material in the mix of the burning wood and it was smoldering.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57975	5/8/2024	5/9/2024	Timothy Davis, Jr.	Open Burning Open Dumps	/Lincoln	SLRO	SL	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Jessica Vargas						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		<p>We recieved an environmental concern from the DNR website. The CP states I am a real estate agent. Yesterday I went on a residential listing appointment to the subject property located at 131 Pleasant View Dr Foley, MO 63347, Lincoln County which is a 4 acre residential property with a 2 bedroom house &amp; carport. The property owner Timothy Davis Jr has tried to start his own landfill and has been burning tires, plastic and other trash in a pit he dug next to his house. He asked me if he should fill in the pit. He brought in an excavator to dig it. I told him to wait to fill it in, that I would check into some things and get back to him. He told me he let people dump trash on his property. His neighbors know what he is doing and they are mad at him for all of the junk, he told me he wants to sell this property so he can buy a larger more private piece of land with a large garage to run his operations and live. The property owner is a heavily armed Army Veteran, I saw multiple firearms inside the house. I do not recommend tresspassing. He was polite and respectful to me. He is white, early 30's, profession - tree trimmer/landscaper, with a thick hillbilly accent. He is friends with the people who live at #130 Pleasant View Drive, enemies with #141 Pleasant View Drive.</p>						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>5/9/24-RLA-I spoke to enforcement supervisor, Shelby Miller, about the concern as this concern is currently in enforcement. She encouraged us to take a non DNR detailed vehicle and to perform a drive by investigation.</p> <p>5/10/24 SEL - Called the CP regarding the concern. CP explained that the owner of the property Tim Davis Jr. was attempting to sell and list the property at 131 pleasant view dr. The CP said the Tim Davis Jr had told them that he was wanting to run a landfill on the property. He told the CP that he currently had people dropping off waste, durable goods, electronics, etc., at his property for disposal. The CP stated that Tim Davis Jr had said that he takes some of the waste and fills a large pit (20 x 10 x 8ft deep) that is located behind the house. Allegedly he burns the waste to dispose of it. The CP stated that he also will burn tires in the pit. The CP stated that they felt very uncomfortable on the property and that a lot of weapons and firearms are on the property. The CP stated that he was acting strangely during the CPs visit, and they believe he was currently/recently using drugs.</p> <p>5/15/24 Kathleen Hertling, Tate Kitchell, and Gina Harris drove by the property in an unmarked car. 9 photos were taken during the visit. They did not make contact or step onto the property. From the road, two burn piles were visible. It appeared only tree trimmings were in the burn pile. The large pit behind the house was not visible from the road, and could not be investigated. There was solid waste in the front yard, including tv's, appliances, tires, and plastic drums. At the time of the investigation, no open burning was observed from the public road. The property has already been referred to the Air Pollution Control Program's Enforcement team and is still in progress. Images and information from the investigation has been sent to Shelby Hunsaker at Enforcement. I emailed the CP and explained the findings and the actions taken in regards to the concern submitted.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57978	6/3/2024	6/4/2024	City of Moberly WWTF	Odor	Moberly /Randolph	NERO	BH	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anthony Kitchen						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		<p>Email states, "The last month or so an awful smells has been making it difficult to have a window open and enjoy the nicer weather. The smell is very similar to the awful smell that was coming from the Waste Pond out on the outter road on HwY 63 by the cemetery. I'm willing to let you all come into my apartment to test the air coming in through my windows this smell stinks out my entire apartment when I have a window open. It's making me sick to my stomach just smelling it. It's not as strong as it use to be before Moberly City Hall claimed they were going to address this issues but it's definitely strong enough to travel from the pond to my apartment complex when the wind is blowing westward. It's really unpleasant and not something anyone I know cares to keep smelling."</p>						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>on-site visit conducted No violation of the odor regulations at the time of the investigation.</p>						



Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57981	5/6/2024	5/7/2024	McBride Development	Open Burning	/St. Charles	SLRO	RB	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Boone Ridge Estates HOA						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		<p>Recieven an email from a concern HOA about a development project in Wentzville. The email stated:</p> <p>We are writing to express our concerns regarding the ongoing development at McBride Development, specifically the Wilmer Crossing project. Within a short time of initiating the work on April 4, 2024, we have observed significant environmental disturbances.</p> <p>The rapid removal of trees from the site, followed by the burning of underbrush and stumps and the water runoff, is of particular concern.</p> <p>Furthermore, it has come to our attention that a substantial amount of diesel fuel is being utilized to ignite these fires due to the green nature of the brush and recent heavy rainfall. We fear that the resultant runoff may be finding its way into Peruque Creek, which flows adjacent to the property.</p> <p>In addition to these concerns, we have received reports that ash has fallen onto several of the surrounding homes, posing a potential hazard to residents and their properties.</p> <p>Despite our concerns, the developer, McBride/Bill Luetkenhaus, and the City of Wentzville maintain that they are adhering to all regulations. However, we have observed instances of negligence, such as cutting a hole in the dam of the pond on the property without implementing adequate measures, such as silt fences, to control water flow and prevent it from encroaching onto Wilmer.</p> <p>Attached with this correspondence is photographic evidence of the aforementioned issues for your review and consideration. We seriously request that appropriate authorities inspect the site to assess compliance with environmental regulations and ensure the mitigation of any adverse impacts on the surrounding ecosystem.</p> <p>Thank you for your attention to this matter. We look forward to your prompt action in addressing these concerns and preserving the integrity of our local environment.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Neighbors Boone Ridge Estates, Cambridge Falls, and East Hampton Woods.</p>						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>5/7/24 - RLA - I looked up the site and we have not had concern at this location before. I looked on McBride homes site and the address is 1853 Willmer Road, Wentzville, 63385.</p> <p>5/14/24: RPB I went out on Tuesday May 14th, 2024. I arrived around 11 am and it was raining and cloudy. Temperature was 64 degrees. I pulled off Wilmer rd and saw a smoke cloud in the distance at the rear of the property. I walked around the pull off to see if anyone was around. No one seemed present so I walked out to the smoke to see if I could find someone. I found a contractor working an arm bucket excavator. He was finding stumps to put in the fire that was currently lit on the property. I walked up to the fire and measured it to be approximately 20 yards long by 10 yards wide and 4 feet deep. There were 3 other smoldering piles of similar size covered by wet dirt. The man got out of the machine and I asked him his name, he said it was Nick Fernandez and he worked for Howell and Sons Grading. He was contracted to burn the wood that had been cut down on the property. He stated he's only been on this assigned lot for about a week. He mentioned that he would dig a pit and fill it with wood and burn the wood. Once the pit was full, he would dig a new one and repeat the process. There was no evidence of diesel fuel on the fire or around the fire. I discussed the reason I was out and explained that they might need an air curtain incinerator. Mr. Fernandez mentioned his company could operate one, but it was being used at another site. I asked what the plan was for the rest of the wood that was piled up. Mr. Fernandez stated that the plan was to burn it.</p> <p>5/14/24: RPB After reviewing the Open Burning regulations, it appears they could be in violation of (3)(D); open burning of untreated wood waste generated from construction and demolition waste, by burning more than 80 cubic yards of this untreated wood waste per week at a single location. The remaining amount of untreated wood waste is estimated to be more than 80 cubic yards and could be burned within a week. They can either measure the amount and burn no more than that per week, or haul the wood off property and continue to burn it elsewhere and still remain under the 80 cubic yards per week burnt per week. \</p>						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57982	5/20/2024	5/21/2024	Quikrete	Fugitive Particulate Matter	/St. Louis	SLRO	RA	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Robert Rinck						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Quikrete plant on Marshall Road in Valley Park seems to be emitting quite a bit of dust in to the air and it is getting properties and the city is concerned about the environmental hazards that may be present and affecting the citizens that live and work nearby.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		The facility is located is St. Louis County, referred concern to St. Louis County Dept of Health						



Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57983	6/5/2024	6/6/2024	8926 Berkay Ave., Jennings, MO, 63136	Odor		SLRO	RA	
					/St. Louis			
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Kevin Johnson						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		The CP is concerned about an ammonia odor around their home, they have tried concerns with the county air program, health department, and MSD. Based on the conversation with the CP, it sounds like the source of the odor is the CP's home. I explained to the CP that the DNR does not have jurisdiction in the area, and if an odor is detected, we may not have any facility to regulate.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Mr. Jennings has called the SLRO and St. Louis County Air program in the past. See Sharepoint 3119 and 7372 for past history.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57984	5/15/2024	5/15/2024	Veiolia Environmental Services	Odor		SLRO	LZ	
					/St. Louis City			
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Recieved an anonymous odor concern from the EPA. The CP states: 5/14/24 6:11am, Intenisty: 5 Smell Type: Chemical - Natural Gas/Burning Plastic Notes: Chemical Burning Smell. Could smell it as soon as I opened the door. Air felt heavy and smell caused nausea. Caused a headache.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		5/15/24-RLA- I looked up the address and the property is in the vicinity where we commonly get odor concerns.						
		5/16/24-RLA- I submitted the concern to IL EPA on behalf of the concerned party.						
		on 5/28/2024, I Lemma Zewdie visited the locations of 9 facilities designated as probable causes of odor in south city area. All of these facilities are registered with the sate as air pollutions sources and most are Part 70- facilities. They are also registered as either large or small quantity hazardous waste generators with the sate. I stopped by at each of the these facilities. Walk around and just outside of the boundary lines. I carried the nasal ranger with me. Because there was no objectionable odor to me in the areas I visited I did not need to use the nazal ranger. The names, address of the facilities and at what time I visited the sites is logged in the odor loop data sheet.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57985	5/16/2024	5/16/2024	SA Recycling	Open Burning	/St. Louis City	SLRO	SL	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Patrick Lefarth						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Recieved an open burning concern from the EPA. The CP states "Burning materials such as wires, cars, etc creating obnoxious smoke that is drifting south into the city						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SEL - 5/16/24, SA Recycling has had various concerns regarding the smoke emissions from the torch cutting operations. Previous concerns were reviewed. I worked with Miranda Cason to schedule a date that both of us could conduct an investigation</p> <p>SEL 5/22/24 Miranda Cason and I arrived at Branch st, and parked adjacent to the Kiesel Co, and Advantage Metal Recycling. We walked up the bike path that merges onto Mckinley bridge, roughly half a mile. We positioned ourselves on the path, roughly 150 ft above and 600 ft from the facility. Torch cutting was being conducted at the time, and smoke emissions were noted. The smoke plume did travel up to the McKinley bridge that runs above the facility and occasionally onto the bridge.</p> <p>I started my Method 9 observation at 11:21 am and conducted a 24-minute reading, ending at 11:45 am.</p> <p>A 6-min Method 9: first reading at 11:21am 0 seconds and last reading at 11:26 45seconds (24 readings) had an average opacity of 22.5%, with a highest reading of 45%</p> <p>A 6-min Method 9: first reading at 11:31am 0 seconds and last reading at 11:36 45seconds (24 readings) had an average opacity of 22.29%, with a highest reading of 55%</p> <p>A 6-min Method 9: first reading at 11:37am 0 seconds and last reading at 11:42 45seconds (24 readings) had an average opacity of 26.66%, with a highest reading of 45%</p> <p>Photos and videos were taken that showed smoke emissions from torch cutting.</p> <p>After the method 9, Miranda and I returned to the office.</p> <p>5/23/24 SEL, I emailed Tim Barth explaining the findings, and that information will be forwarded to the APCP enforcement team. I emailed the CP and informed them of the findings.</p> <p>Photo addendum, method 9 form, and average opacity calculations spreadsheet attached in concern document set</p> <p>Documentation, photos, and videos of investigation have been forwarded to Daniel Reed at APCP Enforcement.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57986	5/30/2024	6/3/2024	Unknown	Odor	/St. Louis City	SLRO	TK	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Fallon Brice						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Recieved an odor concern from the I-70/270 Online Odor website. The CP states a strong or offensive acrid/rotten eggs odor at thier home						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>-6/3/2024- TTK - Upon visiting the concerned parties house I found they were not at home. I conducted smell tests at the site of the house and on every block in a 2-block radius surrounding the property. No odor, as described by the concerned party, was detected other than a slight trash smell next to a full dumpster. Two blocks north west of the concerned party was a SPIRE truck with equipment that appeared to have been conducting work (potentially could've been the source of a natural gas - rotten egg smell). I did one more smell test next to the house before leaving. There was a south breeze blowing at ~8 mph during my tests. NO ODOR was detected.</p> <p>6/3/2024-KMH- I called the CP and left a voicemail message.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
57987	5/16/2024	5/16/2024	Residential Property	Open Burning	/Warren	SLRO	RB	
<b>Concerned Party:</b> Anonymous <b>Concern Info:</b> Recieved an anonymous concern about a potential open burning. The CP states "Our next door neighbors have a burn pile in their back yard that is visible to us and to anyone driving by. There is a foam mattress and some plastic wood furniture they are planning on burning. They have burned many mattresses, couches and furniture in the past. It is so nasty smelling when they do this and I know it is not legal. " <b>Conclusions:</b> 5/16/24-RLA- I looked through our data base and we have not had a concern at this address before. I emailed the Marthasville Fire Chief to let him know we recieved a concern about this property and we will be investigating and to let us know if there is a fire at that location.  5/17/24-RPB- I drove out to the RP address and arrived around 10:20 AM. I knocked on the door and a young man answered. I explained who I was and told him we had received an anonymous concern about burning furniture in the neighborhood. I eluded to the fact that it was regarding his property, but did not state this directly. I mentioned how I wanted to inform him that he can't burn plastics, furniture, mattresses and other durable goods as it is against the open burning regulations. He asked if I was just telling him or others. I mentioned that I was going to a couple houses so the "neighborhood" was informed. I gave him my card and a copy of the open burning regulations. I asked if he had any questions and he had none. I asked him his name and he refused to state it. I went to the neighbors left (301 East North Street) and right (401 East North Street) of his property to give them the regulations as well. The neighbor to the left (301) was home and it was an older couple. I explained I just wanted to spread awareness and this gave me a chance to see the pile behind the RP. There was a mattress and a plastic chair. The neighbors at 301 East North Street appreciated the information and I moved on. I knocked on the door at 401 East North Street and no one was home. I left my business card and a copy of the open burning regulation in their mailbox.								

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58013	6/5/2024	6/12/2024	Amy and Steven Mitchell	Open Dumps Open Burning	Ozark /Christian	SWRO	MK	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b> Jeffery Haskins <b>Concern Info:</b> Individual bringing in household waste, building material, furniture, plastic onto property to be burned. Occurring 2 to 3 times a week. Individual cleans out houses and other properties and brings all items back to this site for disposal. The burning is occurring on top of a shared well and CP is also concerned about the well. Inspector has permission from concern party to access their property to observe the burn area. <b>Conclusions:</b> SharePoint #9766								

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58016	6/5/2024	6/6/2024	Gideon lagoon	Odor	/McDonald	SWRO	JW	Communication In Person
<b>Concerned Party:</b> Jennifer Wilson <b>Concern Info:</b> Jennifer Wilson called stating that the Gideon lagoons are foul on N Shetland Rd (east of the basin) in the afternoon of 6/5/2024. The caller stated that they can smell the odors through the AC in their house. <b>Conclusions:</b> SharePoint #9768  See concern 9755 for findings. I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required. This report is tracked under concern 9755.								

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58017	6/5/2024	6/6/2024	Gideon lagoon	Odor		SWRO	JW	Communication In Person
					/McDonald			
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Anonymous caller at 3:31 p.m. states that the Gideon lagoon odors are "stinking up" N Shetland Rd in the afternoon of 6/5/2024. The caller lives in the area but believes the odors today are particularly strong.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9769						
		See concern 9755. I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required. This report is tracked under concern 9755.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58018	6/6/2024	6/13/2024	Elmer and Albert Lansdown	Open Burning	Morrisville	SWRO	BD	Phone Conversation UF
					/Polk			
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Came in via Webform. Property owner has been burning materials that are unlawful to burn. On June 1, 2024 it was reported the the Polk County Sheriff office that they had a vehicle turned in its side burning, emitting black smoke. On June 5, 2024 they were burning something that had very black smoke and a very bad odor. They burn stuff causing black smoke very often.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9777						
		I arrived to the address reported in the concern at 11:15 a.m. and there was an active fire burning with heavy black smoke. I made contact with the son of the property owner, Elmer Lansdown, and let him know the reason for my visit. I informed Lansdown that we had not only received a concern regarding the burning, but that the active black smoke also drew me to the site. In the burn pile, I noted two microwaves, a dishwasher, treated wood, and bits of scrap metal that had already been burned and discarded to the side of the burn pile. Altogether, there was approximately 2-3 cubic yards of solid waste in and near the burn pile. I informed Lansdown that burning any durable goods or appliances was against the Open Burning Requirements and that I would have to issue Lansdown an Unsatisfactory Finding. I informed Lansdown that he would need to properly dispose of the items being burned to the landfill and provide receipts once he had disposed of the items. Lansdown said that would not be a problem, as they were already planning on disposing of everything once the fire had subsided. I gave Lansdown a copy of the Open Burning fact sheet, had him sign the air pollution acknowledgement form, and left a business card with my contact information for Lansdown to send any pictures and receipts to. On 6/21/24, Lansdown contacted me to inform me that he had disposed of all the regulated waste and wanted to submit pictures and receipts. I informed Lansdown to email me receipts and/or pictures, and once I had received them, I would change the report to have no response required.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58021	6/4/2024	6/5/2024	Larry Gilworth	Asbestos Open Dumps		NERO	DB	
					/Putnam			
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Email states, "There is a building on main street in Unionville between the addresses of 1702 main street and 1712 main street that has a fireworks for sale sign in the front window. They are removing old construction materials that possibly contain asbestos, nails, glass, etc. and placing it in the alley which is owned by the city of Unionville. This illegal dumping is a couple feet from a sewer manhole that for some reason has multiple holes drilled through the lid which will allow rain to push this material into the city sewer system."						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Found renovations are being done on building. Found no demolition waste in alley. Unable to contact anyone or reach by phone. Attempting to contact.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58023	5/30/2024	6/5/2024	Larry Gilworth	Asbestos Open Dumps	/Putnam	NERO	DB	Letter
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Email states, "Tenant at 1706 Main Street Unionville MO 63565 is removing plaster & other building materials debris possibly containing asbestos & putting it behind the building at 1706 Main Street Unionville MO 63565 in the city alley way near a perforated sewer manhole cover. The City of Unionville & an Alderman have been notified, but nothing has been done. This has gone on for several days."						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Renovation occurring, found no demolition waste dumped in alley. Unable to contact at site or by phone. Sending contact letter.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58024	6/4/2024	6/5/2024	Terry Bulen	Open Burning	New Cambria /Macon	NERO	DB	Letter
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Caller reports that Terry Bulen burns unknown material causing noxious smoke and leaves after lighting it. Stated he does not have trash service. I informed the caller that household waste was allowed. Caller requested DNR discuss burning rule with Terry Bulen.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		At site, found no evidence of burning visible from the road or driveway. Nobody present. Left contact request on door with OB rule information. Sending contact letter.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58026	6/7/2024		Omega Twin River Holdings LLC "Omega Mod Group"	Asbestos	Neosho /Newton			
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		A complaint was received that there is asbestos containing materials dumped in an open pit in the field east of the building.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9790						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58027	5/29/2024	6/5/2024	Todd Hunt	Open Burning	Altamont /Daviess	NERO	DB	Letter AC
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Mr. John McWilliams						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Mr. John McWilliam of Altamont Village, Daviess County, called and reported that his neighbor has been burning stuff; the latest was last week, and he is getting ready to burn more. He and his wife have put together more stuff to burn. A photograph was provided.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Found pile of furniture and mattresses in yard at rear of property. Nobody at residence. Spoke with concerned party, who said Todd Hunt inherited the property and was preparing it for sale, but nobody lives there. I left an information packet on the door with a card and contact request. Unable to make contact. Sending contact letter and administratively closing concern.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58028	5/29/2024	6/5/2024	Unknown	Open Burning	Marceline /Linn	NERO	DB	Letter
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Marceline Police Department						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		The Marceline Police Department reports that vagrants/trespassers have been burning regulated items such as clothes, toys, furniture at 510/511 East Lake Street, Marceline. They also reported that the property owners (EZ Own Homes) are located in St. Louis and have not done anything to deter the activities on the property.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Confirmed debris on site appears to have been burned. Nobody present at time of investigation. Unable to contact, sending contact letter.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58029	6/7/2024			Hazardous Air Pollutant Release	Rockaway Beach /Taney			
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Kevin Welsh						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Blaine Bias a firefighter for Central Taney County Fire Department relays a concern for illegal burning from Kevin Welsh, concerned party.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9791 An Unsatisfactory Finding - No Response Required is being issued for the burning of durable goods. An Air Pollution Violation Acknowledgement Form was signed at the time of the visit. The UF is tracked under concern #9793.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58030	6/7/2024	6/7/2024	Colton Lamb	Open Burning	Rockaway Beach /Taney	SWRO	CR	Communication In Person RTC
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Neighbor is burning plastics and other possible regulated wastes. CP has called police dept and said they told the RP was told by police department to not burn the material. CP talked to Blaine with Taney Co Fire Dept today (6/7/2024 )and Baine told CP that they were just "burning wood." CP said that Blaine didn't think they were burning just wood and advised CP to call DNR since the FD can't cite them for the burning. CP could not tell me if the material came from the RP's kitchen or bathroom trash or what they were burning, but said it smells horrible. I explained DNR regulations in case the burning is items we do not regulate.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9793  An Unsatisfactory Finding - No Response Required is being issued for the burning of durable goods. An Air Pollution Violation Acknowledgement Form was signed at the time of the visit.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58030	6/7/2024	6/7/2024	Colton Lamb	Open Burning	Rockaway Beach /Taney	SWRO	CR	Communication In Person UF
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Neighbor is burning plastics and other possible regulated wastes. CP has called police dept and said they told the RP was told by police department to not burn the material. CP talked to Blaine with Taney Co Fire Dept today (6/7/2024 )and Baine told CP that they were just "burning wood." CP said that Blaine didn't think they were burning just wood and advised CP to call DNR since the FD can't cite them for the burning. CP could not tell me if the material came from the RP's kitchen or bathroom trash or what they were burning, but said it smells horrible. I explained DNR regulations in case the burning is items we do not regulate.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9793  An Unsatisfactory Finding - No Response Required is being issued for the burning of durable goods. An Air Pollution Violation Acknowledgement Form was signed at the time of the visit.						



Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58066	6/10/2024	6/11/2024	Andy Pittman	Open Burning	Versailles /Morgan	SWRO	CR	Communication In Person LOW
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		***From EPA*** Large Fire emitting toxic fumes and smoke into the neighborhood, lasting all day. Smell has been terrible, smoke was dense at all times, especially early on. Not sure what kind of poison we have been breathing all day. Has a pile about as big as a house of debris to burn. Claims to have a permit to burn. if so I would say they didnt look at it.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>I arrived at the site at 3:05 p.m. and drove around the accessible perimeter to do a cursory observation of the property. I observed the burn pile while approaching the house in attempt to make contact. While I left the sight, I passed Andy Pittman in the road and returned to the property to speak with him. I informed Pittman that the department had received a concern about open burning on the property, prompting the visit. Pittman agreed to join me at the burn pile which was set in a depression in the southeast portion of the two adjacent properties owned by Pittman. The pile contained vegetative waste, furniture, clothing, various pieces of metal, and household trash. Other durable goods were roughly 15 feet south of the burn pile including a television and a car seat.</p> <p>I asked Pittman what items had been burned and their origin. Pittman stated that the pile contained various "junk" left behind by the previous tenants on the property before he purchased the property. He was unsure about the source of the various pieces of metal but stated that there was a couch and mattress and a significant amount of household trash left behind. Pittman also explained that he contacted local law enforcement to inform them of the burning beforehand. He did not realize that some of the burned items were regulated, and I informed him of our open burning regulations. I explained the process of resolving an open burning violation including the letter he would receive and the potential requirements that would be outlined. I advised Pittman to cease burning until regulated items are removed and to maintain any receipts as he brings items to a permitted landfill.</p> <p>I provided a copy of our Open Burning Fact Sheet and Pittman agreed to sign the Air Pollution Violation Acknowledgement Form. I then thanked Pittman for his time and left the property at 3:35 p.m.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58069	6/11/2024	6/13/2024		Open Burning	/Dade	SWRO	TW	Letter UF
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Webform - Date of Incident: 4/30/2024 - Open burn with fire department. They approved. They had tires rubber floors paint and more. Black smoke. The date may be off. Probably still in ground and pile						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>See Sharepoint #9835</p> <p>Evidence of burning of regulated waste (tires, 55 gallon drums, etc) was observed.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58072	6/11/2024	6/17/2024	Shane Mann	Open Burning	Linn Creek /Camden	SWRO	CR	Communication In Person
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Webform - Neighbor dumped and is burning and has burned roofing shingles.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>SharePoint #9840</p> <p>I observed no evidence of illegal open burning during the investigation. No further action is required.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58073	6/11/2024	6/13/2024	Coose Trailers	Open Burning	Lockwood /Dade	SWRO	TW	Letter
<b>Concerned Party:</b> Anonymous <b>Concern Info:</b> Caller states that Coose Trailers is burning trailer related materials (rubber) in their back lot. Coordinates are listed in the driving directions section below. The caller states that the burning occurred nearly a month ago and that the business conducts these illegal burns every 3-4 months with the fire department's permission. <b>Conclusions:</b> SharePoint #9846. Same as concerns 9864 and 9835. Evidence of open burning of trade waste was observed. An unsatisfactory finding was issued for evidence of illegal open burning.								
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58082	6/11/2024	6/12/2024	Unknown	Open Burning	Sikeston /Scott	SERO	JC	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b> Robbin Jones <b>Concern Info:</b> CP states someone is cutting trees down in her area and dragging them behind the privacy fence and burning them. She is concerned because the smoke is bad and you can smell it in the house. Her mom has heart failure/COPD and asthma and the smell is affecting her. She contacted the police department yesterday and they said they would come out. She called back today and they hung up on her. She said there wasn't any tornado damage in her particular area so there isn't a reason why they should be cutting and burning. <b>Conclusions:</b> No violations of Missouri Air Conservation Regulation 10 Code of State Regulations 10-6.045 Open Burning Requirements were observed.								
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58083	6/3/2024	6/4/2024	Unknown	Open Burning	Salem /Dent	SERO	DB	Referred to Other Agency
<b>Concerned Party:</b> Anonymous <b>Concern Info:</b> The Concerned Party stated that the Responsible Party(ies) took siding from their home at 605 South Elmer Street and burned on the foundation of 607 South Elmer Street a few weeks ago. The CP is concerned that the rest of the vinyl siding (located in front of 605 S. Elmer and in the backyard) is planned to be open burned for disposal. The house at 607 South Elmer Street burned down previously. <b>Conclusions:</b> Jarred Brown, Building Inspector for the City of Salem, has taken a look at this property and plans to keep an eye on it moving forward. Jarred relayed to me that no open burning was evident and that there is some siding placed near the curb of 605 South Elmer Street. The City of Salem is keeping an eye on this individual. No violations were observed of Missouri Air Conservation Regulation 10 Code of State Regulations 10-6.045 Open Burning Requirements. Fact sheets/regulation will be sent.								
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58092	5/28/2024	6/3/2024	unknown	Open Burning	/Phelps	SERO	DB	Other
<b>Concerned Party:</b> Sandra Ray <b>Concern Info:</b> Concerned Party's neighbor was burning his car. The CP did not know her neighbor's address, but if you are looking at her front door, it is the neighbor to the right. She called the fire department and they were onsite, but told her the fire would burn out. <b>Conclusions:</b> No contact could be made with RP. Property is surrounded by chain-link fence and marked "no trespassing". The CP told me that the burned vehicle had since been removed from the property. I did not observe any violations of Missouri Air Conservation Regulation 10 Code of State Regulations 10-6.045 Open Burning Requirements while at the RP's address. Fact sheets/regulation and my business card will be mailed to the RP's address.								

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58093	6/13/2024	6/13/2024	Unknown	Asbestos	Kahoka /Clark	NERO	DB	Other
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		EPA forwarded concern stating that asbestos siding is being removed at 223 E Exchange in Kahoka						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		No violation was alleged, closing concern						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58096	6/13/2024	6/13/2024	Shawn Watson, Co-owner	Open Burning Hazardous	Lockwood /Dade	SWRO	TW	Communication In Person
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Date of Incident or Date First Noticed: 05/23/2024 "Location beside muncys . On 160. Coose . Dumpster has hazardous materials. A burn pile in back by the building you see pile chemicals dumped behind building barels of toxic items. Burn pile had tires rubber floors and more"						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9864 Evidence of open burning of regulated waste was observed on site, tires, 55 gallon drums etc.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58097	6/13/2024	6/17/2024	Russell Tidabeck	Fugitive Particulate Matter	Seneca /Newton	SWRO	BD	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Jerrod Eidson						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Caller states that American Tripoli is releasing fugitive particulate matter beyond its property boundaries around noontime on 6/13/2024.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9870  No violations of 10 CSR 10-6.170 Restriction of Particulate Matter to the Ambient Air Beyond the Premises of Origin were observed due to the facility being down for maintenance. The maintenance did not result in any reports or instances of fugitive emissions, and therefore a SSM notification is not required for this maintenance activity.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58098	6/13/2024	6/17/2024	Ken M	Open Dumps: Construction and Demolition Open Burning	/Morgan	SWRO	CR	Other
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Date of Incident or Date First Noticed: 06/02/2024 "there is a person that has an empty lot that has over the years burned and buried roofing shingles, black dock floating pads and other construction debris."						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9866  I observed no evidence of the burial of solid waste or illegal open burning. No further action is required.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58100	6/14/2024		IsoNova Technologies	Odor	/Lawrence			
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Anonymous caller states that the odors from IsoNova Technologies are "horrible" at 6:15 a.m. on 6/14/2024.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9876 I did not observe any violations during this investigation. No action is required.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58105	6/14/2024	6/20/2024	Kathy Reed, Homeowner	Open Burning	Camden Point /Platte	KCRO	CK	Site Visit
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Kathy						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		A month ago a storm knocked their neighbors tree over about a month ago. Neighbor burned the tree on their property. RP has been burning trash with the tree and the smoke has been hard to deal with. Caller believes that regulated material is in the pile. Pile smolders all day and burns bigger fires at night. Caller's main concern is the smell produced by the fire.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Open burning violations could not be confirmed at this time. No debris found in burn pile upon site investigation. Home owner denied burning prohibited items. Household gets regular garbage pickup. Burning appears to be from disposal of vegetative waste originating on site, specifically large trees that had fallen during a storm in April.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58106	6/14/2024	6/20/2024	City of Deepwater	Open Burning	Deepwater /Henry	KCRO	DS	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		The city is burning brush as well as insulation and other materials. They buried some of the material with dirt they get from cleaning out ditches. Taking place on the end of North H Street. Taking place on the Army Corps of Engineers. Burning of material taking place once a month. Caller stated that doorframes and plastic chairs are in the material burned. The mayor is the person in charge of the burning. Caller worried material is making it into Truman Lake.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		According to the city, this site is only supposed to be used to drop off vegetative waste. They city did have some household waste dumped without permission. The city has taken steps to monitor the type of waste dropped off and deter unpermitted materials.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58109	6/10/2024	6/11/2024	Jason Garnett	Open Burning	Fulton /Callaway	NERO	BH	Letter UF
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		CP "People are burning a trailer. They started burning it on Thursday 6/6/2024 and are burning it again today 6/10/2024."						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Ons-site visit Violation of Missouri Open Burning Rules. Required to clean-up burn debris, provide receipts of proper disposal, and sign air violation acknowledgement letter.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58110	6/7/2024	6/11/2024	Emma Jeanne Buckman	Open Burning Other	Columbia /Boone	NERO	BH	Letter AC
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Boone County Fire Protection District						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		RP is burning more than household trash. Fire department has reported to the scene 35 times in the past 18 months. See email and photos attached						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		On-site visit Sending Contact Letter						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58111	6/14/2024	6/14/2024	Dehn Demolition	Fugitive Particulate Matter	Riverside	KCRO	JS	
					/Platte			
Concerned Party:		Bill Little						
Concern Info:		I received an environmental concern call (voicemail) from Bill Little (816-225-3592) about the demolition of the former Redx store located at 2401 NW Platte Rd., Riverside, MO. I checked our system and a demo notification (D12137-2024) was submitted for the project. There was asbestos containing floor tile and mastic. I called New Horizons Enterprises this morning and confirmed that they removed the floor tile and mastic from 5/2/2024 to 5/4/2024. I called Bill Little back this morning and informed him about this information. Bill was happy that the asbestos was removed prior to the start of the demolition. However, Bill does want to submit a concern about fugitive dust leaving the demolition site. Bill said that most of the structure has been demolished but there is still some waste left and he observed fugitive dust leaving the property.						
Conclusions:		Dust was observed from the loading of demolition debris, but a violation could not be definitively determined. Work was stopped until a water truck could be brought on site to control the dust.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58115	6/13/2024	6/13/2024	Haynesville Road	Fugitive Particulate Matter	Holt /Clinton	KCRO	MC	Referred to Other Agency
Concerned Party:		Kris Shaw						
Concern Info:		Clinton county special road district has destroyed several roads in Clinton County Missouri including Haynesville rd. They have done with with heavy machinery to, "fix the roads". What it has done is caused a very fine substrate composed of dust and road debris, that once airborne is hazardous to those with compromised breathing. We have asked for them to remedy this, but the message is falling on deaf ears. This county, specifically these roads, should be dust free, or at least, dust controlled. If pics or videos are needed, I can email them later, as I currently do not have access to those files and will need to request them from the person that took them. This has been an ongoing issue for several years, but it has now reached fever pitch and life and safety are at risk.						
Conclusions:		Fugitive particulate matter from unpaved public roads is not regulated by the department. Information was provided to Clinton County Road and Bridge for follow up.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58128	5/29/2024	6/4/2024	Candace Thomas	Open Burning	Jefferson City /Cole	NERO	BH	Letter AC
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Cole County Fire Protection District						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Via email: " Cole County Fire has a resident at 2215 Ridge Road that we have had numerous calls stating the homeowner is burning trash, plastic, furniture, and other non-environmental products. Three times the fire department responded to calls from neighbors complaining about the smoke and smell in 2023; and two calls in 2024. The last one being yesterday, May 28, 2024 at 22:32 hours. The owner knows they are doing something wrong because most of the calls are coming in after dark. Cole County Fire and Cole Co Sheriff's Department have given numerous warnings that they CAN NOT burn those types of materials but, they still continue to burn. We are requesting DNR assistance with investigating at 2215 Ridge Road, JCMO. "						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		On-site visit No visible burn sites at location. No one at resident so I left PUB 2047 and my business card, asking the RP to contact me. At this time, sending a contact NERO letter.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58162	5/24/2024	5/24/2024	Used Oil Overflow into Storm Drain	Hazardous Air Pollutant Release Used Oil Stormwater Contamination	/St. Louis	SLRO	HH	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		EER called with a concern in Saint Louis County from an anonymous individual reporting an automotive facility's drain is overflowing with engine oil, anti-freeze, and other fluids that is running off on to the ground and into the storm water drain. There is also a barrel outside with a hole that is running off into the storm water drain as well.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>5-24-24 I contacted Jason Peterein with MSD to let him know of the concern and that is passing through the MS4 structures. Jason said he would investigate and get back with me.</p> <p>5-24-24 MSD investigated and did not find an illicit discharge making it to a stormdrain or waters of the state. The owner was already cleaning up the used oil.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58165	6/6/2024	6/7/2024	St. Louis Composting	Odor	/St. Louis City	SLRO	HH	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Sean Grove						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		TJ Graven, Legislative Liaison with the department, contacted Dorothy Franklin in regards to an odor concern						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>This address is in the combined sewer area of St Louis. It does not appear to have materials subject to stormwater besides possibly some residual stuff on parking lot. The odors appear to be coming from the buildings and trucks according to the air inspector. This location does not show a stormwater permit for St Louis Composting. I contacted the concerned party to let them know we are looking into it.</p> <p>I confirmed with MSD it is in the combined sewer area.</p> <p>I spoke to Teresa Thevary and asked if it would need a solid waste permit since it is a transfer station. She said that it would receive a beneficial use exemption and also be exempt because it is in the combined sewer area.</p> <p>I spoke to Suzanne Lamb that is investigating the air concern. She did get hits on the nasal ranger, and is determining if air violations will be issued.</p> <p>I spoke to Dave Gavlick and he said that this site is a transfer area where food from grocery stores is unpackaged. Food, drinks, etc are taken to a different location to be composted. Packaging is landfilled. Nothing is stored outside and everything happens inside buildings. Recently they received a new grocery store product, and believe that to cause the odor issue. They have declined taking any more of this product, and are cleaning everything. Dave said the odor is decreasing significantly.</p> <p>Air program plans to go out and do a full inspection to determine if the facility needs an air permit.</p> <p>As of 6/11/2024 the concerned party has not responded to my email, and I do not have a phone number to call.</p> <p>Spencer sent an email to MSD, and MSD doesn't have our require any permits for this facility. Spencer said he would notify the legislative liason regarding the actions taken.</p>						



Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58171	5/23/2024	5/23/2024	Wilmer Urbina-Gutierrez	Open Dumps: Construction and Demolition Open Burning	/St. Louis	SLRO	TT	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		A caller wanted to file a concern regarding the dumping, burning, and burying of shingles at 4515 Dieckmann Ln, Florissant, MO 63034. The caller wished to remain anonymous but says the property owner at 4515 Dieckmann Ln. has a roofing business and has been bring shingles from his work projects to his home property and dumping it on the ground where it is then either burned, buried, or a combination of the two. The last burning happened two weeks ago but he has been disposing, burning, and burying shingles for the last 3-4 years and claims that there are "tons" on the property. The caller has "plenty of drone footage" to provide as evidence.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>5/23/24</p> <p>I emailed Aaron Cadman, Michael Viles, John McCormick, and Kathrina Donegan with St. Louis County the following information:</p> <p>"Good afternoon all,</p> <p>I am taking concern calls for the St. Louis Office' Air &amp; Land Section today and I just received a concern call regarding the dumping, burning, and burying of shingles at 4515 Dieckmann Ln, Florissant, MO 63034. The caller wished to remain anonymous but says the property owner at 4515 Dieckmann Ln. has a roofing business and has been bring shingles from his work projects to his home property and dumping it on the ground where it is then either burned, buried, or a combination of the two. The last burning happened two weeks ago but he has been disposing, burning, and burying shingles for the last 3-4 years and claims that there are "tons" on the property. The caller has "plenty of drone footage" to provide as evidence.</p> <p>I am attempting to figure out the best approach to this concern. I understand our office typically refers open burning concerns to occur in the County to St. Louis County APCP. Is this something that either APCP and/or the Waste Management folks at the County can investigate further Let me know your thoughts so I can figure out how best to proceed. Feel free to contact me if you have any further questions on this matter. I look forward to hearing from you on how this concern should be handled."</p> <p>6/4/24</p> <p>I had not heard back from the County since my initial email on 5/23 so I reached out to them again.</p> <p>Jamil Franklin ((314) 615-7329(Office), jfranklin@stlouiscountymo.gov) stated the following:</p> <p>"Good morning. I am Jamil Franklin, with the Waste Management Program over at St Louis County. I investigated the site back on May 24, 2024. I was unable to verify any violations at that time, as I could not gain access to the property. South of the property are posted no trespassing signs, along a private road. As a result, I did not enter the property grounds.</p> <p>I would recommend moving forward that you have the complainant contact us directly in real time if they detect any opening burning. Our Air Pollution staff can respond, and we should be able to verify if there is smoke leaving the property boundary, and this could possibly help us obtain assistance from law enforcement to inspect the property. Please feel free to reach out If you have any questions. I have also attached an aerial visual of the property so you can get a better feel of why we cannot verify dumping.</p> <p>4515 is the parcel in question. The only way to gain entry is to the south of the property along the private roadway. The property is quite massive and dumping if occurring is not visible from the property line. "</p> <p>I replied back to Jamil with:</p> <p>"Thank you for providing that update, Jamil. I figured that might be the case when on the phone with the complainant, and our office would also have been met with the same roadblocks as you experienced. Although the concerned party wished to remain anonymous, they did say they would attempt to send me a "screenshot" of the incriminating drone footage (as I told them our email servers probably wouldn't accept the file size of actual footage). As of today, I have not received anything further from the concerned party. Should they attempt to contact our office again, I will let them know to contact the Air Pollution unit with the County for a more prompt response. I greatly appreciate the County's assistance and attempts to investigate this further and for your detailed summary, I will be sure to include it in our file for this concern. Please feel free to reach out if you have other questions or concerns, and I will do the same."</p> <p>Emails from St. Louis County are included with the concern document set.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58174	5/7/2024	5/7/2024	Missouri Eastern Rail Road	Particulates	/Franklin	SLRO	RA	
<b>Concerned Party:</b> Jeff Taylor								
<b>Concern Info:</b> Kathleen Hertling recieved an email about a dust concern involving a facility that is in our enforcement process. The email stated: Hi kat, this is Jeff Taylor I've spoke with you before about the dust problem from the railroad in Union mo. It is getting real bad again, they start early in the morning before daylight so nobody can see the dust and they continue through out the day as well. It's getting all over our vehicles again and in my dogs water bowl outside, let alone we open a window and it blows in our house. The dust collector is not doing its job or they are not using it, I was told this is gonna be there permanent site so something needs to change, if I have to I will contact OSHA if needed , just wondering if you could call them and see what is happening, thank you								
<b>Conclusions:</b> 5/7/24-RLA- This is the third concern we have had at this facility and the facility is in enforcement. I called Richard Swartz with our enforcement program and he advised that we perform a site investigation.								
5/9/24-RLA- I went out to conduct an investigation and I arrived around 655am. I approached the site from Washington street (west of the site), but due to the sun being in my eyes I had to relocate. I relocated to south of the site on Franklin Street. From there I observed the coal dust leaving the property. The coal dust was being transferred from the railcar to a truck via a conveyer belt. There is a baghouse that is attached to the conveyer belt near the rail car end. The conveyer belt is a closed top and the dust seem to be originating where the conveyor belt meets the truck. I took a short video of the unloading process and it clearly show dust being generated and blowing away in the wind. I put a star on the map on where I took photos and the a video. The wind was blowing from 13-19 mph from the west to the east. The operating crew seemed to finish loading up the truck around 7:10 and the truck and the facility operators left the site. I was taking notes while this was occurring and I did not get a chance to talk to them.								
I spoke to an employee of Con-Tech Interiors located just east of the facility at 201 Franklin and explained I was conducting a fugitive dust investigation and he told me that their trucks get covered all the time and they have to pay for car washes before they send them out for calls. I was not able to find any dust on their vehicles, however that was probably due to the storm that occurred on May 8th. The yellow house just south of Con-tech located at 624 Jefferson had their northern facing wall covered with coal dust. I took a few pictures of the house. I then drove around and found a couple more houses with lighter siding that the coal dust showed up on. These houses were 504/502 Jefferson(maybe Grant) and 210 Franklin. I took pictures of these houses as well. I then returned to the office around 8:20am.								
I spoke to Jeff Taylor on the phone and told him we found violations and the dust should not be leaving the site and that he should give us a call back if this continues.								
I reached out to our facility contacts when i got back to the office to alert them of the issue and I received a response from them.								

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58175	5/23/2024	5/23/2024	Missouri Eastern Railroad	Fugitive Particulate Matter		SLRO	RA	
					/Franklin			
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		James Schmieder						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		<p>Recieved a dust concern from a representative of the city of union. The cp states We believe the transfer system that is supposed to collect the coal dust during the transload operation is either ineffective or not being used consistently by the trucking company.</p> <p>I will send the associated photo we received yesterday in a follow up email.</p> <p>I will also follow up with my contact from the railroad to express my concern regarding this issue and request they address it immediately.</p> <p>Let me know if you have any questions or need any additional information; I appreciate your assistance with resolving this matter.</p>						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>5/23/24-RLA- I spoke to James and we talked about the concern. James noted that the white dust is coming from the west side of the railroad spur and he notices it around lunchtime and sporadically throughtout the day. He also told me the trucks are caked with the dust when they leave the site. I told him we will be investiigating during those times the next few weeks and I would be in touch with him.</p> <p>Cameron Weeks visited the site on 5/23/24 and did not see any violations of the fugitive dust rule. He did see a film of white dust over the area but he could not verify the source.</p> <p>I spoke to Bill Kelly on 5/24/24 and he said the white powder was limestone. He said the excessive emissions from that product were due to a faulty valve in the railcar that opened when the railcar was pressurized. He told me the valve has been fixed and the process is operating as intended.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58176	5/23/2024	5/23/2024	Missouri Eastern Railroad	Fugitive Particulate Matter		SLRO	RA	
					/Franklin			
Concerned Party:		Anonymous						
Concern Info:		05/24/2024 - Received an anomonus call via EER in Jefferson City from Tyler Rowden (573)526-3338. He said the caller stated that there was a railroad in Union, MO that was loading some type of product into railcars and there is dust spewing out of the loader getiing all over his house and place of business. He said the dust has covered all parts of his business and house and it is affecting his business and residential dwelling. He also stated that the dust is coming from both the East and West sides of the Railroad tracks. He mentioned that the concerned party has contacted the EPA and EER in this matter.						
Conclusions:		Cameron Weeks visited the site and did not see any violations of the fugitive dust rule. He did see a film of white dust over the area but he could not verify the source.						
		I spoke to Bill Kelly on 5/24/24 and he said the white powder was limestone. He said the excessive emissions from that product were due to a faulty valve in the railcar that opened when the railcar was pressurized. He told me the valve has been fixed and the process is operating as intended.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58177	5/23/2024	5/23/2024	Missouri Eastern RR and Woody Bogler Trucking	Fugitive Particulate Matter		SLRO	RA	
					/Franklin			
Concerned Party:		Mark Hall						
Concern Info:		<p>The city of Union referred to us a concern they recieved. The CP states " For several months there has been black coal dust on our lumber, composite decking and other material. This is inventory wich we need to resell. We pride ourselves in selling good, clean material and it is difficult to do this now. The employees with Wood Bogler Trucking Co and/or the railroad company do not appear to be concerned as we have brought the issue to their attention many times. In addition, black coal dust is being blown in all directions and is a health hazard.The impact of this issue extends beyond mere inconvenience, it directly affects our ability to conduct business and compromises the quality of our materials. The coal dust not only tarnishes our property but also poses significant risks to our operations and the health of our employees. Additionally, it diminishes the overall appeal of our facilities, potentially deterring potential clients and visitors.We are requesting an effective dust filtration system be used by Woody Bogler Trucking Co and/or the railroad company as the primary goal is to eliminate coal dust to ensure a clean and safe environment for our employees and to provide a cal dust free space for our inventory. The Departmnet of Natural Resources has been involved in the situation in which a filtration system was recommended. However, I am uncertain if these meaures are being followed and are effective as there has only been minimal improvement.</p> <p>Pictures were provided and are attached.</p>						
Conclusions:		<p>-5/23/24-RLA- I spoke to Mark Hall on the phone about his concern. He was concerned about the health and safety of his employess and the people that live around the area. I told him that we were aware of the situation and we had given them violations in the past. I also told him we have an investigator in the area today to keep a look out. Mr. Hall gave us permission to get on his property to take photos and video of the railroad unloading as long as we stopped in and told him we were on his property.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58179	5/23/2024	5/23/2024	Missouri Eastern RR and Woody Bogler Trucking	Fugitive Particulate Matter		SLRO	CW	
					/Franklin			
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Mike						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Mike from Central Automotive Electric called our office to report a "white, baby powered like dust" coming from the Missouri Eastern Railroad when it was being unloaded into pneumatic semi truck. He said they were unload on the west side rail spur that crosses Washington Ave. He talked to the driver of the one of the trucks and they told him they were hauling bentonite. Mike spoke about the dust coming into his store when he had the windows and doors open.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Met with Mike at Central Automotive Electric at 12:57. Mike showed a video he took that appeared to show large quantities of dust coming from the offloading of a rail car into a haul truck accross from his property. Also present was an employee of the Woody Bogler trucking company that confirmed the haul to be lime product.						
At the time of the investigation, no loading operations were being conducted. A visual inspection of the area surrounding the rail road showed minor and sporadic dust settling, but not enough to be indicative of a fugitive dust emission event. Several piles of lime product were found on the railroad property.								
5/24/24 - RLA -I spoke to Bill Kelly on 5/24/24 and he said the white powder was limestone. He said the excessive emissions from that product were due to a faulty valve in the railcar that opened when the railcar was pressurized. He told me the valve has been fixed and the process is operating as intended.								

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58180	5/21/2024	5/21/2024	Pleasant View Apartments	Open Burning	/Franklin	SLRO	PG	
<b>Concerned Party:</b> Fire Chief Timothy Frankenberg <b>Concern Info:</b> Recieved an open burning concern from the Fire Chief from Washington Fire Department concerning a fire that occured on May 15th, 2024. The Fire Chief sent the fire report which had a lengthy narrative (attached). <b>Conclusions:</b> 5/21/24-RLA- I looked through our database and we have not recieved a concern at this location before.  Tate Kitchell visited the site on May 23rd, 2024 and took photographs and observations that matched the Fire Inident Report. Based on the findings of the site visit and Fire Department, a Letter of Warning was issued to Pleasant View Apartments. The owner of the property and the subject who started the fire were both contacted by the DNR, and both subjects were informed of Missouri Open Burning Requirements. Both subjects additionally stated that no more open burning will occur on the property. Further detail can be found in the LOW issued to Pleasant View Apartments.								
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58181	5/30/2024	5/30/2024	5569 Dalton, House Springs, MO 63051	Open Burning	/Jefferson	SLRO	RB	
<b>Concerned Party:</b> Ben Steinkamp <b>Concern Info:</b> MSC 5/30/24: Captain Ben Steinkamp of Antonia Fire Protection District called to submit an open burning concern at 5569 Dalton, House Springs, MO 63051. The CP states that their fire district has responded to calls about this property roughly once and month for a duration of about 2-3 years. The captain has gathered incident reports and stated that the most recent call concerning the property was this morning. The property and burning area can be seen from a public roadway and the burning was stated to contain things like wires, aerosol cans, and other items. The property was stated to potentially be part of mobile home park. <b>Conclusions:</b> 5/30/24-RLA- I looked up the address and we have not had any concerns at this address.  6/3/24: RPB -I went out around 10 am to 5569 Dalton. There were 3 people loading a truck with durable goods and random items. I approached the fence and asked if this was 5569 Dalton and a man identified himself as the owner. I asked his name, and he said Daryl. I explained the reason for my visit, the open burning concern, and he mentioned that there was burning last night. A woman came up and said it was his nephew, a man named Josh. Daryl stated they were only burning, logs, twigs, and leaves. They were cleaning up the road in front of the house. I explained that we had a fire staff from Antonia put the concern in and explained what he was and wasn't allowed to burn. Daryl mentioned the fire crew had come out, and put out a stump he was burning away it. He said he always tries to follow the rules. I told him that the fire report may show otherwise, but might not say who was burning on the property. I finally said, it didn't matter who is burning it's the owner of the property's responsibility to make sure no illegal open burning is occurring. He understood and was willing to sign the Open Burning Acknowledgement form. I handed him 2 forms: 10 CSR 10-6.045, and Fact Sheet - Facts on Open Burning PUB2047. There was nothing burning at the time, and he knows not to burn couches, metal, plastics, or treated wood. Since I got Daryl to sign the form, I will not send out any paperwork 6/5/24: RPB- Captain Steinkamp sent over fire reports.								
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58182	6/5/2024	6/10/2024	5586 Ruth Dr, House Springs, MO 63051	Open Burning	/Jefferson	SLRO	HH	
<b>Concerned Party:</b> Theresa Winkler <b>Concern Info:</b> The CP stated that there neighbors are burning trash and refuse behind their home. The CP indicated the burning is happening at all times during the day and night. I provided her the Jefferson County Solid waste districts phone number to her as well if the source of the concern is burning trash. <b>Conclusions:</b> 6/5/24-RLA- I looked up the site and we have not had a concern at this address in the past.  On 06/10/2024 @ 12:45 PM I arrived at the above address, Mr. Chris Bohnert was cutting his grass, I looked at the property there was is a small fire pit, but no fire nor smoke coming from the pit, Mr. Bohnert came and we talked about the compliant, he told methat his next door neighbor do not like him and his family, I talked to him about the "Open Burning" rules and regulations, I hand him my business card and I will mail him a copy of the facts on Open Burning under Missouri regulation.								

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58183	6/11/2024	6/11/2024	162 Bright Gem Drive, St. Charles, MO 63304	Open Burning Odor	/St. Charles	SLRO	RB	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		06/11/2024 - Received a call from Ken Hannon @ EER regarding an anonymous concern regarding potential chemicals being burned in a fire. The caller stated that the SRP is conducting regular burns at a private residence in a residential neighborhood. The local fire department was notified and referred them to call the EPA. The CP stated that while there is not a current fire, it happens regularly and that it smells like they may be burning chemicals.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		6/11/24-RLA-I looked up the address and we have not had a concern at this location before. An internet search of this address brings up GLL Detailing.  6/11/24: RPB - I went out on site visit to the RP's address found a "no trespassing" sign at beginning of driveway. The house was approximately 100 feet away from the road with no way of telling if someone was present or not. With this information I decided not to enter the property. I left my business card, the Open Burning regulations (10 CSR 10-6.045), and Fact Sheet - Facts on Open Burning PUB2047 in the mailbox						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58184	6/4/2024	6/4/2024	STL Tree Services	Open Burning	/St. Charles	SLRO	TK	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Charles Williamson						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		I had previously conducted a concern investigation in regards to concrete dumping at the site. The concerned party also told me about a separate concern in regards to burning of vegetation. He stated that they burn large portions of wood and other vegetation, typically after 5:00 pm and on weekends when few people are around.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		6/4/24 - RLA - I looked up the site and we have had one concern at this location in the past (Sharepoint 6437). When I went out there last they did have an air curtain incinerator and a permit from the local fire department. 6/11/2024-TKK-Site was using air curtain incinerator and confirmed that they stop burning around 2-3 in order for it all to be done before dark. All permits and fire inspections are recent and complete. No deficiencies seen. Property is looking to get a grant for a smokeless ACI.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58185	6/11/2024	6/11/2024	1115 Carr Street, St. Louis, MO 63101	Hazardous Air Pollutant Release	/St. Louis	SLRO	RA	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Tyler Blount						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		06/11/2024 - Received a call from Ken Hannon @ EER regarding AC Refrigerant being drained into a bucket or Air. The caller reported that an individual was observed releasing an unknown amount of A/C refrigerant into the open atmosphere and into a bucket. The caller suspects it to be R22 refrigerant.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		I referred the concern to EPA Region 7 as we do not have authority over A/C refrigerants. I emailed the concern to 'ODS.Tips@epa.gov'. I found the email address from the website <a href="https://www.epa.gov/aboutepa/region-7-staff-directory-topics">https://www.epa.gov/aboutepa/region-7-staff-directory-topics</a> .  I spoke to Tyler on 6/12/24 and told him we had referred his concern to EPA region 7.						



Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58215	6/3/2024	6/4/2024	Danny Wheatley	Open Burning	East Prairie /Mississippi	SERO	DB	Referred to Other Agency
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		James Triplett						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		The Concerned Party stated that Danny Wheatley collects scrap metal and air units that he stores on his property. At least every other day he is burning insulation off of wire. The air can get toxic with smoke. City law enforcement seems to be friends with Danny and doesn't seem to care.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		I could not make contact with Mr. Wheatley or get any evidence of open burning during my initial investigation. I spoke with Collin Cecil, Code and Zoning Official for the City of East Prairie who took down information from our concern and drafted a Notice of Violation from the City to Mr. Wheatley. This matter seems to be in the City's hands, but I will keep in touch with Collin Cecil to see if anything changes.						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58226	6/3/2024	6/4/2024	Andrew Dallwitz	Open Burning Open Dumps General Water Quality	/St. Charles	SLRO	SL	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		EER Incident, 240601-1843-CCG, St. Charles						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		EER Incident, 240601-1843-CCG, St. Charles, Fire/Explosion: 06/01/2024 22:15:32 Details: St. Charles County dispatch contacted the spill line to report a pit filled with abandoned boats on fire. The pit is in close proximity to the Mississippi River. Fire Chief Pender reported water, fuel, and oil in the pit. There were roughly five boats in the pit. The pit is approximately 100 feet wide and 20 feet deep with about five feet of water in it. It is suspected that the property owner burned the boats to get rid of them. St. Charles Police Department's informational report number is 24-02955.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>6/4/24 SEL - around 10:30 am I conducted an investigation of the property. I was accompanied by Environmental Intern, Tate Kitchell. When we arrived to Portage Des Sioux, we drove around to surrounding area to locate the burn pit. The burn pit was south of the Mississippi River, in a small inlet area on the west end of the property. We were able to park in a gravel parking lot roughly 50 feet from the burn pit. The burn pit was approximately 15 feet from the Mississippi River, and matched the dimensions reported to EER. As we walked around the pit, we noted that there were remnants of several burned boats. A portion of the burned debris was along the banks of the pit. The remaining debris was in stagnant water at the bottom of the pit. Boat motors and batteries were noted in the debris. Fuel and oil sheens were observed on the surface of the water. It did not appear that the stagnant water had an outlet into the Mississippi River.</p> <p>Following the investigation, we drove to the maintenance building and were able to meet with the owner, Andrew Dallwitz. Mr. Dallwitz stated that he was aware of the incident but did not intentionally start the fire. Mr. Dallwitz stated that a contractor was working on site by the area and had accidentally pushed a boat into the pit which caused the ignition of fire. Mr. Dallwitz stated he was not present on the property when the fire occurred and was not notified until the Rivers Pointe Fire Department was on site. Mr. Dallwitz explained that the boats have been at the location since a flood in 2019. He stated the pit was dug by the marina, and erosion felt was placed in the pit during construction. Mr. Dallwitz explained he was contacting a disposal company to have the boats and remains removed as soon as possible. I explained to Mr. Dallwitz that the materials burned were in violation of Missouri's Open Burning regulation, and that enforcement actions may be taken. I asked that he contact our office when the boats are removed.</p> <p>6/18/2024 SEL - Fire Cheif Richard Pender at Rivers Point Fire Protection District sent me the fire incident report detailing the fire department response. The fire department estimated that at least six boats and various debris had been burned, and the fire was deemed to be extinguished roughly one hour and forty-five minutes after arrival. A second fire report was emailed, detailing an incident that ocured on March 26, 2022 that involved a 25-foot-long boat on fire in the marina parking. The fire was extinguished and documented by the fire department.</p> <p>I spoke with Andrew Dallwitz on the phone and explained that the violation would be referred to the APCP.</p>						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58227	6/11/2024	6/11/2024	Kiefer's Landscaping	Odor	/Jefferson	SLRO	TK	
<b>Concerned Party:</b> Bob Dunn								
<b>Concern Info:</b> Recieved an open burning concern from Fire Chief Bob Dunn of Saline Valley Fire Protection District. The CP states "We have had an ongoing issue with a commercial property burning natural waste from the landscaping business. This has been an issue that we have attempted to work a solution out. We have been unable to come to an amicable resolution to this issue.  I have included my report for your reference. We will start documenting any and all illegal burns at this address and notifying your office when we respond to this address for an illegal burn.  The owner was notified today that they are to cease any and all burn operations at this property.  If you have any questions feel free to contact me."								
<b>Conclusions:</b> 6/11/24-RLA- I looked up the address and this is the second concern (sharepoint 4940) that we have had at this property. 6/14/24- TKK- Visited the site and saw the pile of trade waste on the property. It was not on fire at the time. I spoke with the property manager and she stated that they were burning it and were told to stop by the fire department. I informed them that they must use a air curtain incinerator during non-attainment zone restricted dates and inform the fire department prior to a burn. Open burn fact sheets were left with the manager.								

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58244	6/13/2024	6/13/2024	SA Recycling	Odor	/St. Louis City	SLRO	SL	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Patrick Lefarth						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		The EPA forwarded DNR an enviromental concern that they recieved. The CP states" Burning of wires and unknown substances causing noxious and various colored smoke that is making people sick nearby. Myself as other coworkers have headaches and nausea from the smoke drifting this way. They do this on a regular basis even though it's a violation						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		<p>6/10/2024 - I had received an email from Patrick LeFarth regarding the visible emissions. I had reviewed the prior concerns from the facility, and planned to conduct an investigation on 6/11/24</p> <p>6/11/24 - SEL, Tate Kitchell conducted an investigation of the facility around 11:45am. Branch st, and parked adjacent to the Kiesel Co, and Advantage Metal Recycling. We walked up the bike path that merges onto Mckinley bridge, roughly half a mile. We were roughly 50 ft above and 600 ft east of the facility. Torch cutting was not being conducted at the time, we waited roughly 20 min when two employees began torch cutting. At 12:08 I began my first method 9 on the employee to my left. I conducted a 19-min observation from 12:08 to 12:26pm. The smoke plume was mainly white with periods of yellow and black smoke. At 12:18pm the employee began using water spray while torch cutting, and steam was combined into the smoke emissions. Observations continued until 12:26pm, but were not used in the 6-min run average opacity calculations.</p> <p>At 12:27, I began a second method 9 observation on the second employee. Conditions remained the same. A 10-minute observation was conducted. At 12:36pm the employee moved to a new scrap metal pile at the south side of the torch cutting area. I began a third Method 9 observation at 12:28pm. An 8-min observation was conducted. Conditions remained the same.</p> <p>A 6-min Method 9 observation from 12:11pm to 12:16pm had an average opacity of 26.49%, with the highest reading of 60%.</p> <p>A 6-min Method 9 observation from 12:30pm to 12:35pm had an average opacity of 21.46%. with the highest reading of 50%.</p> <p>A 6-min Method 9 observation from 12:38 to 12:43pm had an average opacity of 24.17%, with the highest reading of 65%.</p> <p>6/13/24 I emailed Patrick Lefarth of my findings and the violations noted. I stated that I am currently working with the APCP to discuss further actions, and to reach out to me with any questions or concerns.</p> <p>6/21/24 I emailed Tim Barth and explained my findings and the violations noted of 10 CSR 10-6.220. I explained that the facility will be issued a Referral Notice of Violation in response. Later that morning, Jim Tijerna the Regional Genreal Manager reached out and stated that Tim Barth was no longer with the company, and that he would be acting as interim for the time being. I explained to Jim Tijerna that the facility would be receiving a referral notice of violation. He explained that the facility would be ceasing torch cutting operations the week of 6/24/24 until a solution is found. He also stated the facility is working on some control devices, and mentioned the facility is planning on installing a mist system that should suppress any smoke violations. I told him that we will continue to stay in contact to find a solution.</p>						
Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58253	5/10/2024	6/6/2024	Robert and Lisa Morris	Littering Open Burning	/Miller	SWRO	GE	Phone Conversation
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Dedra George						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		From WEB Form: I own property located at 5 Bluebird Drive in Eldon, Missouri. I recently had to evict some people that were squatting in a camper in my driveway. I cam over here to start cleaning up their mess and found that there was a pile of burnt tires in the middle of my driveway on top of what to appears to be the spot that they were dumping their sewage for the last two years, that they squatted on my property illegally. My neighbor let the squatters move next door onto her property and I can only assume they are dumping their sewage directly on the ground over there as well. On top of burning a bunch of tires and dumping their sewage on my property, they also have been dumping baby diapers on my property.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		SharePoint #9443 No further action required at this time.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58266	6/15/2024	6/17/2024	Unknown	Odor	/St. Louis City	SLRO	PG	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Recieved an odor concern that states strong acrid, rotten eggs odor is being experienced.						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		6/17/24-RLA- This is in the area where we usually recieved South St. Louis odor concerns. This is the 2nd concern we have had at this address.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58308	5/3/2024	5/6/2024	Unknown (Possibly McBride)	Land Disturbance Open Burning	/St. Charles	SLRO	AC	
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Chad Matlick						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Two online concern forms received for the same site: "I live off Wilmer Road in Boone Ridge Estates. McBride is building a neighborhood across from ours. They have cleared all the trees and are draining ponds. It has caused Wilmer Road to be covered in mud because there are no slit fences up. The developer was supposed to preserve trees on the perimeter and have not. The city should be fined for allowing the trees to be completely eliminated," and "New grading for neighborhood off Wilmer rd just north of state Hwy N, draining a pond with no silt fence, grading with no silt fence with mud running into perque creek which drains into lake St. Louis. Burning of brush and trees using gallons of diesel fuel with no permits. Ash falling all over neighboring properties."						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		Conducted an inspection on May 6, 2024. Found that the facility had failed to properly post the public notification sign. Sediment was discharging into waters of the state. And the facility had failed to install and maintain Best Management Practices for erosion and sediment control. SWPPP map contained plans for installation of BMPs around the site that appeared to be adequate if properly installed and maintained. Jake Besselman stated that the site had broken ground the week previous to the inspection and BMPs had been set to be installed the week of the inspection but had been delayed and would instead be installed in the following week. Jake also stated that the site had not been active for seven days and no inspection reports had been conducted yet.						

Concern No.	Date Received	Investigation Date	Suspected Source Owner/Operator	Concern Type	City/County	Region	Inspector Initials	Final Action
58337	5/16/2024	5/20/2024	Unknown	Asbestos	Paris /Monroe	NERO	JH	Other
<b>Concerned Party:</b>		Anonymous						
<b>Concern Info:</b>		Email states, "Looks like someone tore down some small buildings with what looks like the slate siding that has asbestos in it west of Paris Mo. about two miles on the south side of the road."						
<b>Conclusions:</b>		No violations were observed at the time of the investigation. No further action required to address this concern.						